

This document was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC) and the *Fonds Québécois de Recherche sur la Nature et les Technologies* (FQRNT). It complements the estimation procedures described in: Tran, C. L. and M. Gaudry, “*QDF: The Quasi-Direct Format calculation procedures to derive modal demand elasticities and diversion rates from products of coupled total demand and mode choice model results*”. Publication AJD-117, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, 25 p., April 2008, revised October 2008.

**PUBLICATION
AJD-118**

***QDF: The Quasi-Direct Format program user guide
with databases, examples and TABQDF outputs***

Cong-Liem Tran¹ and Marc Gaudry²

¹Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal

²Département de sciences économiques, Université de Montréal
(B.P. 6128 Succursale centre-ville, Montréal, QC, Canada H3C 3J7)

Publication AJD-118, Agora Jules Dupuit
Université de Montréal

April 2008, revised 2010, 2011 and March 2012

Table of Contents

1. PURPOSE OF QDF PROGRAM CALCULATIONS AND OF TABQDF TABLE EDITIONS	2
1.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTENTS OF A TABQDF TABLE EDITION	8
1.2 DETAILED EXPLICITATION OF ALL ELASTICITY TERMS ADDING UP TO (F) IN FORMULA (1)	10
1.2.1 Explication of Elasticity Terms (A) and (B)	10
1.2.2 Explication of Utility Elasticity Term (C)	13
1.2.3 Explication of Elasticity Term (D)	24
1.2.4 Modified Elasticity Expressions when the explanatory variable is a Dummy	26
1.3 EXAMPLES TO BE PRODUCED	27
2. QDF TABLE PROGRAM	32
2.1 INSTALLATION OF QDF TABLE PROGRAM	32
2.2 PROGRAM INPUT FILE	32
2.3 RUNNING QDF TABLE PROGRAM	33
2.4 FIRST EXAMPLE OF INPUT FILE	34
2.5 FIRST EXAMPLE OF OUTPUT FILE	34
2.6 SECOND EXAMPLE OF INPUT FILE	38
2.7 SECOND EXAMPLE OF OUTPUT FILE	38
2.8 THIRD EXAMPLE OF INPUT FILE	41
2.9 THIRD EXAMPLE OF OUTPUT FILE	42
2.10 FOURTH EXAMPLE OF INPUT FILE	44
2.11 FOURTH EXAMPLE OF OUTPUT FILE	44
2.12 FIFTH EXAMPLE OF INPUT FILE	46
2.13 FIFTH EXAMPLE OF OUTPUT FILE	47
3. PROGRAM LISTING	50
4. DATABASES	65
4.1 VIA RAIL 1987	65
4.2 INTERCITY PASSENGER FLOWS, CANADA 1976	66
4.3 TRADE FLOWS IN TONS, EUROPEAN COMMUNITY 1987	66
4.4 TRADE FLOWS IN VALUE, NORTH AMERICA 1988	66
5. REFERENCES	67
6. APPENDIX 1. OTHER GENERATION-DISTRIBUTION MODELS CONSTRUCTED FROM SUPPLIED DATA BASES	69
6.1 TRADE FLOWS, EUROPEAN COMMUNITY, 1987 (FROM GAUDRY, 2004)	69
6.2 INTERCITY PASSENGER FLOWS, CANADA 1976 (WITH TWO ORDERS OF AUTOCORRELATION, FROM GAUDRY <i>ET AL.</i> , 1994, 2002)	70
7. APPENDIX 2. KEY FULL SAMPLE MODE CHOICE MODELS FOR THE QUEBEC-WINDSOR CORRIDOR OF CANADA, BUSINESS AND OTHER TRIP PURPOSES (FROM GAUDRY AND LE LEYZOUR, 1994, AND GAUDRY, 2011)	72
8. APPENDIX 3. SIMPLIFIED REDUCED SAMPLE QUEBEC-WINDSOR CORRIDOR MODE CHOICE MODELS (BUSINESS TRIPS)	75
8.1 LINEAR AND BOX-COX LOGIT VARIANTS	75
8.2 LOGIT, DOGIT AND INVERSE POWER TRANSFORMATION-LOGIT VARIANTS	78
9. APPENDIX 4. SIMPLIFIED REDUCED SAMPLE GENERATION-DISTRIBUTION MODELS, QUEBEC-WINDSOR CORRIDOR (BUSINESS TRIPS)	83
9.1 MODELS ESTIMATED WITH L-1.6	83
9.2 MODELS ESTIMATED WITH L-2.1	85
10. APPENDIX 5. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIO ALGORITHMS IMPLEMENTED IN TRIO VERSION 2 FOR WINDOWS AND SELF-STANDING FOR VERSION 6	88
10.1 MAXIMUM DIMENSIONS	88
10.2 NUMBER OF VARIANTS DISPLAYED IN A TABLEX	89
10.3 PAGE WIDTH, CHARACTER SIZE AND LINES PER PRINTED PAGE	89
10.4 FORMAT FOR NUMERICAL VALUES IN TABLEX	89

1. Purpose of QDF Program Calculations and of TABQDF Table Editions

This manual accompanies a utility program (Tran and Gaudry, 2009c; AJD-119) that derives demand elasticities and other statistics obtained from particular coupled QDF-type specifications combining two previously estimated models. The quasi-direct *rationale* and the *statistics* of interest are outlined in the report establishing the QDF structure (Tran and Gaudry, 2008c; AJD-117).

The QDF utility program makes it possible to produce TABQDF table editions of outputs and derived statistics inspired by the Tablex tables of results long used to compare LEVEL, SHARE and PROBABILITY regression variants produced either by stand-alone versions of these algorithms or by their versions implemented within TRIO, Version 1 (1993) and Version 2 (2001), software.

Derived results, presented in such Quasi-Direct-Format editions of a TABQDF table, are obtained from products of maximum likelihood estimates of selected pairs of models: they are consequently maximum likelihood estimates themselves, although not as efficient as estimates obtainable from the joint estimation of the model product pairs considered. Such joint estimation would be exceedingly complex¹ in our case because we allow **20 QDF combinations among 12 model types**, each characterized by membership of a class and family, and requiring a distinct estimation procedure.

The LEVEL class contains 2 families distinguished by the kind of autocorrelation of residuals allowed; 5 families are found in the SHARE and PROBABILITY classes, including one LOGIT, two DOGIT and two INVERSE POWER TRANSFORMATION-LOGIT branches, as listed below.

Table 0. The twelve TRIO model types among which 20 paired combinations are allowed in QDF

TRIO Classes	Value of the dependent variable in $y_m = f_m(.)$				Form of $f_m(.)$		TRIO Model Types
	in the regression		in the sample		in the fixed and stochastic parts		
	$\sum_m y_m = 1$	Domain	Nature	Domain	Root and algorithmic branches		
LEVEL	No	$-\infty < y_m < \infty$ $0 < y_m < \infty$ $-\infty < y_m < \infty$ $0 < y_m < \infty$	Continuous	$-\infty < y_m < \infty$ $0 < y_m < \infty$ $-\infty < y_m < \infty$ $0 < y_m < \infty$	OLS	† OLS-GAUHESEQ † BC-GAUHESEQ † OLS-DAUHESEQ † BC-DAUHESEQ	L-1.6
							L-2.1
SHARE	Yes	$0 \leq y_m \leq 1$	Continuous	$0 < y_m < 1$	LIN-LOGIT	† BC-LOGIT † S-DOGIT † G-DOGIT † LIN-IPT-LOGIT † BT-IPT-LOGIT	S-1 S-2 S-3 S-4 S-5
PROBABILITY	Yes	$0 \leq y_m \leq 1$	Discrete	$y_m = 0 \text{ or } 1$	LIN-LOGIT	† BC-LOGIT † S-DOGIT † G-DOGIT † LIN-IPT-LOGIT † BT-IPT-LOGIT	P-2 P-3 P-4 P-5 P-6
	Defines 3 CLASSES			with 3 roots and 12 FAMILIES or Model Types			

The technical characteristics of algorithms (L-1.4; P-2 and S-1/S-5) implemented in TRIO, Version 2.0, or sef-standing (L-1.6; L-2.1; P-2/P-6; S-1/S-5 and QDF) for Version 6, are summarized in Appendix 5.

¹ Laferrière (1988) estimated a LEVEL and SHARE model pair jointly for the domestic Canadian air market.

A. Structure of a TABQDF edition or application

In the typical Quasi-Direct Format specification of transport demand analysis used here, T_{ijm} , the demand for a particular mode m from origin i to destination j , is obtained as a product of two models: a model of the total transport market by all modes T_{ij} and a model of the mode split P_{ijm} . This may be expressed simply as

$$T_{ijm} = T_{ij} \bullet P_{ijm}, \quad (0-1)$$

which can be written more explicitly for each component:

$$T_{ijm} = f(A_i, A_j, U_{ij}) \bullet \left\{ U_{ijm} / \sum_m U_{ijm} \right\}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \quad (0-2)$$

where the A terms denote activity variables (*e.g.* Population, Income...) and U_{ij} , an index of the utility of travel by all modes called the coupling term, is defined by the denominator of the mode split model which can be of an aggregate or disaggregate (discrete) nature:

$$U_{ij} = \sum_m f(U_{ijm}). \quad (0-3)$$

B. Core features of coupled models

The model of levels. More precisely, the model of total demand in (0-2) may come from either of two LEVEL families with common trunk L_0 but particular applications with autocorrelation belonging to type L_1 or L_2 , depending on the nature of autocorrelation, namely, using t subscripts instead of ij O-D subscripts:

Regression equation: $T_t^{(\lambda_T)} = \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \cdot X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{X_k})} + \beta_U \cdot U_t^{(\lambda_U)} + u_t, t=1,...,N \tag{i}$ where the variable U_t is the index of the utility of travel by all modes defined in (0-3) above. Note that this variable can be included or not in the equation. Heteroskedasticity: $u_t = \left[\exp \left(\sum_m \delta_m \cdot Z_{mt}^{(\lambda_{Z_m})} \right) \right]^{1/2} \bullet v_t \tag{ii}$	(L ₀)	(L ₁)
Serial autocorrelation: $v_t = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \rho_{\ell} \cdot v_{t-\ell} + w_t \tag{iii}$		
Regression equation: $T_t^{(\lambda_T)} = \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \cdot X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{X_k})} + \beta_U \cdot U_t^{(\lambda_U)} + u_t, t=1,...,N \tag{i}$ where the variable U_t is the index of the utility of travel by all modes defined in (0-3) above. Note that this variable can be included or not in the equation. Heteroskedasticity: $u_t = \left[\exp \left(\sum_m \delta_m \cdot Z_{mt}^{(\lambda_{Z_m})} \right) \right]^{1/2} \bullet v_t \tag{ii}$	(L ₀)	(L ₂)
Directed autocorrelation: $v_t = \sum_{l=1}^2 \rho_l \cdot \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{r}_{l,tn} v_n \right) + w_t \tag{iv}$ where $\tilde{r}_{l,m}$ is the typical element of the matrix $\tilde{R}_l$ or, in matrix form: $v = \sum_{l=1}^2 \rho_l \tilde{R}_l v + w$ and $\tilde{R}_l$ is the matrix obtained by the R-Koyck or R-ARMA (1,1) process applied to the row normalized Boolean neighbourhood matrix $\bar{R}_l$ expressing an hypothesis concerning the presence of directed correlation, including spatial autocorrelation: $\tilde{R}_l = \pi_l \left[I - (1 - \pi_l) \bar{R}_l \right]^{-1} \bar{R}_l, \quad (0 < \pi_l \leq 1),$ where the proximity parameter π_l allows endogenisation of the relative importance of near and distant effects considered in the rule of construction of each distinct R_l.		

The model of splits. The other component of (0-2), the model of the mode split, comes from:

$P_{ijm} = \{U_{ijm} / \sum_m U_{ijm}\}, m=1,...,M. \text{ where } P_{ijm} \text{ is a PROBABILITY or a SHARE}$	(S or P)
---	----------

and five families are available within SHARE and PROBABILITY classes (neglecting ij subscripts):

Family	U_m function, $m = 1, \dots, i, j, \dots, M$	Model type
LOGIT: Logit	$exp(V_i),$	(S ₁) or (P ₂)
S-DOGIT: Standard Dogit	$exp(V_i) + \theta_i \sum_j exp(V_j), \quad \text{with } \theta_i \geq 0$	(S ₂) or (P ₃)
G-DOGIT: Generalized Dogit	$exp(V_i) + \theta_{ij} \sum_{j \neq i} exp(V_j), \quad \text{with } \theta_{ii} = 0, \theta_{ij} \geq 0$	(S ₃) or (P ₄)
LIN-IPT-LOGIT: Linear Inverse Power Transformation-Logit	$[\varphi_i exp(V_i) + 1]^{1/\varphi_i} - \mu_i, \quad \text{with } \varphi_i \geq 0, \mu_i \leq 1$	(S ₄) or (P ₅)
BT-IPT-LOGIT: Box-Tukey Inverse Power Transformation-Logit	$exp\left\{\left[\exp(V_i) + \tilde{\mu}_i\right]^{\tilde{\phi}} - 1\right\} / \tilde{\phi}, \quad \text{with } \tilde{\mu}_i \geq 0$	(S ₅) or (P ₆)
and the Utility function V_i can be of Generalized Linear type or of Generalized Box-Cox type		
G-LIN	$V_i = \beta_{i0} + \sum_n \beta_{in}^i X_n^i + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_n \beta_{in}^j X_n^j + \sum_s \beta_{is} X_s$	(v)
G-BC	$V_i = \beta_{i0} + \sum_n \beta_{in}^i X_n^{(\lambda_{in}^i)} + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_n \beta_{in}^j X_n^{(\lambda_{in}^j)} + \sum_s \beta_{is} X_s^{(\lambda_{is})}$	(vi)
where n and s are indices of network and socioeconomic variables and the upper index refers to the mode. But, as G-LIN parameters (including all M constants) are all identified only in LIN-IPT-L and BT-IPT-L families, it is practical to use instead two forms of G-BC:		
Standard Box-Cox utility	the first case occurring if a network variable X_{mn} appears only in its own mode m; the form of V_m is as follows: $V_m = \beta_{m0} + \sum_n \beta_{mn} X_{mn}^{(\lambda_{mn})} + \sum_s \beta_{ms} X_{ms}^{(\lambda_{ms})} \quad \text{(vii)}$ and the second case occurring if a network variable X_{mn} appears not only in its own mode m but also as X_{jn} across all the other modes $j \neq m$; the corresponding V_m and V_j can be written as:	
Generalized Box-Cox utility	$V_m = \beta_{m0} + \sum_n \beta_{mn} X_{mn}^{(\lambda_{mn})} + \sum_s \beta_{ms} X_{ms}^{(\lambda_{ms})}$ $V_j = \beta_{j0} + \sum_n \beta_{jn} X_{jn}^{(\lambda_{jn})} + \sum_s \beta_{js} X_{js}^{(\lambda_{js})}.$	(viii)

The twelve model types to be considered are therefore $[L_1 \& L_2]$, $[S_1 \& P_2]$, $[S_2 \& P_3]$, $[S_3 \& P_4]$ and $[S_5 \& P_6]$. But TABQDF editions have restrictive features that do not make it possible to use all of the information produced by the twelve estimation procedures or algorithms. Note that:

1. ***Null-value and negative-value observations: the OLS model type case.*** Although observations on the dependent variable must, as written above, be strictly positive for (L_1) and (L_2) applications, in fact the L-1.6 and L-2.1 algorithms also allow null-value and negative-value observations, as in Classical Least Squares, if the dependent or explained variable appears linearly in the variant. The root OLS case indicated in Table 0 is therefore also a model type covered by these procedures (see AJD-105 and AJD-108).

2. ***Multinomial and binomial formulations.*** Although the mode split model expressions S_s and P_p as written above pertain to multinomial cases, binomial applications can also be estimated, including cases where all but one of the modal quantities $\exp(V_i)$ equal 1, *i.e.* cases where, except for an arbitrary “first” function V_1 , all other V_i representative utility functions are null (see AJD-111 and AJD-114).

3. ***Only “sample” elasticities evaluated at sample means.*** For in this QDF program, we only use so-called standard “sample” elasticity measures evaluated at sample means for both (L_1) and (L_2) formulations, as implied by the expressions found Table 3 below. As we will remind the reader later on, we neglect elasticities of the first three moments of the dependent variable also calculated by the LEVEL L-1.6 algorithm (see AJD-105) and the average value of point elasticities of the expected value of y_i also calculated by the LEVEL L-2.1 algorithm (see AJD-108).

Similarly, we only use, for aggregate models of shares (S) and discrete probability models of individual choices (P), standard elasticities evaluated at sample means, as we will also remind the reader later on. For this reason, analytical expressions for elasticities collected below in Table 5 and Table 6 hold for both (S) and (P) formulations. In QDF, we make no use of the Percentage point elasticity measure in (S) cases, as computed by the SHARE S-1/S-5 algorithm (see AJD-111), or of the Probability point elasticity measure in (P) cases, for which we also neglect for reasons of consistency (not for theoretical reasons) the weighted aggregate elasticities computed by the PROBABILITY algorithm P-2/P6 (see AJD-114). The latter could be considered in a further version of QDF.

4. ***Transformation of variables containing zero-value observations.*** In expressions (i) and (ii) of (L_1) and of (L_2) , as well as in the explications (vii) and (viii) of the U_m terms of (S) or (P), the commonly defined Box-Cox transformation (without a shift parameter) is applicable to any strictly positive T , X_k or Z_m variable, namely for instance:

$$X_k^{(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \frac{X_k^\lambda - 1}{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ \ln X_k & \text{if } \lambda \rightarrow 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{BCT})$$

However, all LEVEL, SHARE and PROBABILITY model family type options also allow, under certain conditions requiring the creation of “associated” dummy variables, for the transformation of X_k or Z_m variables containing some null-value observations. These associated dummy variables are also treated and appear in the TABQDF table, as they appeared in Tablex tables editions for the coupled models considered independently (see AJD-106, AJD-109, AJD-112 and AJD-115).

5. **Regression intercepts.** All LEVEL, SHARE and PROBABILITY model families and model type options require the presence of a regression intercept, in accordance with Schlesselman's (1971) invariance condition for Box-Cox parameters. These constants do not appear in TABQDF tables.

6. **Presence of coupling term U .** Coupling is not necessary in QDF model specifications used here. Coupling is often effected in transport (and communication) demand models in order to explicitly distinguish, following a change in the properties of one or more of the modes, the demand "generation" or "induction" effect on the total market from their "diversion" effect on mode choice. For an extensive summary of mostly coupled model results in passenger and freight transport, the reader might consult Gaudry *et al.* (1998) or Gaudry (2010).

C. Derived elasticities

Because (0-1) is a product of models, the elasticity of the modal demand (the left-hand-side variable in (0-2)), can be expressed as a sum of their elasticities:

$$[\eta \text{ of Demand for Mode } m] = [\eta \text{ of Total Demand for all modes}] + [\eta \text{ of Modal Split of Mode } m], \quad (0-4)$$

but it is important to note that, in coupled paired model products, a given explanatory variable X_k , such as consumer Income or the Price of a mode, might well appear in all three terms (A), (C) and (D) distinguishable by rewriting (0-4) in a more general and explicit fashion as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \eta(T_m, X_k) = & \underbrace{\tilde{\eta}(T, X_k)}_{(A)} + \underbrace{\eta(T, U) \cdot \eta(U, X_k)}_{(B) \quad (C)} + \eta(P_m, X_k)_{(D)} \\ (F) & = & (E) \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where we have neglected all ij indices, so that $U = \sum_{m=1}^M U_m$ simply denotes (0-3), the sum of all M modal utilities appearing in P_m , *i.e.* the denominator of each probability or share expression for the m -th mode.

In order to define further these various elasticities, we document the contents of TABQDF tables generated by the QDF program. The modal demand elasticity result (F) actually requires computing all elasticity components defined in (1) and, for this reason, QDF tables show all of them explicitly in addition to other statistics such as the Diversion Rate (see AJD-117 for the derivation and explanations of this concept).

1.1 Description of the Contents of a TABQDF Table Edition

Each column of a TABQDF table lists, for a given pair of regression variants (one obtained from LEVEL and one obtained from SHARE or from PROBABILITY), the different components of the modal elasticity (F) $\equiv \eta(T_m, X_k)$ exactly in the same order as that found in (1), from left to right.

The first part of the table, associated with the LEVEL variant used (for instance a Generation-Distribution model), consists in elasticities (A) and (B) explained in Table 1.A. These two elasticity components (A) and (B) are computed in QDF as $\eta_{\bar{Y}, \bar{X}}$, the elasticity of T with respect to the independent variables X , *i.e.* (X_k or U), evaluated at the sample means.

Table 1.A. Total demand part of a TABQDF table

(A)	EL(T,X) $\equiv \tilde{\eta}(T, X_k)$ (t)	elasticity of T with respect to X_k , given a fixed U ; t -value of the associated regression coefficient.
(B)	EL(T,U) $\equiv \eta(T, U)$ (t)	elasticity of T with respect to U : t -value of the associated regression coefficient.

We note in passing that *we do not use* the other measure of elasticity found in Table B of AJD-117, $\bar{\eta}_{E(Y_t), X_t}$, the average value² of the point elasticities of the expected value of T with respect to the independent variables computed for every observation in the sample.

The second part of the table, associated with a SHARE or a PROBABILITY modal split model variant, presents, for each and all explanatory variables X_k appearing in the representative utility function for mode m (variables and dummies included), all the elements listed in Table 1.B, considering the modes successively in M subsections of varying length depending on the number of regressors in the actual utility function of the mode in question.

Table 1.B. Modal section part of a TABQDF table edition

(C)	EL(U) $\equiv \eta(U, X_k)$	elasticity of U with respect to X_k .
(D)	EL(Pm) $\equiv \eta(P_m, X_k)$ (t)	(<i>split</i>) elasticity of P_m with respect to X_k ; t -value of the associated regression coefficient.
(E)	EL(T) $\equiv \eta(T, X_k)$	<i>total</i> elasticity given in (1) $\equiv [\tilde{\eta}(T, X_k) + \eta(T, U) \bullet \eta(U, X_k)]$.
(F)	EL(Tm) $\equiv \eta(T_m, X_k)$	<i>modal</i> elasticity given in (1) $\equiv [\eta(T, X_k) + \eta(P_m, X_k)]$.
	D.R. $\equiv [(E)/(P_m(F))] - 1$	<i>Diversion Rate</i> associated with X_k (Equation 18 in AJD-117).

In each such modal section, the two elasticity components (C) and (D) are computed, for a SHARE or a PROBABILITY model type, as $\eta_{\bar{X}}$, an elasticity evaluated at the sample means of the independent variables. And we note again in passing that, for consistency reasons, *we do not use* that other measure of elasticity found in Table B of AJD-117, η_w , the weighted aggregate elasticity.

² The average value of (point) elasticities of $E(y_t)$ can be calculated by LEVEL 2.1 algorithm but not by LEVEL 1.6 algorithm.

In each subsection pertaining to a given mode, the list (taking variables in turn) presents the (C), (D) and (E) components, followed by the resulting (F) elasticity defined in Equation (1), as well as by the modal Diversion Rate for the variable in question.

Table 2 presents the combinations of elasticities actually used by the QDF program. Note that Column 1 and Column 3 in Part 1 are identical but belong in the table because they are *calculated* by different LEVEL programs (L-1.6 and L-2.1), a distinction naturally ignored in Table B of report AJD-117 where only *theoretically feasible* elasticity combinations matter, not *actually computed* ones.

Table 2. Model pairs for which elasticities are computed in QDF output tables

Part 1	Products of the 2 LEVEL family model types 1.6 & 2.1 with any SHARE or PROBABILITY family model type					
LEVEL	1.6		2.1		Model types	
	Autocorrelation		Autocorrelation			
Hetero- skedasticity	None (L ₀)	Serial (L ₁)	None (L ₀)	Directed (L ₂)		
No	L ₀ •[S _s /P _p]	L ₁ •[S _s /P _p]	L ₀ •[S _s /P _p]	L ₂ •[S _s /P _p]	[S _s or P _p]	SHARE S-1/S-5 or PROBABILITY P-2/P-6
Yes	L ₀ •[S _s /P _p]	L ₁ •[S _s /P _p]	L ₀ •[S _s /P _p]	L ₂ •[S _s /P _p]	[S _s or P _p]	
Column	1	2	3	4		
and there will be two categories of L ₀ , L ₁ and L ₂ elasticities, for (A) and (B) terms, depending on whether one is interested in an X _k variable or in the U variable found in the regression equation.						

Part 2	Products of the 5 SHARE family model types S-1 to S-5 with any LEVEL family model type					
	SHARE	S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4	S-5
Model types	Logit & BC-Logit	Dogit		Inverse Power Transformation-Logit		
		Standard	Generalized	Linear	Box-Tukey	
LEVEL 1.6 or 2.1	[L _L]	[L _L]•S ₁	[L _L]•S ₂	[L _L]•S ₃	[L _L]•S ₄	[L _L]•S ₅
Column	1	2	3	4	5	

Part 3	Products of the 5 PROBABILITY family model types P-2 to P-6 with any LEVEL family model type					
	PROBABILITY	P-2	P-3	P-4	P-5	P-6
Model types	Logit & BC-Logit	Dogit		Inverse Power Transformation-Logit		
		Standard	Generalized	Linear	Box-Tukey	
LEVEL 1.6 or 2.1	[L _L]	[L _L]•P ₂	[L _L]•P ₃	[L _L]•P ₄	[L _L]•P ₅	[L _L]•P ₆
Column	1	2	3	4	5	
and there will be two categories of S _s and P _p elasticities, depending on whether the characteristic of a mode appears only in the representative utility function of that mode (the Standard Box-Cox utility function case) or appears also in the representative utility function of another mode (the Generalized Box-Cox utility function case).						

1.2 Detailed Explication of all Elasticity Terms adding up to (F) in Formula (1)

The precisions found in Table 2 imply that the resulting elasticity value (F) in Equation (1) can be calculated for 20 combinations of models selected from 12 model types, each pair involving components of reasonably complex structure.

We will now state the analytical expressions for these elasticities, taking each part of (F) in turn. When derivations of explicit analytical elasticity expressions are found in the general econometric literature, as for terms (A), (B) and (D), we will repeat them for memory and clarity. When they are hard to find in the literature or are not sufficiently explicit there, as for terms (C) and (D), we will provide them.

This explication will be effected in four sub-sections. The first three pertain successively to terms (A)-(B), (C), and (D); the fourth explains how all of these elasticity expressions are modified when the X_k variable of interest is not a continuous variable but is a dummy variable. In these four sub-sections, we change the numbering of successive equations used above by adding a prefix (*e.g.* A, B, or C) to the equations numbers, all reset to 1 at the beginning of a sub-section, to remind the reader of the term of (1) being documented.

1.2.1 Explication of Elasticity Terms (A) and (B)

The sample elasticities (A) and (B) evaluated at the means are found in the documents describing the LEVEL 1.6 (Tran *et al.*, 2008; AJD-105) or LEVEL 2.1 (Tran and Gaudry, 2008a; AJD-108) estimation procedures yielding the L_0 , L_1 and L_2 terms of Table 2. We now rederive them.

In L_0 , the regression equation for total demand T_t can be written as:

$$T_t^{(\lambda_T)} = \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \cdot X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{X_k})} + \beta_U \cdot U_t^{(\lambda_U)} + u_t, t = 1, \dots, N \quad (\text{AB-1})$$

where the residuals u_t 's are assumed to be heteroskedastic with zero mean:

$$u_t = [f(Z_t)]^{1/2} \cdot v_t = \left[\exp \left(\sum_m \delta_m \cdot Z_{mt}^{(\lambda_{Z_m})} \right) \right]^{1/2} \cdot v_t. \quad (\text{AB-2})$$

Derivation of elasticity terms (A) and (B) for L_1

Assume further that the residuals v_t 's follow a stationary autoregressive **serial** process of order r :

$$v_t = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \rho_\ell \cdot v_{t-\ell} + w_t. \quad (\text{AB-3-S})$$

where the new residuals w_t 's are assumed to have a zero mean. In this case, the total demand equation (AB-1) can be rewritten with u_t replaced by its expression in (AB-2) as:

$$T_t = \left[1 + \lambda_T \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \cdot X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{X_k})} + \beta_U \cdot U_t^{(\lambda_U)} + u_t \right) \right]^{1/\lambda_T}$$

$$T_t = \left\{ 1 + \lambda_T \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \cdot X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{x_k})} + \beta_U \cdot U_t^{(\lambda_U)} + \left[\exp \left(\sum_m \delta_m \cdot Z_{mt}^{(\lambda_{z_m})} \right) \right]^{1/2} \cdot v_t \right) \right\}^{1/\lambda_T}$$

$$= E_t^{1/\lambda_T} \quad (\text{AB-4})$$

where $E_t = 1 + \lambda_T \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \cdot X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{x_k})} + \beta_U \cdot U_t^{(\lambda_U)} + \left[\exp \left(\sum_m \delta_m \cdot Z_{mt}^{(\lambda_{z_m})} \right) \right]^{1/2} \cdot v_t \right)$.

but there is, for our purposes, no need to replace v_t by its expression given in (AB-3-S) since v_t is neither a function of X_{kt} with respect to which the elasticity term (A) is presently derived, nor a function of U_t with respect to which the elasticity term (B) is also presently derived.

a) Elasticity term (A)

The elasticity $\tilde{\eta}(T_t, X_{kt})$ is given by:

$$\tilde{\eta}(T_t, X_{kt}) = \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial X_{kt}} \frac{X_{kt}}{T_t} \quad (\text{AB-5})$$

where

$$\frac{\partial T_t}{\partial X_{kt}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T} E_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda_T}-1} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial X_{kt}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \frac{E_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda_T}}}{E_t} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial X_{kt}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \frac{T_t}{T_t^{\lambda_T}} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial X_{kt}}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial E_t}{\partial X_{kt}} = \begin{cases} \lambda_T \beta_k X_{kt}^{\lambda_{x_k}-1} & , \text{ if } f(Z_t) \text{ is not a function of } X_{kt} . \\ \lambda_T \beta_k X_{kt}^{\lambda_{x_k}-1} + \lambda_T \frac{1}{2} \delta_m Z_{mt}^{\lambda_{z_m}-1} (T^{(\lambda_T)} - \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{x_k})} - \beta_U U_t^{(\lambda_U)}) & , \text{ if } f(Z_t) \text{ contains one } Z_{mt} = X_{kt} . \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\tilde{\eta}(T_t, X_{kt}) = \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial X_{kt}} \frac{X_{kt}}{T_t} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \frac{T_t}{T_t^{\lambda_T}} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial X_{kt}} \frac{X_{kt}}{T_t} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T T_t^{\lambda_T}} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial X_{kt}} X_{kt}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T_t^{\lambda_T}} \beta_k X_{kt}^{\lambda_{x_k}} & , \text{ if } f(Z_t) \text{ is not a function of } X_{kt} . \\ \frac{1}{T_t^{\lambda_T}} \left[\beta_k X_{kt}^{\lambda_{x_k}} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_m Z_{mt}^{\lambda_{z_m}} (T^{(\lambda_T)} - \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{x_k})} - \beta_U U_t^{(\lambda_U)}) \right] & , \text{ if } f(Z_t) \text{ contains one } Z_{mt} = X_{kt} . \end{cases}$$

b) Elasticity term (B)

The elasticity $\eta(T_t, U_t)$ is given by:

$$\eta(T_t, U_t) = \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial U_t} \frac{U_t}{T_t} \quad (\text{AB-6})$$

where

$$\frac{\partial T_t}{\partial U_t} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T} E_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda_T}-1} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial U_t} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \frac{E_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda_T}}}{E_t} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial U_t} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \frac{T_t}{T_t^{\lambda_T}} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial U_t} = \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \frac{T_t}{T_t^{\lambda_T}} \lambda_T \beta_U U_t^{\lambda_U-1}.$$

Hence

$$\eta(T_t, U_t) = \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial U_t} \frac{U_t}{T_t} = \beta_U \frac{U_t^{\lambda_U}}{T_t^{\lambda_T}}.$$

It follows that, in the presence of heteroskedasticity and **serial autocorrelation** (L₁), the analytical expressions for the two elasticity terms (A) and (B) can be summarized as in the following table.

(A case)	(B case)
$\tilde{\eta}(T_t, X_{kt}) = \frac{1}{T_t^{\lambda_T}} (\beta_k X_{kt}^{\lambda_{X_k}} + G_{X_{kt}})$	$\eta(T_t, U_t) = \beta_U \frac{U_t^{\lambda_U}}{T_t^{\lambda_T}}$
where $G_{X_{kt}} = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } f(Z_t) \text{ is not a function of } X_{kt}. \\ \frac{1}{2} \delta_m Z_{mt}^{\lambda_{Z_m}} (T_t^{(\lambda_T)} - \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{X_k})} - \beta_U U_t^{(\lambda_U)}), & \text{ if } f(Z_t) \text{ contains one } Z_{mt} = X_{kt}. \end{cases}$ and $f(Z_t) = \exp \left(\sum_m \delta_m Z_{mt}^{(\lambda_{Z_m})} \right).$	

Derivation of elasticity terms (A) and (B) for L₂

Given again (AB-1) and (AB-2), now assume further that the residual v_t 's, instead of following the **serial** autoregressive process (AB-3-S), follow a stationary autoregressive **directed** process of first or second order with zero mean:

$$v_t = \sum_{l=1}^2 \rho_l \cdot \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{r}_{l,n} v_n \right) + w_t. \quad (\text{AB-3-D})$$

where the residuals w_t 's are assumed to have a zero mean.

The total demand equation (AB-1), rewritten with u_t replaced by its expression in (AB-2), will again yield (AB-4) because there is no need to replace v_t by its expression given in (AB-3-D) since v_t is neither function of X_{kt} with respect to which the elasticity term (A) needs to be derived, nor a function of U_t with respect to which the elasticity term (B) also needs to be derived.

Because (AB-4) remains unchanged, the (A) elasticity $\tilde{\eta}(T_t, X_{kt})$ and the (B) elasticity $\eta(T_t, U_t)$, defined again respectively as

$$\tilde{\eta}(T_t, X_{kt}) = \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial X_{kt}} \frac{X_{kt}}{T_t} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(T_t, U_t) = \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial U_t} \frac{U_t}{T_t} \quad (\text{AB-7})$$

will, in the presence of heteroskedasticity and **directed autocorrelation** (L₂), be identical to those found in the previous (L₁) case, and the summary table just constructed will equally hold for (L₂).

In fact, autocorrelation has no effect on the analytical expressions for (AB-7); and heteroskedasticity matters only in the rare case in which $f(Z_t)$ contains one $Z_{mt} = X_{kt}$. If heteroskedasticity depends on variables that are not regressors, (A) and (B) derivatives with respect to regressors are identically structured.

Level model summary table

For those reasons, the analytical expressions for (A) and (B) elasticities listed in Table 3 for the different combinations of heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation allowed by L_0 , L_1 and L_2 exhibit a simple repetitive pattern.

Table 3. Elasticity terms (A) and (B) for heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation combinations

LEVEL	1.6		2.1	
Column	1	2	3	4
	(L ₀)	(L ₁)	(L ₀)	(L ₂)
	Autocorrelation		Autocorrelation	
Heteroskedasticity	None	Serial	None	Directed
No	(A-1), (B)	(A-1), (B)	(A-1), (B)	(A-1), (B)
Yes	(A-2), (B)	(A-2), (B)	(A-2), (B)	(A-2), (B)
and the combinations of bracketed terms denote				
(A-1)		(B)		
$\tilde{\eta}(T_t, X_{kt}) = \beta_k \frac{X_{kt}^{\lambda_{X_k}}}{T_t^{\lambda_T}}$		$\eta(T_t, U_t) = \beta_U \frac{U_t^{\lambda_U}}{T_t^{\lambda_T}}$		
(A-2)				
$\tilde{\eta}(T_t, X_{kt}) = \frac{1}{T_t^{\lambda_T}} (\beta_k X_{kt}^{\lambda_{X_k}} + G_{X_{kt}})$				
where				
$G_{X_{kt}} = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } f(Z_t) \text{ is not a function of } X_{kt}. \\ \frac{1}{2} \delta_m Z_{mt}^{\lambda_{Z_m}} (T^{(\lambda_T)} - \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k X_{kt}^{(\lambda_{X_k})} - \beta_U U_t^{(\lambda_U)}), & \text{ if } f(Z_t) \text{ contains one } Z_{mt} = X_{kt}. \end{cases}$				
and				
$f(Z_t) = \exp \left(\sum_m \delta_m Z_{mt}^{(\lambda_{Z_m})} \right) .$				

1.2.2 Explication of Utility Elasticity Term (C)

Unfortunately, similarly explicit expressions of elasticity corresponding to term (C) in Equation (1) are not easily found in the literature, except perhaps on page 3 of Tran and Gaudry (2008c; AJD-117) where the formulas may well be written too compactly for an intuitive grasp of their contents. There is therefore a particular need to explicate what (C) is actually made of because, in the transport literature, the induced or “generated” transport demand elasticity is commonly defined in two different ways: (i) as (B) only, usually called the *induction* elasticity; (ii) as the product (B)*(C), typically called the *modal induction* elasticity.

It is important to reiterate that, because TABQDF only uses elasticities evaluated at sample means, the forthcoming expressions apply equally to SHARE and to PROBABILITY elasticities for corresponding S_s and in P_p model types used in Table 2 and listed in Table 4.

For this explication and clarification of the contents of (C), we must first document the mode split model families of interest and then specify the two kinds of utility functions allowed in all 5 families of the PROBABILITY and SHARE estimation procedures and programs, namely the Standard and Generalized representative utility function formulation.

Modal utilities. In (0-3), the *modal utility* U_m specification actually defines the 5 model families allowed for in the PROBABILITY and SHARE estimations procedures. Given that V_m (to be specified in more detail below) denotes the *representative utility* of mode m , these 5 families are listed in Table 4 with slight changes in indices from the corresponding expressions found on page 5.

Table 4. The five modal utilities specified in SHARE and PROBABILITY procedures

MODEL FAMILY	Modal utility $U_m \geq 0$
LOGIT	$\exp(V_m)$
STANDARD DOGIT	$\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) , \theta_m \geq 0$
GENERALIZED DOGIT	$\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) , \theta_{mm} = 0 \text{ and } \theta_{mj} \geq 0$
LIN-IPT-LOGIT	$[\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{1/\phi_m} - \mu_m , \phi_m \geq 0 \text{ and } \mu_m \leq 1$
BT-IPT-LOGIT	$\exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\} , \tilde{\mu}_m \geq 0$

Representative utility functions. The representative utility components V_m to be distinguished in all modal utilities found in Table 4 belong to the two groups of specifications also found on page 4:

i) **Standard representative utility formulation**

In this case, a network variable X_{mn} appears only in its own mode m . The form of V_m is as follows:

$$V_m = \beta_{m0} + \sum_n \beta_{mn} X_{mn}^{(\lambda_{mn})} + \sum_s \beta_{ms} X_{ms}^{(\lambda_{ms})} \quad (C-1)$$

where n and s are the indices for the network and socioeconomic variables respectively.

ii) **Generalized representative utility formulation**

In this case, a network variable X_{mn} appears not only in its own mode m but also as X_{jn} across all the other modes $j \neq m$. The corresponding V_m and V_j can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} V_m &= \beta_{m0} + \sum_n \beta_{mn} X_{mn}^{(\lambda_{mn})} + \sum_s \beta_{ms} X_{ms}^{(\lambda_{ms})} \\ V_j &= \beta_{j0} + \sum_n \beta_{jn} X_{jn}^{(\lambda_{jn})} + \sum_s \beta_{js} X_{js}^{(\lambda_{js})} . \end{aligned} \quad (C-2)$$

If we assume for expository purposes that the particular variable X_{mn} of interest is the price of the rail mode transformed by the Box-Cox parameter λ_{mn} in the own rail utility function and by λ_{jn} in the utility functions pertaining to other modes, we can document the impact of changes in this price X_{mn} on the utility term U defined as the denominator of the given choice model. For additional simplicity, we also relabel the X_{mn} price variable as X .

We are now in a position to explicate the (C) term of Equation (1), systematically distinguishing between Standard and Generalized representative utility function categories for all modal utility families. We therefore consider in turn each of the (indifferently aggregate or disaggregate) mode split model families: Logit, Standard Dogit, Generalized Dogit, Lin-IPT-Logit and BT-IPT-Logit.

LOGIT

Standard utility case. As, in (C-1), X appears only in the rail mode utility function with a Box-Cox parameter $\lambda_{rail,X}$, the elasticity of U with respect to X can be expressed as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U} = \frac{\partial \exp(V_{rail})}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \exp(V_{rail})}{\partial X} = \exp(V_{rail}) \frac{\partial V_{rail}}{\partial X} = \beta_{rail,X} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{rail,X})}}{\partial X} \exp(V_{rail}) = \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}-1} \exp(V_{rail}),$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} \frac{\exp(V_{rail})}{\sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m)} = \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} P_{rail} \quad (C-3)$$

where $P_{rail} = \exp(V_{rail}) / \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m)$ is the rail mode share or choice probability.

Generalized utility case. In (C-2), the rail price variable X appears in all utility functions with a Box-Cox parameter λ_{mX} specific to each modal function. We therefore have in this case:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m)}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m)}{\partial X} = \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m) \frac{\partial V_m}{\partial X} = \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{mX})}}{\partial X} \exp(V_m) = \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}-1} \exp(V_m),$$

so that, if P_m is the market share or choice probability of mode m , the elasticity can be rewritten:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \frac{\exp(V_m)}{\sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m)} = \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} P_m \quad (C-4)$$

where $P_m = \exp(V_m) / \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m)$ is the m -th mode share or choice probability.

STANDARD DOGIT

Standard utility case. As, in (C-1), X appears only in the rail mode utility function with a Box-Cox parameter $\lambda_{rail,X}$, the elasticity of U with respect to X can be expressed as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \right]}{\partial X} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\partial \exp(V_m)}{\partial X} + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{\partial \exp(V_j)}{\partial X} \\ &= \frac{\partial \exp(V_{rail})}{\partial X} + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m \frac{\partial \exp(V_{rail})}{\partial X} \\ &= (1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \frac{\partial \exp(V_{rail})}{\partial X} \\ &= (1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \exp(V_{rail}) \frac{\partial V_{rail}}{\partial X} \\ &= (1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \exp(V_{rail}) \beta_{rail,X} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{rail,X})}}{\partial X} \\ &= (1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \exp(V_{rail}) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{(1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} \exp(V_{rail})}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \right]} \quad (C-5)$$

Generalized utility case. In (C-2), the rail price variable X appears in all utility functions with a Box-Cox parameter λ_{mX} specific to each modal function. We therefore have in this case:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \right]}{\partial X} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\partial \exp(V_m)}{\partial X} + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{\partial \exp(V_j)}{\partial X} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m) \frac{\partial V_m}{\partial X} + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial X} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{mX})}}{\partial X} + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \beta_{jX} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{jX})}}{\partial X} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}-1} + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \beta_{jX} X^{\lambda_{jX}-1} \\ &= (1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}-1} \end{aligned}$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{(1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m)}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \right]} . \quad (C-6)$$

GENERALIZED DOGIT

Standard utility case. As, in (C-1), X appears only in the rail mode utility function with a Box-Cox parameter $\lambda_{rail,X}$, the elasticity of U with respect to X can be expressed as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \right]}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\partial \exp(V_m)}{\partial X} + \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \frac{\partial \exp(V_j)}{\partial X} \\
&= \exp(V_{rail}) \frac{\partial V_{rail}}{\partial X} + \sum_{m \neq rail}^M \theta_{m,rail} \exp(V_{rail}) \frac{\partial V_{rail}}{\partial X} \\
&= (1 + \sum_{m \neq rail}^M \theta_{m,rail}) \exp(V_{rail}) \frac{\partial V_{rail}}{\partial X} \\
&= (1 + \sum_{m \neq rail}^M \theta_{m,rail}) \exp(V_{rail}) \beta_{rail,X} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{rail,X})}}{\partial X} \\
&= (1 + \sum_{m \neq rail}^M \theta_{m,rail}) \exp(V_{rail}) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}-1}
\end{aligned}$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\eta_{U,X} = \frac{(1 + \sum_{m \neq rail}^M \theta_{m,rail}) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} \exp(V_{rail})}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \right]}. \quad (C-7)$$

Generalized utility case. In (C-2), the rail price variable X appears in all utility functions with a Box-Cox parameter λ_{mX} specific to each modal function. We therefore have in this case:

$$\eta_{U,X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \right]}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\frac{\partial \exp(V_m)}{\partial X} + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \frac{\partial \exp(V_j)}{\partial X} \right] \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) \frac{\partial V_m}{\partial X} + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial X} \right] \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{mX})}}{\partial X} + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \beta_{jX} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{jX})}}{\partial X} \right] \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}-1} + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \beta_{jX} X^{\lambda_{jX}-1} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \beta_{jX} X^{\lambda_{jX}} \exp(V_j) \right]}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \right]}. \quad (C-8)$$

LIN-IPT-LOGIT

Standard utility case. As, in (C-1), X appears only in the rail mode utility function with a Box-Cox parameter $\lambda_{rail,X}$, the elasticity of U with respect to X can be expressed as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m}} - \mu_m \right\}}{\partial X} \\ &= [\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_{rail}} - 1} \frac{\partial \exp(V_{rail})}{\partial X} \\ &= [\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_{rail}} - 1} \exp(V_{rail}) \frac{\partial V_{rail}}{\partial X} \\ &= [\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_{rail}} - 1} \exp(V_{rail}) \beta_{rail,X} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{rail,X})}}{\partial X} \\ &= [\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_{rail}} - 1} \exp(V_{rail}) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} \exp(V_{rail}) [\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_{rail}} - 1}}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m}} - \mu_m \right\}}. \quad (C-9)$$

Generalized utility case. In (C-2), the rail price variable X appears in all utility functions with a Box-Cox parameter λ_{mX} specific to each modal function. We therefore have in this case:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m}} - \mu_m \right\}}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\partial \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m}} - \mu_m \right\}}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m} - 1} \exp(V_m) \frac{\partial V_m}{\partial X} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m} - 1} \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{mX})}}{\partial X} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m} - 1} \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX} - 1} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m) [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m} - 1} \right\}}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m}} - \mu_m \right\}}. \quad (C-10)$$

BT-IPT-LOGIT

Standard utility case. As, in (C-1), X appears only in the rail mode utility function with a Box-Cox parameter $\lambda_{rail,X}$, the elasticity of U with respect to X can be expressed as:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}}{\partial X} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} \right\} \frac{\partial \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} \right\}}{\partial X} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} \right\} [\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}-1} \frac{\partial \exp(V_{rail})}{\partial X} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} \right\} [\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}-1} \beta_{rail,X} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{rail,X})}}{\partial X} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} \right\} [\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}-1} \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}-1}
\end{aligned}$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{U.X} &= \frac{\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} [\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}-1} \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} \right\}}{\sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}} \\
&= \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} [\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}-1} P_{rail}
\end{aligned} \tag{C-11}$$

where

$$P_{rail} = \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}} \right\} / \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}$$

is the rail mode share or choice probability.

Generalized utility case. In (C-2), the rail price variable X appears in all utility functions with a Box-Cox parameter λ_{mX} specific to each modal function. We therefore have in this case:

$$\eta_{U.X} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \frac{X}{U}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M U_m}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}}{\partial X} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \partial \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\} \frac{\partial \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\} [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m - 1} \frac{\partial \exp(V_m)}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\} [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m - 1} \exp(V_m) \frac{\partial V_m}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\} [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m - 1} \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} \frac{\partial X^{(\lambda_{mX})}}{\partial X} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\} [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m - 1} \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX} - 1}
\end{aligned}$$

so that the elasticity can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{U.X} &= \frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m) [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m - 1} \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}}{\sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m) [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m - 1} P_m
\end{aligned} \tag{C-12}$$

where

$$P_m = \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\} / \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}$$

is the m -th mode share or choice probability.

Utility Elasticity Term (C): a Summary of Standard and Generalized cases. The 10 analytical expressions of elasticity term (C) just derived above are collected in Table 5.

Table 5. Elasticity term (C), or $\eta(U, X_k)$, when X_{rail} is transformed by $\lambda_{rail,X}$, λ_{jX} or λ_{mX}

FAMILY	Modal utility $U_m \geq 0$	
LOGIT	$\exp(V_m)$	
	$\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} P_{rail}$	$\sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} P_m$
STANDARD DOGIT	$\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j)$, $\theta_m \geq 0$	
	$\frac{(1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} \exp(V_{rail})}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \right]}$	$\frac{(1 + \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m) \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m)}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \right]}$
GENERALIZED DOGIT	$\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j)$, $\theta_{mm} = 0$ and $\theta_{mj} \geq 0$	
	$\frac{(1 + \sum_{m \neq rail}^M \theta_{m,rail}) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} \exp(V_{rail})}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \right]}$	$\frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \beta_{jX} X^{\lambda_{jX}} \exp(V_j) \right]}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \right]}$
LIN-IPT-LOGIT	$[\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{1/\phi_m} - \mu_m$, $\phi_m \geq 0$ and $\mu_m \leq 1$	
	$\frac{\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} \exp(V_{rail}) [\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_{rail}} - 1}}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m}} - \mu_m \right\}}$	$\frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m) [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m} - 1} \right\}}{\sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m}} - \mu_m \right\}}$
BT-IPT-LOGIT	$\exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}$, $\tilde{\mu}_m \geq 0$	
	$\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} [\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail} - 1} P_{rail}$	$\sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m) [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m - 1} P_m$
Standard representative utility function		Generalized representative utility function

1.2.3 Explication of Elasticity Term (D)

Analytical expressions for term (D) are found in the PROBABILITY P-2/P-6 (Tran and Gaudry, 2009a; AJD-111) and SHARE S-1/S-5 (Tran and Gaudry, 2008b; AJD-114) documents. We repeat them for convenience and make them as explicit as those just provided for term (C), distinguishing for each of the 5 families listed in Table 4 between Standard and Generalized representative utility function specifications.

It is again important to note that the forthcoming expressions apply equally to SHARE and to PROBABILITY elasticities P and S in Table 2 because TABQDF solely uses elasticities evaluated at sample means.

Table 6. Elasticity term (D), or $\eta(P_m, X_k)$, when X_{rail} is transformed by $\lambda_{rail,X}$, λ_{jX} or λ_{mX}

Part 1	
FAMILY	Probability or Share P_m
LOGIT	$\exp(V_m) / \sum_{m=1}^M \exp(V_m)$
	$\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} (1 - P_{rail})$ $\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} (1 - P_{rail}) - P_{rail} \sum_{m \neq rail}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}}$
STANDARD DOGIT	$\left[\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \right] / \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j) \right], \theta_m \geq 0$
$\frac{\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} (1 - P_{rail}) (1 + \theta_{rail})}{1 + \theta_{rail} \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j - V_{rail})}$	$\frac{A_{rail} (1 - P_{rail}) - P_{rail} \sum_{m \neq rail}^M A_m}{1 + \theta_{rail} \sum_{j=1}^M \exp(V_j - V_{rail})}$ where $A_{rail} = \left[\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} + \theta_{rail} \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m - V_{rail}) \right]$ $A_m = \left[\beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m - V_{rail}) + \theta_m \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_{jX} X^{\lambda_{jX}} \exp(V_j - V_{rail}) \right]$
Standard utility function	Generalized utility function

Part 2	
FAMILY	Probability or Share P_m
GENERALIZED DOGIT	$\left[\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \right] / \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\exp(V_m) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \exp(V_j) \right],$ $\theta_{mm} = 0 \text{ and } \theta_{mj} \geq 0$
$\frac{\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} (1 - P_{rail})}{1 + \sum_{j \neq rail}^M \theta_{rail,j} \exp(V_j - V_{rail})}$	$\frac{B_{rail} (1 - P_{rail}) - P_{rail} \sum_{m \neq rail}^M B_m}{1 + \sum_{j \neq rail}^M \theta_{rail,j} \exp(V_j - V_{rail})}$ where $B_{rail} = \left[\beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}} + \sum_{m \neq rail}^M \theta_{rail,m} \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m - V_{rail}) \right]$ $B_m = \left[\beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(V_m - V_{rail}) + \sum_{j \neq m}^M \theta_{mj} \beta_{jX} X^{\lambda_{jX}} \exp(V_j - V_{rail}) \right]$
LIN-IPT-LOGIT	$\left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{1/\phi_m} - \mu_m \right\} / \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{1/\phi_m} - \mu_m \right\},$ $\phi_m \geq 0 \text{ and } \mu_m \leq 1$
$\frac{C_{rail} (1 - P_{rail})}{[\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{1/\phi_{rail}} - \mu_{rail}}$	$\frac{C_{rail} (1 - P_{rail}) - P_{rail} \sum_{m \neq rail}^M C_m}{[\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{1/\phi_{rail}} - \mu_{rail}}$ where $C_{rail} = [\phi_{rail} \exp(V_{rail}) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_{rail}} - 1} \exp(V_{rail}) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}}$ $C_m = [\phi_m \exp(V_m) + 1]^{\frac{1}{\phi_m} - 1} \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}}$
BT-IPT-LOGIT	$\exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\} / \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left\{ \frac{[\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - 1}{\tilde{\phi}_m} \right\}, \tilde{\mu}_m \geq 0$
$D_{rail} (1 - P_{rail})$	$D_{rail} (1 - P_{rail}) - P_{rail} \sum_{m \neq rail}^M D_m$ where $D_{rail} = [\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail} - 1} \exp(V_{rail}) \beta_{rail,X} X^{\lambda_{rail,X}}$ $D_m = [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m - 1} \exp(V_m) \beta_{mX} X^{\lambda_{mX}} \exp(E_m)$ $E_m = [\exp(V_m) + \tilde{\mu}_m]^{\tilde{\phi}_m} - [\exp(V_{rail}) + \tilde{\mu}_{rail}]^{\tilde{\phi}_{rail}}$
Standard utility function	Generalized utility function

1.2.4 Modified Elasticity Expressions when the explanatory variable is a Dummy

In (1), the elasticity result (F), $\eta(T_m, X_k)$, is modified when the variable X_k is a dummy variable since the three elasticity terms (A), (C) and (D) are themselves corrected to take into account only the positive observations of X_k . As the U term is never a dummy, the issue does not arise for (B).

Table 7. Corrected Elasticity terms (A*), (C*) and (D*) when X_k is a Dummy variable

LEVEL 1.6 or LEVEL 2.1	(A*)	$\tilde{\eta}(T, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k^+} = \tilde{\eta}(T, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k} \frac{N_k}{N_k^+}$ where $\tilde{\eta}(T, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k}$ is the elasticity of T with respect to X_k given in case (A-1) or (A-2) of Table 3, evaluated at the sample mean of X_k over N_k observations of the dummy; $\tilde{\eta}(T, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k^+}$ is the elasticity of T with respect to X_k after the correction for the positive observations of the dummy, evaluated at the sample mean of X_k over N_k^+ positive observations of X_k.
PROBABILITY P-2 to P-6 or SHARE S-1 to S-5	(C*)	$\eta(U, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k^+} = \eta(U, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k} \frac{N_k}{N_k^+}$ where $\eta(U, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k}$ is the elasticity of U with respect to X_k given in Table 5, evaluated at the sample mean of X_k over N_k observations of the dummy; $\eta(U, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k^+}$ is the elasticity of U with respect to X_k after the correction for the positive observations of the dummy, evaluated at the sample mean of X_k over N_k^+ positive observations of X_k.
	(D*)	$\eta(P_m, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k^+} = \eta(P_m, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k} \frac{N_k}{N_k^+}$ where $\eta(P_m, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k}$ is the elasticity of P_m with respect to X_k given in Table 6, evaluated at the sample mean of X_k over N_k observations of the dummy; $\eta(P_m, X_k) \Big _{\bar{X}_k^+}$ is the elasticity of P_m with respect to X_k after the correction for the positive observations of the dummy, evaluated at the sample mean of X_k over N_k^+ positive observations of X_k.

1.3 Examples to be produced

Five examples only from 20 allowed combinations. Presenting real examples from allowed multiplications of models requires combining regression outputs for *Totals*, obtained from both LEVEL 1.6 and LEVEL 2.1 algorithms, and regression outputs for *Splits*, obtained from both SHARE S-1/S-5 and PROBABILITY P-2/P-6 algorithms. As it is impossible to illustrate here all 20 representative combinations allowed by TABQDF, we choose 5 typical ones drawing, to the extent possible, from regression variants already documented at length in LEVEL, SHARE or PROBABILITY manuals: their databases and examples are listed in Table 8. In fact, the first 3 examples draw directly from the Canada 1976 database [framed in Table 8] for Generation-Distribution and Share variants but the last 2 examples will draw from the VIA RAIL 1987 database which is not listed in Table 8: these examples will consequently require a distinct treatment below.

Table 8. Available data bases and examples in LEVEL, PROBABILITY and SHARE manuals

Examples	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th
LEVEL 1.6 data in AJD-107				
Bases	Montreal (Dec. 1956-Dec. 1971)		Quebec (Dec. 1956-Dec.1982)	
Model	Demtec transit demand model		DRAG-1 road safety model	
Equations	1 (Adults)	2 (Adults); 4 (Schoolchildren)	9 equations	
Tablex	AJD-106, p. 231	AJD-106, p. 240	AJD-106, p. 250	
LEVEL 2.1 data in AJD-110				
Bases	Trade flows 1988 North America 1 proximity matrix	Canada 1976 intercity passenger 1 proximity matrix	Trade flows 1987 European Community 1 proximity matrix	Canada 1976 intercity passenger, 2 proximity matrices
Model	Generation-Distribution models			
Equations	6 variants	6 variants (4-mode)	6 variants	6 variants (4-mode)
Tablex	AJD-109, p. 52	AJD-109, p. 55	AJD-118, Appendix 1	AJD-118, Appendix 1
PROBABILITY P-2/P-6 data in AJD-113				
Base	Santiago 1983-1985			
Model	Urban 9-mode choice			
Equations	9 variants			
Tablex	AJD-112, p. 125			
SHARE S-1/S-5 data in AJD-116				
Bases	Canada 1976		Germany 1985	
Model	Intercity passenger mode choice			
Equations	6 variants (4-mode)	3 variants (4-mode)	3 variants (3-mode)	
Tablex	AJD-115, p. 90	AJD-115, p. 96	AJD-115, p. 102	

As was the case in the appendix of the procedures report (Tran and Gaudry, 2008c), each example here effectively requires three Tables: the *two source Tablexes* of the models that are combined (we call these here the AB Tablex for the Generation-Distribution models and the D Tablex for the mode Split models) *and the TABQDF Tablex itself*, (called an ABCDEF TABQDF). For each of the TABQDF tables produced with the 5 examples, the source Tablexes are found in the manuals or in appendices to this document: they are all referenced and accessible. The TABQDF Tables do not contain all the statistical information found in the source tables, but the reader can easily verify that features common to TABQDF and sources, such as the elasticities and *t*-statistics, duly match. The QDF algorithm checks for the consistency between the Generation-Distribution variants and the U terms automatically generated by the SHARE and PROBABILITY algorithms³.

³ These algorithms automatically create files for the U term and some related results: (C) and (D) elasticity terms, as well as conditional *t*-statistics of the regression coefficients.

A first group of 3 examples combining Level and Share models. The first group of examples combines *Total Generation-Distribution* and *Share Mode Split* model results:

- Example I combines 1 SHARE variant (1 S) and 1 LEVEL variant. (1 L₀) ;
- Example II combines 1 SHARE variant (1 S) and 7 LEVEL variants (7 L₂);
- Example III combines 6 SHARE variants (6 S) and 1 LEVEL variant (1 L₂);

Example I. Example I in the table below combines a simple logarithmic form (LOG) of the Generation-Distribution model found in Gaudry *et alii* (1994, 2002; reproduced in AJD-109, p. 55) re-estimated with the LEVEL-1.6 algorithm to document the use of this algorithm with a Mode choice variant estimated with 4 Box-Cox transformations (4BC-LOGIT from AJD-115, p. 96). As this case, found in Column 0, is the same as that of Column 1 in the next table explaining Example II, it naturally has the same Log Likelihood value.

ABCDEF TABQDF for Example I				
			AB Tablex	
			0	
			LOG	
D Tablex	1	LIN-LOGIT		-537.74
	2	1BC-LOGIT		-494.56
	3	4BC-LOGIT	X	-479.51
			-1318.24	Log. Lik.
Source : Gaudry <i>et alii</i> (1994, 2002):				
AB Tablex : Column 1, Table B.2, p. 50, re-estimated by LEVEL-1.6 algorithm.				
D Tablex : Table B.1, p. 48-49.				

Example II. The next example developed in the table below is identical to that found in Tran and Gaudry (2008c). It combines the SHARE S-1/S-5 variant used in Example I — estimated with 4 Box-Cox transformations (4BC-LOGIT), one on each variable of the mode choice model — to seven distinct LEVEL-2.1 variants: the first one is of logarithmic form (LOG) and the others add first order (AU1) or second order (AU2) spatial autocorrelation [and even the proximity term (PR) of a distributed lag as well]. In some cases, the form of the Generation-Distribution model is estimated with five Box-Cox transformations (BC5) — one on each variable of the model. Only Log likelihood values of the original models are supplied here, but the source papers and Tablexes provide details.

ABCDEF TABQDF for Example II										
			AB Tablex							
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
			LOG	LOG + AU1	LOG + AU1 + PR	BC5 +AU1 + PR	LOG +AU1+AU2	LOG +AU1+AU2 + PR	BC5 +AU1+AU2 + PR	
D Tablex	1	LIN-LOGIT								-537.74
	2	1BC-LOGIT								-494.56
	3	4BC-LOGIT	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	-479.51
			-1318.24	-1294.58	-1294.48	-1262.98	-1309.49	-1301.11	-1272.27	<u>Log Lik.</u>
Source : Gaudry <i>et alii</i> (1994, 2002)										
ABCDEF TABQDF: Table B.5, p. 53-55.										
AB Tablex : Models from Columns 1,2,3 and 6 of Table B.2, p. 50 and Models from Columns 2,3 and 6 of Table B.3, p. 51.										
D Tablex : Table B.1, p. 48-49.										

Example III. The structure of Example II consisted in fixing the Mode choice model and modifying the Generation-Distribution model. We presently do the opposite for Example III in the table below.

In Column 8, we modify Case 7 of Example II in two ways: we reduce its total number of BCT from 5 to 4 (by imposing a common BCT to the variables REVE and POP previously obtaining distinct BCT), leaving everything else unchanged except for the replacement of the U term (of Line 3) by the U term generated from the Line 4 model.

This combination of changes reduces the Log Likelihood from -1272,27 (in Case 7) to -1385,25 (in Case 8). Cases 9-13 are then obtained by simple substitution of other U terms in accordance with the models defined in Lines 5-13. Different U terms naturally imply different Log likelihood values but these values are not nested.

ABCDEF TABQDF for Example III									
		AB Tablex							
		8	9	10	11	12	13		
		BC4 +AU1+AU2 + PR	BC4 +AU1+AU2 + PR	BC4 +AU1+AU2 + PR	BC4 +AU1+AU2 + PR	BC4 +AU1+AU2 + PR	BC4 +AU1+AU2 + PR		
D Tablex	4	LIN-LOGIT	X						-527.45
	5	BC-LOGIT		X					-499.34
	6	S-DOGIT			X				-509.27
	7	G-DOGIT				X			-499.22
	8	LIN-IPT-L					X		-508.79
	9	BT-IPT-L						X	-490.46
		-1385.25	-1388.59	1387.56	1388.75	1388.13	1384.89	Log Lik.	
Source :									
D Tablex : Table 4, pages 15-18 of Gaudry (1989, 1990, 1993).									
AB Tablex : new, obtained (i) in Column 8, from reducing the number of BCT of Case 7 in Example II by one (the Income and Population variables REVE and POP now share a BCT instead of obtaining one each) and by using the U term from the Line 4 model; (ii) in Columns 9-13, by using U terms obtained from from the models listed in lines 5-9.									

A second group of 2 examples combining Level and Probability models. The second group of examples combines *Total Generation-Distribution* and *Probability Mode Split* model results:

- Example IV combines 1 PROBABILITY variant (1 P) and 5 LEVEL variants (1 L₀);
- Example V combines 1 PROBABILITY variant (1 P) and 3 LEVEL variants (1 L₂).

In these examples, we use the VIA RAIL 1987 database for the Quebec-Windsor corridor of Canada (see Chapter 4 below) supplied in the AJD-119 companion to this document (AJD-118). The discrete data base contains 4401 Business Purpose trips and 8536 trips for Other Purposes. We reproduce in Appendix 2 six key mode choice model variants (3 by trip purpose) developed with the full samples drawn from a study of High Speed Rail made for Transport Canada (Gaudry and Le Leyzour, 1994).

But, as the L-2.1 algorithm is restricted to 1000 observations (due to the size limit [1000 x 1000] imposed on the two allowed R matrices — defined in the frame on page 4 above), we need to construct reduced-size Mode choice and Generation-distribution models from the original data base. As our purpose is to demonstrate the numerical capabilities of TABQDF, not to develop refined proper usable models, the retained examples of simplistic models are constructed only from the Business trip sample data.

First, we make use of the available sample weights to derive aggregate flows from the discrete observations: this yields 3226 two-way averages $[(T_{ij} + T_{ji})/2]$ if both origins (emissions) and destinations (attractions) are required strictly positive (i.e. $T_i > 0$ and $T_j > 0$). For many of these symmetric O-D flows, one or more of the four modes may have no registered individual trip⁴. We then draw at random 1000 of the 3226 Total O-D flows and note that, for our purposes, the underlying subset of discrete observations is sufficient across the modes: Rail is available for 991 individuals, Air for 846, Bus for 797 and Road for 999.

Above mentioned data bases and relevant Tablex editions are listed in Table 9 where the data base subset used for the forthcoming examples is framed.

Table 9. Available VIA RAIL 1987 Quebec-Windsor data bases and examples in QDF manuals

QDF data in AJD-119						
			Example IV		Example V	
Bases	Business	Other	Business			
Model	4-mode choice		4-mode choice	Generation-Distrib.	4-mode choice	Generation-Distrib.
Equations	3 variants	3 variants	5 variants	5 variants	5 variants	3 variants
Tablexes in AJD-118	Appendix 2		Appendix 3, Section 8.1	Appendix 4, Section 9.1	Appendix 3, Section 8.2	Appendix 4, Section 9.2
	Col. 1-3	Col. 4-6				
Observations	4401	8536	1000			
Sample	Full		Reduced			

Second, simplified specifications of Mode choice and Generation-Distribution models are adopted: apart from intercepts, the former include only **Frequency**, **Cost**, **Travel Time** and **Income** in modal utility functions (allowing for up to 4 Box-Cox transformations); the latter include only **trip ends T_i and T_j** , as well as the **U term** constructed from a mode choice variant (allowing for up to 4 Box-Cox transformations, as the dependent variable is also transformable).

Example IV. Example IV in the table below combines the U terms from a Box-Cox Logit specification of the Mode Choice with 4 BCT to 5 progressively more flexible specifications of the Generation-Distribution component model estimated with the LEVEL-1.6 algorithm.

ABCDEF TABQDF for Example IV									
AB Tablex from L-1.6 algorithm									
			14	15	16	17	18		
			LIN	LOG	BC1	BC2	BC4		
D Tablex	10	LOGIT LIN						-328.15	
	11	LOGIT LOG						-329.11	
	12	LOGIT BC1						-326.17	
	13	LOGIT BC2						-325.38	
	14	LOGIT BC4						-323.24	
			-18797.91	-17486.28	17482.62	17477.05	17459.96	Log Likelihood	
Source : this document AJD-118									
D Tablex : Appendix 3, Section 8.1.									
AB Tablex : Appendix 4, Section 9.1.									

Example V. The table below starts with the last combination found in Example IV (Line 14 and Column 18) and, using the LEVEL-2.1 algorithm, estimates progressively more complex versions of the Generation-Distribution model. At first, heteroskedasticity of a general form, based on the product of

⁴ Had we selected only O-D pairs for which the 4 modes are used, there would be only 2275 aggregate flows.

trip end terms $[T_i * T_j]$, yields very large gains in Log Likelihood (in Column 20) but the further addition of first order directed (spatial) autocorrelation based on flows originating or destinating less than 100 minutes by car from the origin or destination flow considered yields a non stationary result (as the first order autocorrelation parameter ρ_1 reaches -1, i.e. a corner solution) in Column 21. Although no statistical gain then occurs, the resulting model estimates pose no numerical problems for TABQDF computations. Another specification with a distributed lag (not reported on here) again yielded trivial statistical gain and posed no numerical problem for TABQDF computations.

ABCDEF TABQDF for Example V						
			AB Tablex from L-2.1 algorithm			
			19	20	21	
			BC4	BC4 +HG	BC4 +HG +AU1	
D Tablex	15	BC-LOGIT	X	X	X	-323.24
	16	S-DOGIT				-324.43
	17	G-DOGIT				-303.37
	18	LIN-IPT-L				-316.69
	19	BT-IPT-L				-308.62
			-17459.96	-17433.91	17433.38	Log Likelihood
Source : this document AJD-118						
D Tablex : Appendix 3, Section 8.2.						
AB Tablex : Appendix 4, Section 9.2.						

Table 10 summarises the combinations covered by these 5 TABQDF examples, which in fact include no estimates of serial autocorrelation based on a pure L_1 case defined in Table 2.

Table 10. The five examples to be presented in TABQDF tables

Model families		LEVEL 1.6		LEVEL 2.1	
		<i>Autocorrelation</i>		<i>Autocorrelation</i>	
		<i>None</i>	<i>Serial</i>	<i>None</i>	<i>Directed</i>
		<i>Canada 1976</i>			
SHARE S-1/S-5	<i>Full sample of O-D pairs with 4 modes</i>	I (1 S • 1 L ₀)			II (1 S • 7 L ₂)
					III (6 S • 1 L ₂)
		<i>Quebec-Windsor Corridor 1987</i>			
PROBABILITY P-2/P-6	<i>Subset of 1000 OD pairs (Business)</i>	IV (1 P • 5 L ₀)			V (1 P • 3 L ₂)
Column		1	2	3	4

2. QDF TABLE PROGRAM

2.1 Installation of QDF Table Program

The file *Tabqdf.zip*, which can be downloaded from the website of Agora Jules Dupuit currently found at www.e-ajd.net, contains all the folders used in this publication. To install the QDF Table Program with their examples of input/output files and associated database, unzip *Tabqdf.zip* to drive *d:*.

The *d:\Tabqdf* folder will be created with the following structure: in the root folder *d:\Tabqdf*, the Fortran file *Tabqdf.f* and specific files used in the compilation of the QDF program with Compaq Visual Fortran 6.6 are stored. Under this folder, there are four subfolders:

1. The *Databases* subfolder contains four folders:
 - a. Via Rail 1987
 - b. Intercity Passenger Flows Canada 1976
 - c. Trade Flows (in tons) European Community 1987
 - d. Trade Flows (in value) North America 1988
2. The *Documentation* subfolder contains all the publications cited in the text but not available from the website Agora Jules Dupuit.
3. The *Release* subfolder contains five compiled files: *Tabqdf.exe*, *Level1.exe*, *Level2.exe*, *Prob2007.exe* and *Share2007.exe*, for TABQDF, LEVEL L-1.6, LEVEL L-2.1, PROBABILITY P2/P6 and SHARE S1/S5 programs respectively.
4. The *TabqdfTests* subfolder includes Five folders *First Example*, *Second Example*, *Third Example*, *Fourth Example* and *Fifth Example* which contain the input (.in) / output (.txt) files and the data file associated with each example.

2.2 Program Input File

The input file for the QDF Table Program contains three sections:

SECTION I : QDF Table options	
First Card (List Options) _QDF ncol=...,nlevel=...,nshapro=...,nutil=...,...,nvs=...,nlist=...,nblxlev=...,nblxsha=...\$ (Symbol _ indicates a blank. A value should be specified for each parameter after the equality sign)	
ncol	Number of columns or variants to be reported in QDF Table (max. 10).
nlevel	1 for LEVEL L-1.6 2 for LEVEL L-2.1
nshapro	1 for SHARE S1-S5 2 for PROBABILITY P2-P6
nutil(i), i=1,ncol	vector of <i>ncol</i> elements indicating if the Utility variable <i>U</i> is present in the <i>i</i> -th variant for LEVEL L-1.6 or L-2.1: nutil(i)=0 if <i>U</i> is not present in the <i>i</i> -th variant and 1 otherwise.
nvs	Language version of QDF Table: nvs=0 for French, nvs=1 for English.
nlist	Output option for TABLEX (nlist=0 for a normal run where only the tablex is produced, nlist=1 when only the list of blocks with the definitions of the independent variables <i>X</i> is produced).

nblxlev	Number of blocks of independent variables X for LEVEL L-1.6 or L-2.1. The number of blocks is unlimited for a total of 100 independent variables.
nblxsha	Number of blocks of independent variables X for SHARE S1-S5 or PROBABILITY P2-P6. The number of blocks is unlimited for a total of 100 independent variables.

SECTION II : List of Names and Definitions for the Blocks and the Independent Variables

fname1	Name of the file containing the list of all the names and definitions for the blocks and the independent variables within each block for LEVEL L-1.6 or LEVEL L-2.1. A blank card should be added at the end of each block, including the last block.
fname2	Name of the file containing the list of all the names and definitions for the blocks and the independent variables within each block for SHARE S1-S5 or PROBABILITY P2-P6. A blank card should be added at the end of each block, including the last block.

SECTION III : Estimation Results

fnames	Names of the elasticity files for the two groups of variants. The first group of variants corresponds to LEVEL L-1.6 or L-2.1, followed by the second group associated with SHARE S1-S5 or PROBABILITY P2-P6. Enter one file name per variant. The display order of the variants in the columns of QDF Table follows the entry order of the elasticity files specified in this section.
---------------	---

2.3 Running QDF Table program

Since the QDF Table program is a DOS-program, it can only be run in a DOS dialog box:

1. Select START/RUN menu and type the command **cmd** to open the DOS dialog box.
2. At the DOS prompt, go to the folder *d:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\First Example* where the creation of a Tablex will be made for this example. If one is interested in creating a Tablex for the other examples, then go to the corresponding subfolder under the folder *TabqdfTests*. For each Example folder, there are two subfolders, namely *Level 1.6* or *Level 2.1*, and *Share* or *Probability* depending on the coupled models considered in the example. Each subfolder contains the input and output files for the estimation of the variants of the coupled models.
3. Before running the QDF Table program, all the variants of the coupled models should be estimated using the *Level 1.6*, *Level 2.1*, *Probability P2/P6* or *Share S1/S5* programs which are included in subfolder *d:\Tabqdf\Release* to obtain the elasticities associated with the variants for each model. These elasticities will be used as inputs to the QDF Table program (See Section III of 2.2 Program Input File).
4. To run the QDF Table program, the input file (.in) for the program and the definition file (.txt) containing all the names and the definitions of the blocks and the independent variables in each block should be created in each Example folder. To run the program with the *First Example* for example, go to *d:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\First Example* and type the command:

D:\Tabqdf\Release\Tabqdf.exe < input file name > output file name.

This command can also be written in a batch (.bat) file and double-click on it will run the QDF Table program with a specified input file. We will use the .bat files for the five examples to run the program.

The output file (.txt) containing the tablex for a set of estimated variants will be saved in the same folder as the input file.

2.4 First Example of Input File

TABQDF_Example_I.in

\$QDF ncol=1,nlevel=1,nshapro=1,nutil=1,nvs=1,nlist=0,nblxlev=1,nblxsha=4\$

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\First Example\Level 1.6\L1Listdef.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\First Example\Share\S1S5Listdef.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\First Example\Level 1.6\LOG1_ELA.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\First Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt

2.5 First Example of Output File

TABQDF_Example_I.doc

```
&QDF
NCOL      =          1,
NLEVEL    =          1,
NSHAPRO   =          1,
NUTIL     =          1, 9*0,
NVS       =          1,
NLIST     =          0,
NBLXLEV   =          1,
NBLXSHA   =          4
/
LEVEL L-1.6
-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
  The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES
  The variable TOTFLOW is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
  The variable POP      is the same in all variants.
  The variable REVE     is the same in all variants.
  The variable LANGFR   is the same in all variants.

SHARE
-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
  The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE ALTERNATIVES
  Alternative AIR       is the same in all variants.
  Alternative RAIL      is the same in all variants.
  Alternative BUS       is the same in all variants.
  Alternative CAR       is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
ALTERNATIVE 1: AIR
  The variable ODREG    is the same in all variants.
  The variable REVE     is the same in all variants.
  The variable LANGFR   is the same in all variants.
  The variable F1/D1    is the same in all variants.
  The variable D1/T1    is the same in all variants.
  The variable DIST1    is the same in all variants.
  The variable FREQU1   is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 2: RAIL
  The variable ODREG    is the same in all variants.
  The variable REVE     is the same in all variants.
  The variable LANGFR   is the same in all variants.
  The variable F2/D2    is the same in all variants.
```

The variable D2/T2 is the same in all variants.
 The variable DIST2 is the same in all variants.
 The variable FREQ2 is the same in all variants.
 ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS
 The variable ODREG is the same in all variants.
 The variable REVE is the same in all variants.
 The variable LANGFR is the same in all variants.
 The variable F3/D3 is the same in all variants.
 The variable D3/T3 is the same in all variants.
 The variable DIST3 is the same in all variants.
 The variable FREQ3 is the same in all variants.
 ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR
 The variable NUITA is the same in all variants.
 The variable F4/D4 is the same in all variants.
 The variable D4/T4 is the same in all variants.
 The variable DIST4 is the same in all variants.

```
=====
LEVEL                                FAMILY      1.6
                                VARIANT      LOG
                                NUMBER      1
```

```
SHARE                                FAMILY      LOGIT
                                VARIANT      BC4-LOGIT
                                NUMBER      3
=====
```

```
LEVEL

POPULATION          POP      EL (T,X)      1.389
                                (t)      ( 24.92)
REVENUE             REVE      EL (T,X)      0.832
                                (t)      ( 1.18)
FRENCH LANGUAGE     LANGFR    EL (T,X)      0.066
                                (t)      ( 1.17)
UTILITY-Share Variant 3  UTILITY EL (T,U)      0.634
                                (t)      ( 41.44)
-----
```

SHARE

ALTERNATIVE 1: AIR (Mean share: 0.3649)

```
=====
O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE      ODREG      EL (U)      -0.008
                                EL (Sm)     -0.029
                                (t)      ( -0.29)
                                EL (T)      -0.005
                                EL (Tm)     -0.034
                                D.R.      -0.583

INCOME                            REVE      EL (U)      1.043
                                EL (Sm)     1.362
                                (t)      ( 1.29)
                                EL (T)      1.493
                                EL (Tm)     2.855
                                D.R.      0.433

LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D          LANGFR    EL (U)      0.274
                                EL (Sm)     0.396
                                (t)      ( 2.53)
                                EL (T)      0.240
                                EL (Tm)     0.636
                                D.R.      0.036

FARE (AIR) / DISTANCE (AIR)      F1/D1      EL (U)      -0.547
                                EL (Sm)     -0.952
                                (t)      ( -7.38)
                                EL (T)      -0.347
                                EL (Tm)     -1.298
                                D.R.      -0.268

DISTANCE (AIR) / TIME (AIR)      D1/T1      EL (U)      0.670
                                EL (Sm)     1.164
```

		(t)	(6.24)
		EL (T)	0.424
		EL (Tm)	1.589
		D.R.	-0.268
DISTANCE (AIR)	DIST1	EL (U)	-0.702
		EL (Sm)	-1.221
		(t)	(-14.45)
		EL (T)	-0.445
		EL (Tm)	-1.665
		D.R.	-0.268
UTILITY FREQUENCY (AIR)	FREQU1	EL (U)	0.147
		EL (Sm)	0.256
		(t)	(6.25)
		EL (T)	0.093
		EL (Tm)	0.349
		D.R.	-0.268
ALTERNATIVE 2: RAIL (Mean share: 0.0409)			
=====			
O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE	ODREG	EL (U)	-0.008
		EL (Sm)	0.027
		(t)	(0.17)
		EL (T)	-0.005
		EL (Tm)	0.022
		D.R.	-6.832
INCOME	REVE	EL (U)	1.043
		EL (Sm)	1.925
		(t)	(1.57)
		EL (T)	1.493
		EL (Tm)	3.418
		D.R.	9.678
LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D	LANGFR	EL (U)	0.274
		EL (Sm)	-0.189
		(t)	(0.30)
		EL (T)	0.240
		EL (Tm)	0.051
		D.R.	113.610
FARE (RAIL) / DISTANCE (RAIL)	F2/D2	EL (U)	-0.017
		EL (Sm)	-0.316
		(t)	(-7.38)
		EL (T)	-0.011
		EL (Tm)	-0.327
		D.R.	-0.198
DISTANCE (RAIL) / TIME (RAIL)	D2/T2	EL (U)	0.075
		EL (Sm)	1.407
		(t)	(6.24)
		EL (T)	0.048
		EL (Tm)	1.455
		D.R.	-0.198
DISTANCE (RAIL)	DIST2	EL (U)	-0.094
		EL (Sm)	-1.760
		(t)	(-14.45)
		EL (T)	-0.060
		EL (Tm)	-1.819
		D.R.	-0.198
FREQUENCY (RAIL)	FREQ2	EL (U)	0.014
		EL (Sm)	0.253
		(t)	(6.25)
		EL (T)	0.009
		EL (Tm)	0.262
		D.R.	-0.198

ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS (Mean share: 0.0261)

=====				
O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE	ODREG	EL (U)	-0.008	
		EL (Sm)	0.157	
		(t)	(1.51)	
		EL (T)	-0.005	
		EL (Tm)	0.152	
		D.R.	-2.296	
INCOME	REVE	EL (U)	1.043	
		EL (Sm)	-0.571	
		(t)	(0.30)	
		EL (T)	1.493	
		EL (Tm)	0.922	
		D.R.	60.980	
LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D	LANGFR	EL (U)	0.274	
		EL (Sm)	0.593	
		(t)	(3.62)	
		EL (T)	0.240	
		EL (Tm)	0.833	
		D.R.	10.036	
FARE (BUS) / DISTANCE (BUS)	F3/D3	EL (U)	-0.008	
		EL (Sm)	-0.256	
		(t)	(-7.38)	
		EL (T)	-0.005	
		EL (Tm)	-0.261	
		D.R.	-0.282	
DISTANCE (BUS) / TIME (BUS)	D3/T3	EL (U)	0.043	
		EL (Sm)	1.435	
		(t)	(6.24)	
		EL (T)	0.027	
		EL (Tm)	1.463	
		D.R.	-0.282	
DISTANCE (BUS)	DIST3	EL (U)	-0.053	
		EL (Sm)	-1.773	
		(t)	(-14.45)	
		EL (T)	-0.034	
		EL (Tm)	-1.807	
		D.R.	-0.282	
FREQUENCY (BUS)	FREQ3	EL (U)	0.016	
		EL (Sm)	0.530	
		(t)	(6.25)	
		EL (T)	0.010	
		EL (Tm)	0.540	
		D.R.	-0.282	

ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR (Mean share: 0.5681)

=====				
NUMBER OF NIGHTS	NUITA	EL (U)	-0.360	
	-----	EL (Sm)	-0.289	
		(t)	(-8.43)	
		EL (T)	-0.228	
		EL (Tm)	-0.517	
		D.R.	-0.224	
FARE (CAR) / DISTANCE (CAR)	F4/D4	EL (U)	-0.175	
		EL (Sm)	-0.140	
		(t)	(-7.38)	
		EL (T)	-0.111	
		EL (Tm)	-0.251	
		D.R.	-0.224	
DISTANCE (CAR) / TIME (CAR)	D4/T4	EL (U)	0.846	
		EL (Sm)	0.679	
		(t)	(6.24)	
		EL (T)	0.536	

		EL (Tm)	1.216
		D.R.	-0.224
DISTANCE (CAR)	DIST4	EL (U)	-1.033
		EL (Sm)	-0.829
		(t)	(-14.45)
		EL (T)	-0.655
		EL (Tm)	-1.484
		D.R.	-0.224

2.6 Second Example of Input File

TABQDF_Example_II.in

```
$QDF ncol=7,nlevel=2,nshapro=1,nutil=1,1,1,1,1,1,nvs=1,nlist=0,nblxlev=1,nblxsha=4$
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Level 2.1\L2Listdef.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Share\S1S5Listdef.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Level 2.1\LOG1_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Level 2.1\LOG+AU12_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Level 2.1\LOG+AU1+P3_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Level 2.1\BC5+AU1+P4_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Level 2.1\LOG+A1+A25_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Level 2.1\LOG+A1A2P6_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Level 2.1\BC5+A1A2P7_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Second Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt
```

2.7 Second Example of Output File

TABQDF_Example_II.doc

```
$QDF
NCOL      =      7,
NLEVEL    =      2,
NSHAPRO   =      1,
NUTIL     = 7*1, 3*0,
NVS       =      1,
NLIST     =      0,
NBLXLEV   =      1,
NBLXSHA   =      4
/

LEVEL L-2.1
-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES
The variable TOTFLOW is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
The variable POP is the same in all variants.
The variable REVE is the same in all variants.
The variable LANGFR is the same in all variants.

SHARE
-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE ALTERNATIVES
Alternative AIR is the same in all variants.
Alternative RAIL is the same in all variants.
```

Alternative BUS is the same in all variants.
Alternative CAR is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
ALTERNATIVE 1: AIR
The variable ODREG is the same in all variants.
The variable REVE is the same in all variants.
The variable LANGFR is the same in all variants.
The variable F1/D1 is the same in all variants.
The variable D1/T1 is the same in all variants.
The variable DIST1 is the same in all variants.
The variable FREQU1 is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 2: RAIL
The variable ODREG is the same in all variants.
The variable REVE is the same in all variants.
The variable LANGFR is the same in all variants.
The variable F2/D2 is the same in all variants.
The variable D2/T2 is the same in all variants.
The variable DIST2 is the same in all variants.
The variable FREQ2 is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS
The variable ODREG is the same in all variants.
The variable REVE is the same in all variants.
The variable LANGFR is the same in all variants.
The variable F3/D3 is the same in all variants.
The variable D3/T3 is the same in all variants.
The variable DIST3 is the same in all variants.
The variable FREQ3 is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR
The variable NUITA is the same in all variants.
The variable F4/D4 is the same in all variants.
The variable D4/T4 is the same in all variants.
The variable DIST4 is the same in all variants.

LEVEL		FAMILY VARIANT NUMBER	2.1 LOG 1	2.1 LOG+AU1 2	2.1 LOG+AU1+P 3	2.1 BC5+AU1+P 4	2.1 LOG+AU1+P 5	2.1 LOG+AU1+P 6	2.1 BC5+AU1+P 7
SHARE		FAMILY VARIANT NUMBER	LOGIT BC4-LOGIT 3	LOGIT BC4-LOGIT 3	LOGIT BC4-LOGIT 3	LOGIT BC4-LOGIT 3	LOGIT BC4-LOGIT 3	LOGIT BC4-LOGIT 3	LOGIT BC4-LOGIT 3
LEVEL									
POPULATION	POP	EL (T,X) (t)	1.389 (25.45)	1.419 (28.04)	1.425 (28.36)	1.474 (37.37)	1.414 (25.61)	1.430 (29.06)	1.430 (30.01)
REVENUE	REVE	EL (T,X) (t)	0.832 (1.20)	1.799 (2.39)	1.706 (2.22)	0.633 (2.18)	1.320 (1.56)	0.940 (1.28)	0.268 (0.58)
FRENCH LANGUAGE	LANGFR	EL (T,X) (t)	0.066 (1.20)	0.334 (4.08)	0.348 (4.16)	0.194 (6.23)	0.239 (2.39)	0.287 (3.76)	0.169 (5.94)
UTILITY-Share Variant 3	UTILITY	EL (T,U) (t)	0.634 (42.33)	0.571 (25.07)	0.569 (25.27)	0.486 (24.51)	0.596 (21.25)	0.540 (18.76)	0.493 (22.83)
SHARE									
ALTERNATIVE 1: AIR	(Mean share: 0.3649)								
O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE	ODREG	EL (U) EL (Sm) (t) EL (T) EL (Tm) D.R.	-0.008 -0.029 (-0.29) -0.005 -0.034 -0.583	-0.008 -0.029 (-0.29) -0.005 -0.033 -0.618	-0.008 -0.029 (-0.29) -0.005 -0.033 -0.619	-0.008 -0.029 (-0.29) -0.004 -0.033 -0.668	-0.008 -0.029 (-0.29) -0.005 -0.034 -0.604	-0.008 -0.029 (-0.29) -0.004 -0.033 -0.636	-0.008 -0.029 (-0.29) -0.004 -0.033 -0.664
INCOME	REVE	EL (U) EL (Sm) (t) EL (T) EL (Tm) D.R.	1.043 1.362 (1.29) 1.493 2.855 0.433	1.043 1.362 (1.29) 2.395 3.757 0.747	1.043 1.362 (1.29) 2.300 3.662 0.721	1.043 1.362 (1.29) 1.140 2.502 0.249	1.043 1.362 (1.29) 1.942 3.304 0.611	1.043 1.362 (1.29) 1.504 2.866 0.438	1.043 1.362 (1.29) 0.782 2.144 0.000
LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D	LANGFR	EL (U) EL (Sm) (t) EL (T) EL (Tm) D.R.	0.274 0.396 (2.53) 0.240 0.636 0.036	0.274 0.396 (2.53) 0.490 0.886 0.517	0.274 0.396 (2.53) 0.504 0.899 0.535	0.274 0.396 (2.53) 0.327 0.723 0.241	0.274 0.396 (2.53) 0.403 0.798 0.382	0.274 0.396 (2.53) 0.435 0.831 0.435	0.274 0.396 (2.53) 0.304 0.700 0.191
FARE(AIR) / DISTANCE(AIR)	F1/D1	EL (U) EL (Sm) (t) EL (T) EL (Tm) D.R.	-0.547 -0.952 (-7.38) -0.347 -1.298 -0.268	-0.547 -0.952 (-7.38) -0.312 -1.264 -0.323	-0.547 -0.952 (-7.38) -0.311 -1.263 -0.324	-0.547 -0.952 (-7.38) -0.266 -1.218 -0.401	-0.547 -0.952 (-7.38) -0.326 -1.278 -0.300	-0.547 -0.952 (-7.38) -0.296 -1.247 -0.350	-0.547 -0.952 (-7.38) -0.270 -1.221 -0.395
DISTANCE(AIR) / TIME(AIR)	D1/T1	EL (U) EL (Sm) (t) EL (T) EL (Tm) D.R.	0.670 1.164 (6.24) 0.424 1.589 -0.268	0.670 1.164 (6.24) 0.382 1.547 -0.323	0.670 1.164 (6.24) 0.381 1.545 -0.324	0.670 1.164 (6.24) 0.326 1.490 -0.401	0.670 1.164 (6.24) 0.399 1.564 -0.300	0.670 1.164 (6.24) 0.362 1.526 -0.350	0.670 1.164 (6.24) 0.330 1.494 -0.395
DISTANCE(AIR)	DIST1	EL (U) EL (Sm) (t)	-0.702 -1.221 (-14.45)	-0.702 -1.221 (-14.45)	-0.702 -1.221 (-14.45)	-0.702 -1.221 (-14.45)	-0.702 -1.221 (-14.45)	-0.702 -1.221 (-14.45)	-0.702 -1.221 (-14.45)

		EL (T)	-0.445	-0.401	-0.399	-0.341	-0.418	-0.379	-0.346
		EL (Tm)	-1.665	-1.621	-1.620	-1.562	-1.639	-1.600	-1.567
		D.R.	-0.268	-0.323	-0.324	-0.401	-0.300	-0.350	-0.395
UTILITY FREQUENCY (AIR)	FREQU1	EL (U)	0.147	0.147	0.147	0.147	0.147	0.147	0.147
		EL (Sm)	0.256	0.256	0.256	0.256	0.256	0.256	0.256
		(t)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)
		EL (T)	0.093	0.084	0.084	0.072	0.088	0.079	0.073
		EL (Tm)	0.349	0.340	0.340	0.327	0.343	0.335	0.328
		D.R.	-0.268	-0.323	-0.324	-0.401	-0.300	-0.350	-0.395
ALTERNATIVE 2: RAIL (Mean share: 0.0409)									
=====									
O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE	ODREG	EL (U)	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008
		EL (Sm)	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027
		(t)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.17)
		EL (T)	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.004	-0.005	-0.004	-0.004
		EL (Tm)	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.023	0.022	0.022	0.023
		D.R.	-6.832	-6.133	-6.113	-5.241	-6.409	-5.805	-5.309
INCOME	REVE	EL (U)	1.043	1.043	1.043	1.043	1.043	1.043	1.043
		EL (Sm)	1.925	1.925	1.925	1.925	1.925	1.925	1.925
		(t)	(1.57)	(1.57)	(1.57)	(1.57)	(1.57)	(1.57)	(1.57)
		EL (T)	1.493	2.395	2.300	1.140	1.942	1.504	0.782
		EL (Tm)	3.418	4.319	4.224	3.065	3.866	3.428	2.707
		D.R.	9.678	12.552	12.307	8.094	11.276	9.721	6.063
LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D	LANGFR	EL (U)	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274
		EL (Sm)	-0.189	-0.189	-0.189	-0.189	-0.189	-0.189	-0.189
		(t)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)
		EL (T)	0.240	0.490	0.504	0.327	0.403	0.435	0.304
		EL (Tm)	0.051	0.301	0.315	0.138	0.214	0.246	0.115
		D.R.	113.610	38.784	38.128	56.865	45.082	42.231	63.656
FARE (RAIL) / DISTANCE (RAIL)	F2/D2	EL (U)	-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.017	-0.017
		EL (Sm)	-0.316	-0.316	-0.316	-0.316	-0.316	-0.316	-0.316
		(t)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)
		EL (T)	-0.011	-0.010	-0.010	-0.008	-0.010	-0.009	-0.008
		EL (Tm)	-0.327	-0.326	-0.326	-0.324	-0.326	-0.325	-0.324
		D.R.	-0.198	-0.275	-0.277	-0.379	-0.244	-0.312	-0.371
DISTANCE (RAIL) / TIME (RAIL)	D2/T2	EL (U)	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075
		EL (Sm)	1.407	1.407	1.407	1.407	1.407	1.407	1.407
		(t)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)
		EL (T)	0.048	0.043	0.043	0.037	0.045	0.041	0.037
		EL (Tm)	1.455	1.450	1.450	1.444	1.452	1.448	1.445
		D.R.	-0.198	-0.275	-0.277	-0.379	-0.244	-0.312	-0.371
DISTANCE (RAIL)	DIST2	EL (U)	-0.094	-0.094	-0.094	-0.094	-0.094	-0.094	-0.094
		EL (Sm)	-1.760	-1.760	-1.760	-1.760	-1.760	-1.760	-1.760
		(t)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)
		EL (T)	-0.060	-0.054	-0.054	-0.046	-0.056	-0.051	-0.046
		EL (Tm)	-1.819	-1.813	-1.813	-1.806	-1.816	-1.811	-1.806
		D.R.	-0.198	-0.275	-0.277	-0.379	-0.244	-0.312	-0.371
FREQUENCY (RAIL)	FREQ2	EL (U)	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014
		EL (Sm)	0.253	0.253	0.253	0.253	0.253	0.253	0.253
		(t)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)
		EL (T)	0.009	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.007
		EL (Tm)	0.262	0.261	0.261	0.260	0.262	0.261	0.260
		D.R.	-0.198	-0.275	-0.277	-0.379	-0.244	-0.312	-0.371
ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS (Mean share: 0.0261)									
=====									
O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE	ODREG	EL (U)	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008
		EL (Sm)	0.157	0.157	0.157	0.157	0.157	0.157	0.157
		(t)	(1.51)	(1.51)	(1.51)	(1.51)	(1.51)	(1.51)	(1.51)
		EL (T)	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.004	-0.005	-0.004	-0.004
		EL (Tm)	0.152	0.153	0.153	0.153	0.152	0.153	0.153
		D.R.	-2.296	-2.164	-2.160	-1.987	-2.217	-2.100	-2.001
INCOME	REVE	EL (U)	1.043	1.043	1.043	1.043	1.043	1.043	1.043
		EL (Sm)	-0.571	-0.571	-0.571	-0.571	-0.571	-0.571	-0.571
		(t)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.30)
		EL (T)	1.493	2.395	2.300	1.140	1.942	1.504	0.782
		EL (Tm)	0.922	1.823	1.728	0.569	1.370	0.932	0.211
		D.R.	60.980	49.253	49.913	75.681	53.216	60.711	140.922
LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D	LANGFR	EL (U)	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274	0.274
		EL (Sm)	0.593	0.593	0.593	0.593	0.593	0.593	0.593
		(t)	(3.62)	(3.62)	(3.62)	(3.62)	(3.62)	(3.62)	(3.62)
		EL (T)	0.240	0.490	0.504	0.327	0.403	0.435	0.304
		EL (Tm)	0.833	1.083	1.097	0.920	0.996	1.028	0.897
		D.R.	10.036	16.320	16.578	12.611	14.476	15.194	11.969
FARE (BUS) / DISTANCE (BUS)	F3/D3	EL (U)	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008	-0.008
		EL (Sm)	-0.256	-0.256	-0.256	-0.256	-0.256	-0.256	-0.256
		(t)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)
		EL (T)	-0.005	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.005	-0.004	-0.004
		EL (Tm)	-0.261	-0.261	-0.261	-0.260	-0.261	-0.260	-0.260
		D.R.	-0.282	-0.352	-0.354	-0.447	-0.324	-0.386	-0.439
DISTANCE (BUS) / TIME (BUS)	D3/T3	EL (U)	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.043
		EL (Sm)	1.435	1.435	1.435	1.435	1.435	1.435	1.435
		(t)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)

		EL (T)	0.027	0.025	0.025	0.021	0.026	0.023	0.021
		EL (Tm)	1.463	1.460	1.460	1.456	1.461	1.459	1.457
		D.R.	-0.282	-0.352	-0.354	-0.447	-0.324	-0.386	-0.439
DISTANCE (BUS)	DIST3	EL (U)	-0.053	-0.053	-0.053	-0.053	-0.053	-0.053	-0.053
		EL (Sm)	-1.773	-1.773	-1.773	-1.773	-1.773	-1.773	-1.773
		(t)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)
		EL (T)	-0.034	-0.031	-0.030	-0.026	-0.032	-0.029	-0.026
		EL (Tm)	-1.807	-1.804	-1.804	-1.799	-1.805	-1.802	-1.800
		D.R.	-0.282	-0.352	-0.354	-0.447	-0.324	-0.386	-0.439
FREQUENCY (BUS)	FREQ3	EL (U)	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
		EL (Sm)	0.530	0.530	0.530	0.530	0.530	0.530	0.530
		(t)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)	(6.25)
		EL (T)	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.010	0.009	0.008
		EL (Tm)	0.540	0.539	0.539	0.538	0.539	0.539	0.538
		D.R.	-0.282	-0.352	-0.354	-0.447	-0.324	-0.386	-0.439
ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR (Mean share: 0.5681)									
=====									
NUMBER OF NIGHTS	NUITA	EL (U)	-0.360	-0.360	-0.360	-0.360	-0.360	-0.360	-0.360
	-----	EL (Sm)	-0.289	-0.289	-0.289	-0.289	-0.289	-0.289	-0.289
		(t)	(-8.43)	(-8.43)	(-8.43)	(-8.43)	(-8.43)	(-8.43)	(-8.43)
		EL (T)	-0.228	-0.206	-0.205	-0.175	-0.215	-0.195	-0.177
		EL (Tm)	-0.517	-0.495	-0.494	-0.464	-0.504	-0.484	-0.467
		D.R.	-0.224	-0.268	-0.270	-0.336	-0.250	-0.292	-0.330
FARE (CAR) / DISTANCE (CAR)	F4/D4	EL (U)	-0.175	-0.175	-0.175	-0.175	-0.175	-0.175	-0.175
		EL (Sm)	-0.140	-0.140	-0.140	-0.140	-0.140	-0.140	-0.140
		(t)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)	(-7.38)
		EL (T)	-0.111	-0.100	-0.099	-0.085	-0.104	-0.094	-0.086
		EL (Tm)	-0.251	-0.240	-0.240	-0.225	-0.244	-0.235	-0.226
		D.R.	-0.224	-0.268	-0.270	-0.336	-0.250	-0.292	-0.330
DISTANCE (CAR) / TIME (CAR)	D4/T4	EL (U)	0.846	0.846	0.846	0.846	0.846	0.846	0.846
		EL (Sm)	0.679	0.679	0.679	0.679	0.679	0.679	0.679
		(t)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)	(6.24)
		EL (T)	0.536	0.483	0.482	0.412	0.504	0.457	0.417
		EL (Tm)	1.216	1.163	1.161	1.091	1.184	1.137	1.097
		D.R.	-0.224	-0.268	-0.270	-0.336	-0.250	-0.292	-0.330
DISTANCE (CAR)	DIST4	EL (U)	-1.033	-1.033	-1.033	-1.033	-1.033	-1.033	-1.033
		EL (Sm)	-0.829	-0.829	-0.829	-0.829	-0.829	-0.829	-0.829
		(t)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)	(-14.45)
		EL (T)	-0.655	-0.590	-0.588	-0.502	-0.616	-0.558	-0.509
		EL (Tm)	-1.484	-1.419	-1.417	-1.332	-1.445	-1.388	-1.339
		D.R.	-0.224	-0.268	-0.270	-0.336	-0.250	-0.292	-0.330

2.8 Third Example of Input File

TABQDF_Example_III.in

```

$QDF ncol=6,nlevel=2,nshapro=1,nutil=1,1,1,1,1,nvs=1,nlist=0,nblxlev=1,nblxsha=4$
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Level 2.1\L2Listdef.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Share\S1S5Listdef.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Level 2.1\BC4+A1A2P1_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Level 2.1\BC4+A1A2P2_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Level 2.1\BC4+A1A2P3_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Level 2.1\BC4+A1A2P4_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Level 2.1\BC4+A1A2P5_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Level 2.1\BC4+A1A2P6_ELA.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Share\ElaPxUx1.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Share\ElaPxUx2.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Share\ElaPxUx3.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Share\ElaPxUx4.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Share\ElaPxUx5.txt
D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Third Example\Share\ElaPxUx6.txt

```

2.9 Third Example of Output File

TABQDF_Example_III.doc

```
&QDF
NCOL      =      6,
NLEVEL    =      2,
NSHAPRO   =      1,
NUTIL     = 6*1, 4*0,
NVS       =      1,
NLIST     =      0,
NBLXLEV   =      1,
NBLXSHA   =      4
/
```

LEVEL L-2.1

```
-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
  The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES
  The variable TOTFLOW is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
  The variable POP      is the same in all variants.
  The variable REVE     is the same in all variants.
  The variable LANGFR   is the same in all variants.
```

SHARE

```
-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
  The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE ALTERNATIVES
  Alternative AIR       is the same in all variants.
  Alternative RAIL      is the same in all variants.
  Alternative BUS       is the same in all variants.
  Alternative CAR       is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
ALTERNATIVE 1: AIR
  The variable ODREG    is the same in all variants.
  The variable REVE     is the same in all variants.
  The variable LANGFR   is the same in all variants.
  The variable RETNLSC1 is the same in all variants.
  The variable RFANLSC1 is the same in all variants.
  The variable FREQU1   is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 2: RAIL
  The variable ODREG    is the same in all variants.
  The variable REVE     is the same in all variants.
  The variable LANGFR   is the same in all variants.
  The variable RETNLSC2 is the same in all variants.
  The variable RFANLSC2 is the same in all variants.
  The variable FREQ2    is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS
  The variable ODREG    is the same in all variants.
  The variable REVE     is the same in all variants.
  The variable LANGFR   is the same in all variants.
  The variable RETNLSC3 is the same in all variants.
  The variable RFANLSC3 is the same in all variants.
  The variable FREQ3    is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR
  The variable NUITA    is the same in all variants.
```

LEVEL	FAMILY		2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
	VARIANT		BC4+A1A2P	BC4+A1A2P	BC4+A1A2P	BC4+A1A2P	BC4+A1A2P	BC4+A1A2P
	NUMBER		1	2	3	4	5	6
SHARE	FAMILY	LOGIT	LOGIT	S-DOGIT	G-DOGIT	LIN-IPT-L	BT-IPT-L	
	VARIANT	LIN-LOGIT	BC-LOGIT	S-DOGIT	G-DOGIT	LIN-IPT-L	BT-IPT-L	
	NUMBER	1	2	3	4	5	6	

LEVEL

POPULATION	POP	EL (T,X)	1.437	1.435	1.447	1.426	1.543	1.422
		(t)	(13.52)	(13.12)	(13.24)	(12.84)	(13.17)	(13.40)
REVENUE	REVE	EL (T,X)	1.114	1.334	1.412	1.384	2.586	0.102
		(t)	(0.68)	(0.83)	(0.83)	(0.90)	(1.65)	(0.06)
FRENCH LANGUAGE	LANGFR	EL (T,X)	0.639	0.560	0.560	0.510	0.723	0.545
		(t)	(2.60)	(2.28)	(2.26)	(2.20)	(3.18)	(2.51)
UTILITY-Share Variant 1	UTIL1	EL (T,U)	0.086					
		(t)	(1.98)					
UTILITY-Share Variant 2	UTIL2	EL (T,U)		0.359				
		(t)		(1.48)				
UTILITY-Share Variant 3	UTIL3	EL (T,U)			0.324			
		(t)			(1.36)			
UTILITY-Share Variant 4	UTIL4	EL (T,U)				0.208		
		(t)				(1.34)		
UTILITY-Share Variant 5	UTIL5	EL (T,U)					-0.220	
		(t)					(-1.70)	
UTILITY-Share Variant 6	UTIL6	EL (T,U)						1.220
		(t)						(3.01)

SHARE

ALTERNATIVE 1: AIR (Mean share: 0.3649)

=====

O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE	ODREG =====	EL (U)	-0.028	-0.004	-0.006	0.095	-0.041	0.024
		EL (Sm)	-0.144	-0.125	-0.035	-0.145	-0.143	-0.019
		(t)	(-0.57)	(-0.41)	(-0.26)	(-0.28)	(-0.97)	(0.04)
		EL (T)	-0.002	-0.001	-0.002	0.020	0.009	0.029
		EL (Tm)	-0.146	-0.126	-0.037	-0.125	-0.135	0.011
		D.R.	-0.955	-0.972	-0.863	-1.429	-1.182	6.357
INCOME	REVE	EL (U)	0.655	0.650	0.862	0.217	1.448	1.296
		EL (Sm)	1.310	1.432	3.535	4.482	4.805	1.949
		(t)	(0.89)	(0.98)	(1.91)	(1.76)	(2.38)	(1.56)
		EL (T)	1.170	1.567	1.691	1.429	2.268	1.684
		EL (Tm)	2.480	2.998	5.225	5.911	7.073	3.633
		D.R.	0.293	0.432	-0.113	-0.337	-0.121	0.270
LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D	LANGFR	EL (U)	0.026	0.115	0.087	0.027	0.188	0.112
		EL (Sm)	0.018	0.227	0.401	0.829	0.682	0.117
		(t)	(0.13)	(1.65)	(1.46)	(2.02)	(1.72)	(0.55)
		EL (T)	0.641	0.601	0.589	0.516	0.682	0.682
		EL (Tm)	0.658	0.828	0.990	1.345	1.364	0.799
		D.R.	1.668	0.989	0.630	0.051	0.370	1.338
TIME(CAR) / TIME(AIR)	RETNLSC1	EL (U)	0.476	0.536	0.144	0.221	0.337	1.109
		EL (Sm)	1.782	1.410	0.894	1.146	1.434	1.736
		(t)	(7.93)	(7.05)	(3.22)	(2.80)	(2.25)	(5.65)
		EL (T)	0.041	0.192	0.046	0.046	-0.074	1.353
		EL (Tm)	1.823	1.602	0.940	1.192	1.360	3.090
		D.R.	-0.939	-0.671	-0.865	-0.895	-1.149	0.200
FARE(AIR) / FARE(CAR)	RFANLSC1	EL (U)	-0.179	-0.272	-0.471	-0.635	-0.572	-0.308
		EL (Sm)	-0.670	-0.716	-2.932	-3.291	-2.436	-0.482
		(t)	(-6.90)	(-2.55)	(-6.36)	(-4.91)	(-5.57)	(-2.51)
		EL (T)	-0.015	-0.098	-0.152	-0.132	0.126	-0.376
		EL (Tm)	-0.685	-0.814	-3.084	-3.423	-2.310	-0.858
		D.R.	-0.939	-0.671	-0.865	-0.895	-1.149	0.200
UTILITY FREQUENCY (AIR)	FREQU1	EL (U)	0.066	0.083	0.049	0.093	0.060	0.039
		EL (Sm)	0.247	0.218	0.307	0.485	0.257	0.061
		(t)	(4.95)	(5.39)	(4.90)	(3.95)	(5.01)	(3.67)
		EL (T)	0.006	0.030	0.016	0.019	-0.013	0.048
		EL (Tm)	0.252	0.247	0.322	0.504	0.243	0.109
		D.R.	-0.939	-0.671	-0.865	-0.895	-1.149	0.200

ALTERNATIVE 2: RAIL (Mean share: 0.0409)

=====

O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE	ODREG =====	EL (U)	-0.028	-0.004	-0.006	0.095	-0.041	0.024
		EL (Sm)	-0.338	0.287	-0.028	0.051	-0.069	0.222
		(t)	(-1.41)	(1.05)	(-0.27)	(0.55)	(-0.94)	(2.13)
		EL (T)	-0.002	-0.001	-0.002	0.020	0.009	0.029
		EL (Tm)	-0.341	0.285	-0.030	0.070	-0.060	0.252
		D.R.	-0.826	-1.111	0.481	5.807	-4.633	1.865
INCOME	REVE	EL (U)	0.655	0.650	0.862	0.217	1.448	1.296
		EL (Sm)	3.369	1.405	2.974	0.479	2.998	-0.102
		(t)	(1.95)	(1.07)	(1.86)	(0.18)	(2.24)	(0.59)
		EL (T)	1.170	1.567	1.691	1.429	2.268	1.684
		EL (Tm)	4.539	2.972	4.665	1.908	5.266	1.582
		D.R.	5.301	11.885	7.859	17.309	9.526	25.017
LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D	LANGFR	EL (U)	0.026	0.115	0.087	0.027	0.188	0.112
		EL (Sm)	-0.077	-0.048	-0.141	-0.272	-0.172	-0.127
		(t)	(-0.16)	(0.34)	(-0.17)	(-0.52)	(0.05)	(-0.05)
		EL (T)	0.641	0.601	0.589	0.516	0.682	0.682
		EL (Tm)	0.564	0.553	0.448	0.244	0.510	0.555
		D.R.	26.759	25.570	31.157	50.756	31.694	29.047
TIME(CAR) / TIME(RAIL)	RETNLSC2	EL (U)	0.023	0.093	0.012	0.013	0.019	0.089
		EL (Sm)	0.415	1.878	0.191	0.236	0.346	1.805
		(t)	(7.93)	(7.05)	(3.22)	(2.80)	(2.25)	(5.65)
		EL (T)	0.002	0.033	0.004	0.003	-0.004	0.109
		EL (Tm)	0.417	1.912	0.195	0.239	0.342	1.914
		D.R.	-0.886	-0.572	-0.505	-0.714	-1.291	0.387
FARE(RAIL) / FARE(CAR)	RFANLSC2	EL (U)	-0.015	-0.047	-0.072	-0.070	-0.057	-0.045
		EL (Sm)	-0.281	-0.949	-1.127	-1.220	-1.059	-0.903
		(t)	(-6.90)	(-2.55)	(-6.36)	(-4.91)	(-5.57)	(-2.51)
		EL (T)	-0.001	-0.017	-0.023	-0.014	0.012	-0.054
		EL (Tm)	-0.283	-0.966	-1.150	-1.235	-1.046	-0.957
		D.R.	-0.886	-0.572	-0.505	-0.714	-1.291	0.387
FREQUENCY (RAIL)	FREQU2	EL (U)	0.010	0.008	0.013	0.018	0.011	0.010
		EL (Sm)	0.183	0.169	0.208	0.317	0.197	0.203
		(t)	(4.95)	(5.39)	(4.90)	(3.95)	(5.01)	(3.67)
		EL (T)	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.004	-0.002	0.012
		EL (Tm)	0.184	0.172	0.212	0.321	0.194	0.215
		D.R.	-0.886	-0.572	-0.505	-0.714	-1.291	0.387

ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS (Mean share: 0.0261)

=====

O-D WITHIN THE SAME PROVINCE	ODREG =====	EL (U)	-0.028	-0.004	-0.006	0.095	-0.041	0.024
		EL (Sm)	0.056	0.530	0.062	0.739	0.039	0.350
		(t)	(-0.04)	(2.36)	(0.45)	(2.17)	(-0.02)	(3.67)
		EL (T)	-0.002	-0.001	-0.002	0.020	0.009	0.029
		EL (Tm)	0.054	0.529	0.061	0.759	0.048	0.379
		D.R.	-2.727	-1.094	-2.159	-0.010	6.139	1.974

INCOME	REVE	EL (U)	0.655	0.650	0.862	0.217	1.448	1.296
		EL (Sm)	0.403	-1.370	-0.171	-4.532	-0.383	-2.189
		(t)	(0.61)	(-0.46)	(0.37)	(-1.68)	(0.62)	(-0.59)
		EL (T)	1.170	1.567	1.691	1.429	2.268	1.684
		EL (Tm)	1.573	0.196	1.519	-3.103	1.885	-0.506
		D. R.	27.463	304.095	41.585	-18.618	45.044	-128.422
LANGUAGE SIMILARITY O-D	LANGFR	EL (U)	0.026	0.115	0.087	0.027	0.188	0.112
		EL (Sm)	0.620	0.496	0.578	-0.761	0.532	0.730
		(t)	(2.46)	(3.81)	(2.52)	(-1.15)	(2.77)	(2.90)
		EL (T)	0.641	0.601	0.589	0.516	0.682	0.682
		EL (Tm)	1.261	1.097	1.167	-0.246	1.214	1.412
		D. R.	18.449	19.973	18.302	-81.305	20.485	17.480
TIME (CAR) / TIME (BUS)	RETNLSC3	EL (U)	0.012	0.057	0.007	0.025	0.010	0.067
		EL (Sm)	0.397	1.915	0.183	0.196	0.328	2.361
		(t)	(7.93)	(7.05)	(3.22)	(2.80)	(2.25)	(5.65)
		EL (T)	0.001	0.021	0.002	0.005	-0.002	0.082
		EL (Tm)	0.398	1.936	0.185	0.201	0.326	2.443
		D. R.	-0.899	-0.594	-0.559	0.004	-1.258	0.289
FARE (BUS) / FARE (CAR)	RFANLSC3	EL (U)	-0.008	-0.029	-0.037	-0.126	-0.029	-0.032
		EL (Sm)	-0.257	-0.968	-1.034	-0.969	-0.959	-1.128
		(t)	(-6.90)	(-2.55)	(-6.36)	(-4.91)	(-5.57)	(-2.51)
		EL (T)	-0.001	-0.010	-0.012	-0.026	0.006	-0.039
		EL (Tm)	-0.258	-0.979	-1.046	-0.995	-0.952	-1.168
		D. R.	-0.899	-0.594	-0.559	0.004	-1.258	0.289
FREQUENCY (BUS)	FREQ3	EL (U)	0.013	0.013	0.018	0.085	0.014	0.019
		EL (Sm)	0.433	0.430	0.494	0.653	0.462	0.657
		(t)	(4.95)	(5.39)	(4.90)	(3.95)	(5.01)	(3.67)
		EL (T)	0.001	0.005	0.006	0.018	-0.003	0.023
		EL (Tm)	0.434	0.435	0.500	0.671	0.459	0.680
		D. R.	-0.899	-0.594	-0.559	0.004	-1.258	0.289
=====								
ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR (Mean share: 0.5681)								
=====								
NUMBER OF NIGHTS	NUITA -----	EL (U)	-0.455	-0.371	-0.492	-0.403	-0.354	-0.486
		EL (Sm)	-0.404	-0.432	-0.147	-0.109	-0.131	-0.422
		(t)	(-7.43)	(-6.60)	(-4.46)	(-1.25)	(-4.48)	(-4.68)
		EL (T)	-0.039	-0.133	-0.159	-0.084	0.078	-0.593
		EL (Tm)	-0.443	-0.565	-0.306	-0.193	-0.054	-1.015
		D. R.	-0.845	-0.585	-0.084	-0.238	-3.551	0.029
=====								

2.10 Fourth Example of Input File

TABQDF_Example_IV.in

\$QDF ncol=5,nlevel=1,nshapro=2,nutil=1,1,1,1,nvs=1,nlist=0,nblxlev=1,nblxsha=3\$

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Level 1.6\L1Listdef.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Probability\P2P6Listdef_pour TABQDF.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Level 1.6\LIN6_ELA.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Level 1.6\LOG7_ELA.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Level 1.6\BC18_ELA.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Level 1.6\BC29_ELA.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Level 1.6\BC410_ELA.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Probability\ElaPxUx3.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Probability\ElaPxUx3.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Probability\ElaPxUx3.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Probability\ElaPxUx3.txt

D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fourth Example\Probability\ElaPxUx3.txt

2.11 Fourth Example of Output File

TABQDF_Example_IV.doc

```

$QDF
NCOL      =      5,
NLEVEL    =      1,
NSHAPRO   =      2,
NUTIL     = 5*1, 5*0,
NVS       =      1,
NLIST     =      0,
NBLXLEV   =      1,
NBLXSHA   =      3
/

```

LEVEL L-1.6

```

-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
  The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES
  The variable Tij      is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
  The variable Ti       is the same in all variants.
  The variable Tj       is the same in all variants.

```

PROBABILITY

```

-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
  The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE ALTERNATIVES
  Alternative RAIL      is the same in all variants.
  Alternative AIR       is the same in all variants.
  Alternative BUS       is the same in all variants.
  Alternative CAR       is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
ALTERNATIVE 1: RAIL
  The variable RAI_CTT  is the same in all variants.
  The variable RAI_TTT  is the same in all variants.
  The variable RAI_FR   is the same in all variants.
  The variable INCOME   is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 2: AIR
  The variable AIR_CTT  is the same in all variants.
  The variable AIR_TTT  is the same in all variants.
  The variable AIR_FR   is the same in all variants.
  The variable INCOME   is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS
  The variable BUS_CTT  is the same in all variants.
  The variable BUS_TTT  is the same in all variants.
  The variable BUS_FR   is the same in all variants.
  The variable INCOME   is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR
  The variable CAR_C    is the same in all variants.
  The variable CAR_RT   is the same in all variants.

```

LEVEL	FAMILY VARIANT NUMBER	1.6 LIN 6	1.6 LOG 7	1.6 BC1 8	1.6 BC2 9	1.6 BC4 10
PROBABILITY	FAMILY VARIANT NUMBER	LOGIT bus_bc4 3	LOGIT bus_bc4 3	LOGIT bus_bc4 3	LOGIT bus_bc4 3	LOGIT bus_bc4 3

LEVEL

TRIPS FROM ORIG_i TO ALL DEST.	Ti	EL (T,X) (t)	0.170 (1.49)	0.533 (8.05)	0.517 (8.64)	0.481 (8.52)	0.363 (8.71)
TRIPS FROM ALL ORIG. TO DEST_j	Tj	EL (T,X) (t)	0.321 (3.43)	0.651 (11.00)	0.641 (12.00)	0.588 (11.76)	0.821 (10.26)
UTILITY from VARIANT bus_bc4	Util_BC4	EL (T,U) (t)	0.255 (13.94)	0.584 (36.04)	0.596 (35.57)	0.533 (35.90)	0.528 (37.59)

PROBABILITY

ALTERNATIVE 1: RAIL (Mean prob.: 0.1660)

=====							
TOTAL COST, RAIL(RUN + ACCESS COST)	RAI_CTT	EL (U)	-0.194	-0.194	-0.194	-0.194	-0.194
		EL (Pm)	-3.273	-3.273	-3.273	-3.273	-3.273
		(t)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)
		EL (T)	-0.050	-0.113	-0.116	-0.104	-0.103
		EL (Tm)	-3.322	-3.386	-3.388	-3.376	-3.375
		D.R.	-0.910	-0.798	-0.794	-0.815	-0.817
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, RAIL	RAI_TTT	EL (U)	-0.161	-0.161	-0.161	-0.161	-0.161
		EL (Pm)	-2.714	-2.714	-2.714	-2.714	-2.714
		(t)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)
		EL (T)	-0.041	-0.094	-0.096	-0.086	-0.085
		EL (Tm)	-2.756	-2.808	-2.810	-2.800	-2.799
		D.R.	-0.910	-0.798	-0.794	-0.815	-0.817
FREQUENCY, RAIL	RAI_FR	EL (U)	0.072	0.072	0.072	0.072	0.072
		EL (Pm)	1.206	1.206	1.206	1.206	1.206
		(t)	(7.59)	(7.59)	(7.59)	(7.59)	(7.59)
		EL (T)	0.018	0.042	0.043	0.038	0.038
		EL (Tm)	1.224	1.247	1.248	1.244	1.243
		D.R.	-0.910	-0.798	-0.794	-0.815	-0.817
INCOME	INCOME	EL (U)	0.053	0.053	0.053	0.053	0.053
		EL (Pm)	0.140	0.140	0.140	0.140	0.140

		(t)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)
		EL (T)		0.014		0.031		0.032		0.028		0.028
		EL (Tm)		0.153		0.171		0.171		0.168		0.168
		D.R.		-0.466		0.096		0.115		0.017		0.009
ALTERNATIVE 2: AIR (Mean prob.: 0.3590)												
=====												
TOTAL COST, AIR (RUN + ACCESS COST)	AIR_CTT	EL (U)		-0.901		-0.901		-0.901		-0.901		-0.901
		EL (Pm)		-3.688		-3.688		-3.688		-3.688		-3.688
		(t)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)
		EL (T)		-0.230		-0.526		-0.537		-0.480		-0.476
		EL (Tm)		-3.917		-4.214		-4.225		-4.168		-4.163
		D.R.		-0.837		-0.652		-0.646		-0.679		-0.682
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, AIR	AIR_TTT	EL (U)		-0.221		-0.221		-0.221		-0.221		-0.221
		EL (Pm)		-0.904		-0.904		-0.904		-0.904		-0.904
		(t)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)
		EL (T)		-0.056		-0.129		-0.132		-0.118		-0.117
		EL (Tm)		-0.960		-1.033		-1.035		-1.021		-1.020
		D.R.		-0.837		-0.652		-0.646		-0.679		-0.682
FREQUENCY, AIR	AIR_FR	EL (U)		0.343		0.343		0.343		0.343		0.343
		EL (Pm)		1.405		1.405		1.405		1.405		1.405
		(t)	(	7.59)	(	7.59)	(	7.59)	(	7.59)	(	7.59)
		EL (T)		0.088		0.200		0.205		0.183		0.181
		EL (Tm)		1.492		1.605		1.609		1.588		1.586
		D.R.		-0.837		-0.652		-0.646		-0.679		-0.682
INCOME	INCOME	EL (U)		0.053		0.053		0.053		0.053		0.053
		EL (Pm)		0.140		0.140		0.140		0.140		0.140
		(t)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)
		EL (T)		0.014		0.031		0.032		0.028		0.028
		EL (Tm)		0.153		0.171		0.171		0.168		0.168
		D.R.		-0.753		-0.493		-0.484		-0.530		-0.533
ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS (Mean prob.: 0.0040)												
=====												
TOTAL COST, BUS (RUN + ACCESS COST)	BUS_CTT	EL (U)		-0.067		-0.067		-0.067		-0.067		-0.067
		EL (Pm)		-2.796		-2.796		-2.796		-2.796		-2.796
		(t)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)
		EL (T)		-0.017		-0.039		-0.040		-0.036		-0.036
		EL (Tm)		-2.814		-2.836		-2.837		-2.832		-2.832
		D.R.		0.529		2.471		2.544		2.173		2.143
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, BUS	BUS_TTT	EL (U)		-0.072		-0.072		-0.072		-0.072		-0.072
		EL (Pm)		-2.971		-2.971		-2.971		-2.971		-2.971
		(t)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)
		EL (T)		-0.018		-0.042		-0.043		-0.038		-0.038
		EL (Tm)		-2.989		-3.013		-3.013		-3.009		-3.009
		D.R.		0.529		2.471		2.544		2.173		2.143
FREQUENCY, BUS	BUS_FR	EL (U)		0.036		0.036		0.036		0.036		0.036
		EL (Pm)		1.491		1.491		1.491		1.491		1.491
		(t)	(	7.59)	(	7.59)	(	7.59)	(	7.59)	(	7.59)
		EL (T)		0.009		0.021		0.021		0.019		0.019
		EL (Tm)		1.500		1.512		1.512		1.510		1.510
		D.R.		0.529		2.471		2.544		2.173		2.143
INCOME	INCOME	EL (U)		0.053		0.053		0.053		0.053		0.053
		EL (Pm)		0.140		0.140		0.140		0.140		0.140
		(t)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)	(	1.11)
		EL (T)		0.014		0.031		0.032		0.028		0.028
		EL (Tm)		0.153		0.171		0.171		0.168		0.168
		D.R.		21.148		44.487		45.286		41.205		40.869
ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR (Mean prob.: 0.4710)												
=====												
COST, CAR (RUN COST)	CAR_C	EL (U)		-2.602		-2.602		-2.602		-2.602		-2.602
		EL (Pm)		-0.992		-0.992		-0.992		-0.992		-0.992
		(t)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)	(	-5.12)
		EL (T)		-0.664		-1.519		-1.552		-1.387		-1.374
		EL (Tm)		-1.655		-2.511		-2.543		-2.379		-2.365
		D.R.		-0.149		0.285		0.295		0.238		0.233
RUN TIME, CAR	CAR_RT	EL (U)		-1.314		-1.314		-1.314		-1.314		-1.314
		EL (Pm)		-0.501		-0.501		-0.501		-0.501		-0.501
		(t)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)	(	-3.45)
		EL (T)		-0.335		-0.767		-0.784		-0.701		-0.694
		EL (Tm)		-0.836		-1.268		-1.284		-1.201		-1.195
		D.R.		-0.149		0.285		0.295		0.238		0.233

2.12 Fifth Example of Input File

TABQDF_Example_V.in

\$QDF ncol=3,nlevel=2,nshapro=2,nutil=1,1,1,nvs=1,nlist=0,nblxlev=1,nblxsha=3\$
 D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Level 2.1\L2Listdef.txt
 D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Probability\P2P6Listdef_pour TABQDF.txt
 D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Level 2.1\BC410_ELA.txt
 D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Level 2.1\BC4HG17_ELA.txt
 D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Level 2.1\BC4HGAUPR24_ELA.txt
 D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Probability\ElaPxUx3.txt
 D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Probability\ElaPxUx3.txt
 D:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Probability\ElaPxUx3.txt

2.13 Fifth Example of Output File

TABQDF_Example_V.doc

```

$QDF
NCOL      =      3,
NLEVEL    =      2,
NSHAPRO   =      2,
NUTIL     = 3*1, 7*0,
NVS       =      1,
NLIST     =      0,
NBLXLEV   =      1,
NBLXSHA   =      3
/

LEVEL L-2.1
-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
  The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES
  The variable Tij is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
  The variable Ti is the same in all variants.
  The variable Tj is the same in all variants.

PROBABILITY
-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS
  The number of observations is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE ALTERNATIVES
  Alternative RAIL is the same in all variants.
  Alternative AIR is the same in all variants.
  Alternative BUS is the same in all variants.
  Alternative CAR is the same in all variants.
-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
ALTERNATIVE 1: RAIL
  The variable RAI_CTT is the same in all variants.
  The variable RAI_TTT is the same in all variants.
  The variable RAI_FR is the same in all variants.
  The variable INCOME is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 2: AIR
  The variable AIR_CTT is the same in all variants.
  The variable AIR_TTT is the same in all variants.
  The variable AIR_FR is the same in all variants.
  The variable INCOME is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS
  The variable BUS_CTT is the same in all variants.
  The variable BUS_TTT is the same in all variants.
  The variable BUS_FR is the same in all variants.
  The variable INCOME is the same in all variants.
ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR
  The variable CAR_C is the same in all variants.
  The variable CAR_RT is the same in all variants.
  
```

=====					
LEVEL	FAMILY	2.1	2.1	2.1	
	VARIANT	BC4	BC4HG	BC4HGAUPR	
	NUMBER	10	17	24	
=====					
PROBABILITY	FAMILY	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	
	VARIANT	bus_bc4	bus_bc4	bus_bc4	
	NUMBER	3	3	3	
=====					
LEVEL					
TRIPS FROM ORIG_i TO ALL DEST.	Ti	EL(T,X)	0.363	0.398	0.398
		(t)	(8.71)	(7.74)	(7.73)
TRIPS FROM ALL ORIG. TO DEST_j	Tj	EL(T,X)	0.821	0.806	0.807
		(t)	(10.26)	(12.71)	(12.88)
UTILITY from VARIANT bus_bc4	Util_BC4	EL(T,U)	0.528	0.508	0.500
		(t)	(37.59)	(40.84)	(45.44)

PROBABILITY

ALTERNATIVE 1: RAIL (Mean prob.: 0.1660)

=====

TOTAL COST, RAIL(RUN + ACCESS COST)	RAI_CTT	EL (U)	-0.194	-0.194	-0.194
		EL (Pm)	-3.273	-3.273	-3.273
		(t)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)
		EL (T)	-0.103	-0.099	-0.097
		EL (Tm)	-3.375	-3.371	-3.370
		D.R.	-0.817	-0.824	-0.826
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, RAIL	RAI_TTT	EL (U)	-0.161	-0.161	-0.161
		EL (Pm)	-2.714	-2.714	-2.714
		(t)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)
		EL (T)	-0.085	-0.082	-0.081
		EL (Tm)	-2.799	-2.796	-2.795
		D.R.	-0.817	-0.824	-0.826
FREQUENCY, RAIL	RAI_FR	EL (U)	0.072	0.072	0.072
		EL (Pm)	1.206	1.206	1.206
		(t)	(7.59)	(7.59)	(7.59)
		EL (T)	0.038	0.036	0.036
		EL (Tm)	1.243	1.242	1.241
		D.R.	-0.817	-0.824	-0.826
INCOME	INCOME	EL (U)	0.053	0.053	0.053
		EL (Pm)	0.140	0.140	0.140
		(t)	(1.11)	(1.11)	(1.11)
		EL (T)	0.028	0.027	0.027
		EL (Tm)	0.168	0.167	0.166
		D.R.	0.009	-0.024	-0.036

ALTERNATIVE 2: AIR (Mean prob.: 0.3590)

=====

TOTAL COST, AIR (RUN + ACCESS COST)	AIR_CTT	EL (U)	-0.901	-0.901	-0.901
		EL (Pm)	-3.688	-3.688	-3.688
		(t)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)
		EL (T)	-0.476	-0.457	-0.451
		EL (Tm)	-4.163	-4.145	-4.138
		D.R.	-0.682	-0.693	-0.697
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, AIR	AIR_TTT	EL (U)	-0.221	-0.221	-0.221
		EL (Pm)	-0.904	-0.904	-0.904
		(t)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)
		EL (T)	-0.117	-0.112	-0.110
		EL (Tm)	-1.020	-1.016	-1.014
		D.R.	-0.682	-0.693	-0.697
FREQUENCY, AIR	AIR_FR	EL (U)	0.343	0.343	0.343
		EL (Pm)	1.405	1.405	1.405
		(t)	(7.59)	(7.59)	(7.59)
		EL (T)	0.181	0.174	0.172
		EL (Tm)	1.586	1.579	1.576
		D.R.	-0.682	-0.693	-0.697
INCOME	INCOME	EL (U)	0.053	0.053	0.053
		EL (Pm)	0.140	0.140	0.140
		(t)	(1.11)	(1.11)	(1.11)
		EL (T)	0.028	0.027	0.027
		EL (Tm)	0.168	0.167	0.166
		D.R.	-0.533	-0.549	-0.554

ALTERNATIVE 3: BUS (Mean prob.: 0.0040)

=====

TOTAL COST, BUS (RUN + ACCESS COST)	BUS_CTT	EL (U)	-0.067	-0.067	-0.067
		EL (Pm)	-2.796	-2.796	-2.796
		(t)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)	(-5.12)
		EL (T)	-0.036	-0.034	-0.034
		EL (Tm)	-2.832	-2.831	-2.830
		D.R.	2.143	2.023	1.980
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, BUS	BUS_TTT	EL (U)	-0.072	-0.072	-0.072
		EL (Pm)	-2.971	-2.971	-2.971
		(t)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)	(-3.45)
		EL (T)	-0.038	-0.036	-0.036
		EL (Tm)	-3.009	-3.007	-3.007
		D.R.	2.143	2.023	1.980
FREQUENCY, BUS	BUS_FR	EL (U)	0.036	0.036	0.036
		EL (Pm)	1.491	1.491	1.491
		(t)	(7.59)	(7.59)	(7.59)
		EL (T)	0.019	0.018	0.018
		EL (Tm)	1.510	1.509	1.509
		D.R.	2.143	2.023	1.980
INCOME	INCOME	EL (U)	0.053	0.053	0.053
		EL (Pm)	0.140	0.140	0.140
		(t)	(1.11)	(1.11)	(1.11)
		EL (T)	0.028	0.027	0.027
		EL (Tm)	0.168	0.167	0.166
		D.R.	40.869	39.516	39.017

```

ALTERNATIVE 4: CAR      (Mean prob.: 0.4710)
=====
COST, CAR (RUN COST)      CAR_C      EL (U)      -2.602      -2.602      -2.602
                           EL (Pm)     -0.992      -0.992      -0.992
                           (t)      ( -5.12) ( -5.12) ( -5.12)
                           EL (T)     -1.374      -1.321      -1.301
                           EL (Tm)    -2.365      -2.312      -2.293
                           D.R.       0.233      0.213      0.205

RUN TIME, CAR              CAR_RT      EL (U)     -1.314      -1.314      -1.314
                           EL (Pm)    -0.501      -0.501      -0.501
                           (t)      ( -3.45) ( -3.45) ( -3.45)
                           EL (T)     -0.694      -0.667      -0.657
                           EL (Tm)    -1.195      -1.168      -1.158
                           D.R.       0.233      0.213      0.205
=====

```

3. PROGRAM LISTING

The program consists of the main module **Tabqdf** and one subroutine **underline** . It was compiled with Compaq Visual Fortran 6.6.

Program listing

Tabqdf.f

```
      program Tabqdf
c Compiled with Visual Compaq Fortran 6.6 (February 2 2012)
      implicit double precision (a-h,o-z)

      parameter (maxcol=10,maxlev=100,maxalt=20,maxsha=100)
      dimension elatotal(maxcol),elamodal(maxcol),divrate(maxcol)

      dimension elastxlev(maxlev,maxcol),studxlev(maxlev,maxcol)
      dimension nxlevel(maxcol),nutil(maxcol),nobslev(maxcol)
      dimension numvlev(maxlev),numvsha(maxsha)

      dimension numxsha(maxalt,maxcol),nobssha(maxcol)
      dimension nxshare(maxalt,maxcol),sharemean(maxalt)
      dimension elapx(maxalt,maxsha,maxcol),elaux(maxalt,maxsha,maxcol)

      integer levnumber(maxcol),shanumber(maxcol)

      character*1 under(10)
      character*8 nambl,namx,namvlev(maxlev),namvsha(maxsha)
      character*8 namalt,namalt1,namalter(maxalt)
      character*10 namylev(maxcol),namxlev(maxlev,maxcol)
      character*10 levfamily(maxcol),levvariant(maxcol)
      character*10 namU(maxcol),elastU(maxcol,maxcol)
      character*10 studU(maxcol,maxcol)
      character*10 namxsha(maxalt,maxsha,maxcol),shavariant(maxcol)
      character*10 shafamily(maxcol),nomy(maxalt,maxcol)
      character*10 studxsha(maxalt,maxsha,maxcol)

      character*20 idraw1,idraw2
      character*35 def,defvlev(maxlev),defvsha(maxsha)
      character*80 ifmt,DefFile,LevElastFile,ShaElastFile

      namelist/QDF/ncol,nlevel,nshapro,nutil,nvs,nlist,nblxlev,nblxsha

      data studU/100*' '/
      data elastU/100*' '/
```

```

* 8 INPUT PARAMETERS in namelist QDF:
* ncol      : number of columns (variants) listed in the table (max.10).
* nlevel    : 1 for LEVEL 1.6
*            : 2 for LEVEL 2.1
* nshapro   : 1 for SHARE S1-S5
*            : 2 for PROBABILITY P2-P6
* nutil(i)  : vector of ncol elements indicating if the Utility variable
* (i=1,ncol) U is present in the i-th variant in LEVEL L-1.6 or L-2.1,
*            nutil(i)=0 if U is not present and 1 otherwise.
* nvs       : 0 (French version of the table)
*            : 1 (English version of the table)
* nlist     : 0 No list for the definitions of the blocks and the independent
*            variables X.
*            : 1 List the definitions of the blocks and the independent
*            variables X.
* nblxlev   : Number of blocks of independent variables X for LEVEL.
* nblxsha   : Number of blocks of independent variables X for SHARE
*            or PROBABILITY.

      read QDF
      print QDF
* LEVEL: Read DefFile containing the list of definitions
* of the blocks and the variables X on UNIT=1.
      read 5,DefFile
5      format(a80)
      open(unit=1,file=DefFile)
* nvarlev is the total number of the variables X in DefFile for LEVEL.
      if(nlist.eq.1)then
        print*,' '
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
          print*,'NIVEAU: Liste des Définitions des Blocs et des Var
+iables'
        else
          print*,'LEVEL: List of Definitions of the Blocks and the V
+ariables'
        endif
      endif
      nvarlev=0
      do 1000 i=1,nblxlev
        if(nvs.eq.0)read(1,1001)num,nambl,def
        if(nvs.eq.1)read(1,1002)num,nambl,def
1001  format(i2,a8,a35)
1002  format(i2,a8,35x,a35)
        if(nlist.eq.1)print 1003,i,nambl,def
1003  format(i5,2x,a8,2x,a35)
1005  continue

```

```

* numvlev() : category (0,1,2,3) of a variable, only a variable
*           of category 2 (quasi-dummy) or category 3 (dummy)
*           is underlined.
* namvlev() : name of a variable
* defvlev() : definition of a variable
    if(nvs.eq.0)read(1,1001)num,namx,def
    if(nvs.eq.1)read(1,1002)num,namx,def
    if(namx.eq.' ')go to 1000
    nvarlev=nvarlev+1
    numvlev(nvarlev)=num
    namvlev(nvarlev)=namx
    defvlev(nvarlev)=def
* Print the list of definitions of the blocks and the variables.
    if(nlist.eq.1)print 1003,num,namvlev(nvarlev),def
    go to 1005
1000  continue
      close(1)

* SHARE/PROBABILITY: Read DefFile containing the list of definitions
* of the blocks and the variables X on UNIT=1.
    read 5,DefFile
    open(unit=1,file=DefFile)
* nvarsha is the total number of the variables X
* in DefFile for SHARE/PROBABILITY.
    if(nlist.eq.1)then
        print*,' '
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print*,'PART: Liste des Définitions des Blocs et des Varia
+bles'
        else
            print*,'SHARE: List of Definitions of the Blocks and the V
+ariables'
        endif
    endif
    nvarsha=0
    do 1100 i=1,nblxsha
    if(nvs.eq.0)read(1,1001)num,nambl,def
    if(nvs.eq.1)read(1,1002)num,nambl,def
    if(nlist.eq.1)print 1003,i,nambl,def
1105  continue
* numvsha() : category (0,1,2,3) of a variable, only a variable
*           of category 2 (quasi-dummy) or category 3 (dummy)
*           is underlined.
* namvsha() : name of a variable
* defvsha() : definition of a variable
    if(nvs.eq.0)read(1,1001)num,namx,def

```

```

        if(nvs.eq.1)read(1,1002)num,namx,def
        if(namx.eq.' ')go to 1100
        nvarsha=nvarsha+1
        numvsha(nvarsha)=num
        namvsha(nvarsha)=namx
        defvsha(nvarsha)=def
* Print the list of definitions of the blocks and the variables.
        if(nlist.eq.1)print 1003,num,namvsha(nvarsha),def
        go to 1105
1100    continue
        close(1)

* For "ncol" variants
* LEVEL: Read file name for the Elasticities
*     Read name and number of a Variant.
* maxU is the number of the Utility variables which are different across the
* 'ncol' variants.
        maxU=0
        do 1 j=1,ncol
            levfamily(j)='1.6'
            if(nlevel.eq.2)levfamily(j)='2.1'
            read 5,LevElastFile
        open(unit=1,file=LevElastFile)
            read(1,2)levvariant(j),levnumber(j),namylev(j),nobslev(j)
2        format(a9,i4/a10,i5)
* For "nxlevel" independent variables (excluding the contant)
* READ the name of an independent variable X in LEVEL,
*     the conditional t of the associated beta
*     and the elasticity of T (sample) with respect to X,
        ix=1
100    read(1,4,end=110)namxlev(ix,j),studxlev(ix,j),elastxlev(ix,j)
4    format(a10,2d15.7)
        nxlevel(j)=ix
* nxlev1 is the total number of independent variables X other than
* the Utility variable U in a variant.
        if(nutil(j).eq.1)nxlev1=ix-1
        ix=ix+1
        go to 100
110    close(1)
* Check if U is present in j-th variant.
        if(nutil(j).eq.1)then
            maxU=maxU+1
            nx=nxlevel(j)
            if(maxU.eq.1)then
* First U found
                namU(maxU)=namxlev(nx,j)

```

```

40      encode(10,40,studU(maxU,j))studxlev(nx,j)
      format(1h(,f7.2,1h))
      encode(10,41,elastU(maxU,j))elastxlev(nx,j)
41      format(f10.3)
      else
          k=maxU-1
          do 42 i=1,k
              if(namxlev(nx,j).eq.namU(i))then
* Case where the current U is the same as one of the previous U's
                  maxU=maxU-1
                  encode(10,40,studU(i,j))studxlev(nx,j)
                  encode(10,41,elastU(i,j))elastxlev(nx,j)
                  go to 1
              endif
42          continue
* Case where the current U is different from all the previous U's
          namU(maxU)=namxlev(nx,j)
          encode(10,40,studU(maxU,j))studxlev(nx,j)
          encode(10,41,elastU(maxU,j))elastxlev(nx,j)
      endif
1      endif
      continue

      if(nvs.eq.0)print 135,levfamily(1)
135    format(/'NIVEAU L-',a10)
      if(nvs.eq.1)print 136,levfamily(1)
136    format(/'LEVEL L-',a10)

* Check if the number of observations is the same across the variants.
      if(nvs.eq.0)print*,"-VÉRIFICATION SUR LES NOMBRES D'OBSERVATIONS"
      if(nvs.eq.1)print*,"-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS"
      ier=0
      do j=2,ncol
          if(nobslev(1).ne.nobslev(j))ier=1
      enddo
      if(ier.eq.0)then
          if(nvs.eq.0)then
              print *," Le nombre d'observations ",
+ 'est le même dans toutes les variantes.'
          else
              print *,' The number of observations ',
+ 'is the same in all variants.'
          endif
      else
          if(nvs.eq.0)then
              print*," Le nombre d'observations ",

```

```

    +"n'est pas le même dans toutes les variantes:"
    print 4510,(levnumber(i),nobslev(i),i=1,ncol)
4510    format(5x,"Variante  Nombre d'observations"/(5x,i8,2x,i5))
        else
            print*, ' The number of observations ',
    +"is not the same in all variants:"
            print 4511,(levnumber(i),nobslev(i),i=1,ncol)
4511    format(7x,'Variant  Number of observations'/(6x,i8,2x,i5))
        endif
    endif
* Check if the dependent variable Y is the same across the variants.
    if(nvs.eq.0)print*,'-VÉRIFICATION SUR LES VARIABLES DÉPENDANTES'
    if(nvs.eq.1)print*,'-CHECK ON THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES'
    nydiff=0
    do 138 j=2,ncol
        if(namylev(1).ne.namylev(j))then
            ier=1
            nydiff=1
        endif
138    continue
    if(nydiff.eq.0)then
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print *, ' La variable ',namylev(1),
    +"est la même dans toutes les variantes."
        else
            print *, ' The variable ',namylev(1),
    +"is the same in all variants."
        endif
        go to 139
    else
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print 451,(levnumber(i),namylev(i),i=1,ncol)
        else
            print 45,(levnumber(i),namylev(i),i=1,ncol)
        endif
    endif
139    continue
* Check if each independent variable X is the same across the variants.
    if(nvs.eq.0)print*,'-VÉRIFICATION SUR LES VARIABLES INDÉPENDANTES'
    if(nvs.eq.1)print*,'-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES'
    do 48 k=1,nxlev1
        nxdiff=0
        do 44 j=2,ncol
            if(namxlev(k,1).ne.namxlev(k,j))then
                ier=1
                nxdiff=1
            endif
        enddo
    enddo

```

```

endif
44  continue
    if(nxdiff.eq.0)then
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print*, ' La variable ',namxlev(k,1),'est la même dans',
+' toutes les variantes.'
        else
            print*, ' The variable ',namxlev(k,1),'is the same in',
+' all variants.'
        endif
        go to 48
    else
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print*, ' La variable ',namxlev(k,1),'n'est pas la même',
+" dans toutes les variantes:"
            print 451,(levnumber(i),namxlev(k,i),i=1,ncol)
451      format(5x,'Variante  Variable'/(5x,i8,2x,a10))
        else
            print*, ' The variable ',namxlev(k,1),"is not the same",
+" in all variants:"
            print 45,(levnumber(i),namxlev(k,i),i=1,ncol)
45      format(7x,'Variant  Variable'/(6x,i8,2x,a10))
        endif
    endif
48  continue

* SHARE or PROBABILITY
*   Read file name for the elasticities
*   Read model family, name and number of a variant, number of alternatives and observations.
*   Read number of X for each alternative, excluding the constant.
*   Read the mean of SHARE or PROBABILITY for each alternative.
    do 10 j=1,ncol
        read 5,ShaElastFile
        open(unit=1,file=ShaElastFile)
        read(1,6)shafamily(j),shavariant(j),shanumber(j),nalt,nobssha(j)
6      format(2a10,2i3,i5)
        read(1,7)(nxshare(i,j),i=1,nalt)
7      format(12x,10i4)
    * Read Alternative names
        read(1,8)(nomy(i,j),i=1,nalt)
8      format(12x,10a10)
    * Read Mean Share, used to compute Diversion Rate (D.R.)
        read(1,9)(sharemean(i),i=1,nalt)
9      format(10x,10d15.7)
    * Read the name of an alternative,
    *   the name of an independent variable in SHARE (excluding the constant).

```

```

*   the elasticity of SHARE with respect to an independent variable X,
*   the conditional t of the associated beta
*   and the elasticity of UTILITY with respect to an independent variable X.
      do 200 i=1,nalt
        do 201 ix=1,nxshare(i,j)
          read(1,11)namalter(i),namxsha(i,ix,j),elapx(i,ix,j),studx,
+elaux(i,ix,j)
11      format(a8,4x,a8,3f15.7)
          encode(10,40,studxsha(i,ix,j))studx
201      continue
200      continue
      close(1)
10      continue

      print*,' '
      if(nshapro.eq.1)then
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
          print 342
342          format('PART')
        else
          print 344
344          format('SHARE')
        endif
      endif
      if(nshapro.eq.2)then
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
          print 343
343          format('PROBABILITÉ')
        else
          print 345
345          format('PROBABILITY')
        endif
      endif
    endif
* Check on the number of observations across the variants.
    if(nvs.eq.0)print*,"-VÉRIFICATION SUR LES NOMBRES D'OBSERVATIONS"
    if(nvs.eq.1)print*,"-CHECK ON THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS"
    nobsdiff=0
    do j=2,ncol
      if(nobssha(1).ne.nobssha(j))then
        ier=1
        nobsdiff=1
      endif
    enddo
    if(nobsdiff.eq.0)then
      if(nvs.eq.0)then
        print *," Le nombre d'observations ",

```

```

+ 'est le même dans toutes les variantes.'
    else
        print *, ' The number of observations ',
+ 'is the same in all variants.'
    endif
    else
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print*, " Le nombre d'observations ",
+ "n'est pas le même dans toutes les variantes:"
            print 4510,(shanumber(i),nobssha(i),i=1,ncol)
        else
            print*, ' The number of observations ',
+ 'is not the same in all variants:'
            print 4511,(shanumber(i),nobssha(i),i=1,ncol)
        endif
    endif

* Check if each alternative is the same across the variants.
    if(nvs.eq.0)print*,'-VÉRIFICATION SUR LES ALTERNATIVES'
    if(nvs.eq.1)print*,'-CHECK ON THE ALTERNATIVES'
    do i=1,nalt
        naltdiff=0
        do j=2,ncol
            if(nomy(i,1).ne.nomy(i,j))then
                ier=1
                naltdiff=1
            endif
        enddo
        if(naltdiff.eq.1)then
            if(nvs.eq.0)then
                print*, ' Alternative ',nomy(i,1),"n'est pas la même",
+ " dans toutes les variantes:"
                print 452,(shanumber(j),nomy(i,j),j=1,ncol)
                format(5x,'Variante Alternative'/(5x,i8,2x,a10))
            else
                print*, ' Alternative ',nomy(i,1),"is not the same",
+ " in all variants:"
                print 453,(shanumber(j),nomy(i,j),j=1,ncol)
                format(6x,'Variant Alternative'/(5x,i8,2x,a10))
            endif
        else
            if(nvs.eq.0)then
                print*, ' Alternative ',nomy(i,1),'est la même',
+ ' dans toutes les variantes.'
            else
                print*, ' Alternative ',nomy(i,1),'is the same',

```

```

+           ' in all variants.'
           endif
       endif
    enddo
* Check if each independent variable is the same across the variants.
    if(nvs.eq.0)print*,'-VÉRIFICATION SUR LES VARIABLES INDÉPENDANTES'
    if(nvs.eq.1)print*,'-CHECK ON THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES'
    do 60 m=1,nalt
    print 65,m,namalter(m)
65    format(2x,'ALTERNATIVE ',i2,': ',a8)
    nv=nxshare(m,1)
    do 61 k=1,nv
    nxdiff=0
    do 63 j=2,ncol
        if(namxsha(m,k,1).ne.namxsha(m,k,j))then
            ier=1
            nxdiff=1
        endif
63    continue
    if(nxdiff.eq.0)then
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print*,' La variable ',namxsha(m,k,1),'est la même dans
+toutes les variantes.'
        else
            print*,' The variable ',namxsha(m,k,1),'is the same in a
+ll variants.'
        endif
        go to 61
    else
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print*,' La variable ',namxsha(m,k,1),'n'est pas la même",
+" dans toutes les variantes:"
            print 451,(shanumber(j),namxsha(m,k,j),j=1,ncol)
        else
            print*,' The variable ',namxsha(m,k,1),'is not the same",
+" in all variants:"
            print 45,(shanumber(j),namxsha(m,k,j),j=1,ncol)
        endif
    endif
62    continue
61    continue
60    continue
    if(ier.eq.1)then
        print*,' '
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print*,'ARRÊT DU PROGRAMME ...'

```

```

        else
            print*, 'PROGRAM STOP ...'
        endif
        stop
    endif

    print*, ''
    ***BEGINNING of TABLE
    nlen=(ncol+1)*11 + 45
    encode(20,112,idraw1)nlen
    encode(20,222,idraw2)nlen
112    format(1h(,i3,6h(1h-)))
222    format(1h(,i3,6h(1h=)))
    * PRINT column headings for LEVEL and SHARE
    print idraw2
    if(nvs.eq.0)then
        print 301,(levfamily(i),i=1,ncol)
301    format('NIVEAU',t50,'FAMILLE',t64,10a11)
        print 302,(adjustr(levvariant(i)),i=1,ncol)
302    format(t50'VARIANTE',a10,9a11)
        print 303,(levnumber(i),i=1,ncol)
303    format(t50'NUMÉRO',1x,10i11)
    else
        print 101,(levfamily(i),i=1,ncol)
101    format('LEVEL',t50,'FAMILY',t64,10a11)
        print 102,(adjustr(levvariant(i)),i=1,ncol)
102    format(t50'VARIANT',10a11)
        print 103,(levnumber(i),i=1,ncol)
103    format(t50'NUMBER',1x,10i11)
    endif

    if(nshapro.eq.1)then
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print 304,(adjustr(shafamily(i)),i=1,ncol)
304    format('/PART',t50,'FAMILLE',t57,10a11)
        else
            print 104,(adjustr(shafamily(i)),i=1,ncol)
104    format('/SHARE',t50,'FAMILY',t57,10a11)
        endif
    else
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print 305,(adjustr(shafamily(i)),i=1,ncol)
305    format('/PROBABILITÉ',t50,'FAMILLE',t57,10a11)
        else
            print 105,(adjustr(shafamily(i)),i=1,ncol)
105    format('/PROBABILITY',t50,'FAMILY',t57,10a11)

```

```

        endif
    endif
    if(nvs.eq.0)then
        print 302,(adjustr(shavariant(i)),i=1,ncol)
        print 303,(shanumber(i),i=1,ncol)
    else
        print 102,(adjustr(shavariant(i)),i=1,ncol)
        print 103,(shanumber(i),i=1,ncol)
    endif

    print idraw2
* LEVEL: PRINT elasticities and t-statistics
    if(nvs.eq.0)then
        print 245
245        format('NIVEAU'/)
    else
        print 246
246        format('LEVEL'/)
    endif
    encode(40,250,ifmt)ncol
250    format(14h(2x,'(t)',t10,,i2,17h(2x'(',f7.2,')'))))
* Print the definition of the variable X
    do 15 i=1,nxlev1
        do 31 k=1,nvarlev
            if(namxlev(i,1).eq.namvlev(k))then
                print 16,defvlev(k),namxlev(i,1),(elastxlev(i,j),j=1,ncol)
16                format(1x,a35,1x,a10,2x,'EL(T,X)',t57,10f11.3)
* Underline a quasi-dummy (category 2) or a dummy (category 3).
* numvlev() is the category (0,1,2,3) of a variable X for LEVEL.
                call underline(numvlev(m),namxlev(i,1),under)
                print 50,under
50                format(1h ,36x,10a1,$)
                print ifmt,(studxlev(i,j),j=1,ncol)

            endif
31            continue
15            continue

        if(maxU.gt.0)then
            do 18 i=1,maxU
                do 32 k=1,nvarlev
                    if(namU(i).eq.namvlev(k))then
                        print 17,defvlev(k),namU(i),(elastU(i,j),j=1,ncol)
17                        format(1x,a35,1x,a10,2x,'EL(T,U)',t57,10a11)
                        print 19,(studU(i,j),j=1,ncol)
19                        format(49x,'(t)',t58,10a11)
                    endif
                enddo
            enddo
        endif

```

```

32         continue
18         continue
        endif

        print idraw1
* SHARE or PROBABILITY: PRINT elasticities and t-statistics
        if(nshapro.eq.1) then
            if(nvs.eq.0)then
                print 142
142                format('PART'/)
            else
                print 143
143                format('SHARE'/)
            endif
        else
            if(nvs.eq.0)then
                print 144
144                format('PROBABILITÉ'/)
            else
                print 145
145                format('PROBABILITY'/)
            endif
        endif
        do 20 j=1,nalt
            if(j.lt.10)then
                if(nshapro.eq.1)then
                    if(nvs.eq.0)then
                        print 220,j,namalter(j),sharemean(j)
220                format('ALTERNATIVE'i2': 'a8,2x','(Part moyenne:'f7.4,1h)
+                /13(1h=))
                    else
                        print 120,j,namalter(j),sharemean(j)
120                format('ALTERNATIVE'i2': 'a8,2x','(Mean share:'f7.4,1h)
+                /13(1h=))
                    endif
                else
                    if(nvs.eq.0)then
                        print 230,j,namalter(j),sharemean(j)
230                format('ALTERNATIVE'i2': 'a8,2x','(Prob. moyenne:'f7.4,1h)
+                /13(1h=))
                    else
                        print 130,j,namalter(j),sharemean(j)
130                format('ALTERNATIVE'i2': 'a8,2x','(Mean prob.: 'f7.4,1h)
+                /13(1h=))
                    endif
                endif
            endif
        enddo
    endif

```

```

else
    if(nshapro.eq.1)then
        if(nvs.eq.0)then
            print 221,j,namalter(j),sharemean(j)
221      format('ALTERNATIVE'i3': 'a8,2x','(Part moyenne:'f7.4,1h)
+      /14(1h=))
            else
                print 121,j,namalter(j),sharemean(j)
121      format('ALTERNATIVE'i3': 'a8,2x','(Mean share:'f7.4,1h)
+      /14(1h=))
            endif
        else
            if(nvs.eq.0)then
                print 231,j,namalter(j),sharemean(j)
231      format('ALTERNATIVE'i3': 'a8,2x','(Prob. moyenne:'f7.4,1h)
+      /14(1h=))
            else
                print 131,j,namalter(j),sharemean(j)
131      format('ALTERNATIVE'i3': 'a8,2x','(Mean prob.: 'f7.4,1h)
+      /14(1h=))
            endif
        endif
    endif
    nv=nxshare(j,1)
    do 21 k=1,nv
* If a SHARE/PROB variable appears also in LEVEL,
* then numxlev is the corresponding index of X in LEVEL.
    numxlev=0
    do 23 kk=1,nxlev1
23  if(namxsha(j,k,1).eq.namxlev(kk,1))numxlev=kk
        do 33 m=1,nvarsha
            if(namxsha(j,k,1).eq.namvsha(m))then
                print 25,defvsha(m),namxsha(j,k,1),(elaux(j,k,i),i=1,ncol)
25      format(1x,a35,1x,a10,2x,'EL(U)',t57,10f11.3)
* Underline a quasi-dummy (category 2) or a dummy (category 3)
* numvsha() is the category (0,1,2,3) of a variable X for SHARE/PROB.
                call underline(numvsha(m),namxsha(j,k,1),under)
                print 50,under
                if(nshapro.eq.1)print 26,(elapx(j,k,i),i=1,ncol)
26      format(2x'EL(Sm)',1x,10f11.3)
                if(nshapro.eq.2)print 27,(elapx(j,k,i),i=1,ncol)
27      format(2x'EL(Pm)',1x,10f11.3)
            endif
33      continue
        print 19,(studxsha(j,k,i),i=1,ncol)

```

```

* Compute ELATOTAL (Total elasticity)
*   ELAMODAL (Modal Elasticity)
*   DIVRATE (Diversion rate).
  do 22 i=1,ncol
    elatotal(i)=elastxlev(nxlevel(1),i)*elaux(j,k,i)
* If a SHARE variable appears also in LEVEL (numxlev > 0),
* add ELASTXLEV to ELATOTAL.
    if(numxlev.gt.0)elatotal(i)=elatotal(i)+elastxlev(numxlev,i)
    elamodal(i)=elatotal(i)+elapx(j,k,i)
22  divrate(i)=(elatotal(i)/(sharemean(j)*elamodal(i))) - 1
    print 28,(elatotal(i),i=1,ncol)
28  format(49x,'EL(T)',t57,10f11.3)
    print 29,(elamodal(i),i=1,ncol)
29  format(49x,'EL(Tm)',t57,10f11.3)
    print 30,(divrate(i),i=1,ncol)
30  format(49x,'D.R.',t57,10f11.3)
    print *,''
21  continue

20  continue
    print idraw2
    stop
    end

    subroutine underline(catx,namx,under)
* Input : catx = 2 for a quasi-dummy
*          = 3 for a real dummy
*          namx = name of an independent variable X
* Output: under = underscore whose length is the same as the length of namx
*          without trailing blank characters
    integer catx
    character*10 namx
    character*1 under(10)
    do 1 i=1,10
1      under(i)=' '
        nonblank=len_trim(namx)
    if(catx.eq.2)then
        do 2 i=1,nonblank
2          under(i)='- '
        elseif(catx.eq.3)then
            do 3 i=1,nonblank
3          under(i)='='
        endif
    return
    end

```

4. DATABASES

4.1 Via Rail 1987

In Examples IV and V considered in Table 9 of section 1.3, the complete database produced by the Canadian passenger railway company Via Rail in 1987 for the Quebec-Windsor corridor contains 4401 O-D pairs for business purpose and 8536 O-D pairs for other purposes, for four transportation modes: Rail, Air, Bus and Car, (Gaudry and Le Leyzour, 1994; AJD-120). The 4401 O-D pairs are used to create randomly a reduced sample of 1000 O-D pairs for the estimation of the Probability, Level L-1.6 and L-2.1 variants in examples IV and V. This reduced set is necessary due to the inversion of the big matrices involved in L-2.1 algorithm in example V.

Four files can be found in **d:\Tabqdf\Databases\Via Rail**:

1. Complete database: **Business4401.txt** and **NonBusiness8536.txt**.
2. Reduced database: **Business1000.txt**.
3. Observation numbers for the 1000 O-D pairs in the reduced database: **Numobs1000.txt**.

The reduced sample is used in the Probability variants of Examples IV and V, and also used to create the dependent and independent variables in L-1.6 and L-2.1 variants, namely:

1. The dependent variable T_{ij} and the independent variables T_i and T_j used in L-1.6 variants of Example IV. The associated file is stored in *d:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Four Example\Level 1.6* under the name *Tij-3indep.in*. In the same folder, the definitions of the variables are given in the file *L1Listdef.txt*;
2. The dependent variable T_{ij} , the independent variables T_i and T_j , and the heteroskedasticity variable TiT_j used in L-2.1 variants of Example V. The associated file is stored in *d:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Level 2.1* under the name *TijL2.in*. In the same folder, the definitions of the variables are given in the file *L2Listdef.txt*;
3. The contiguity matrix $DIST_{OD}$ used in L-2.1 variants of Example V is stored in the file *Rdist100.in* in folder *d:\Tabqdf\TabqdfTests\Fifth Example\Level 2.1*. This matrix is based on the distance between Montreal and neighbouring cities, Toronto and neighbouring cities, or Ottawa and neighbouring cities under 100 minutes of car runtime (variable CAR_{RT} in the reduced database): a value 1 is assigned to an O-D pair in the matrix if $car_rt < 100$ and a value 0 otherwise.

Note that the proportions of business trips originating or destinating in these cities are:

	as destination	as origin
Montreal	10%	10%
Ottawa	9%	12%
Toronto	15%	16%

4.2 Intercity passenger flows, Canada 1976

For Canada, the flow indicator for year 1976 is the *passenger flow* for 120 Canadian city pairs.

The database can be found in folder **d:\Tabqdf\Databases\Canada 1976** which includes four files:

1. **Canada1976.in** contains all the variables for Level 2.1.
2. **R320km.in** is the contiguity matrix *DIST_OD* based on the distance of 320 km between an origin and a destination. A value of 1 is assigned to an O-D pair in the matrix if the distance between an origin and a destination is less than or equal to 300 km, and a value of 0 otherwise.
3. **R1.in** is the contiguity matrix *DIST_O* based on the distance of 320 km from an origin to a destination. A value of 1 is assigned to an O-D pair in the matrix if the distance between an origin and a destination is less than or equal to 320 km, and a value of 0 otherwise.
4. **R2.in** is the contiguity matrix *DIST_D* based on the distance of 320 km from a destination to an origin. A value of 1 is assigned to an O-D pair in the matrix if the distance between an origin and a destination is less than or equal to 320 km, and a value of 0 otherwise.

4.3 Trade flows in tons, European Community 1987

For the European Community, the flow indicator for year 1987 is the *tonnage shipped* for Europe (because value of shipment data were not available on a regional basis, but only on a national basis), as in Blum and Mende (1996). Flows are observed among the 11 German provinces, as well as between them and 10 other European countries (but not among European countries): there are 316 observations.

The database can be found in folder **d:\Tabqdf\Databases\European Community 1987** which includes three files:

5. **Europe.in** contains all the variables for Level 2.1.
6. **R300km.in** is the contiguity matrix *DIST_OD* based on the distance of 300 km between an origin and a destination. A value of 1 is assigned to an O-D pair in the matrix if the distance between an origin and a destination is less than or equal to 300 km, and a value of 0 otherwise.
7. **R450km.in** is the contiguity matrix *DIST_OD* based on the distance of 450 km between an origin and a destination. A value of 1 is assigned to an O-D pair in the matrix if the distance between an origin and a destination is less than or equal to 450 km, and a value of 0 otherwise.

4.4 Trade flows in value, North America 1988

For North America, the flow indicator for year 1988 is the *value of shipments*, as in McCallum (1995). Flows are observed among the 10 Canadian provinces, as well as between them and 30 American states (but not among American states): there are 683 observations.

The database can be found in folder **d:\Tabqdf\Databases\North America 1988** which includes two files:

8. **America.in** contains all the variables for Level 2.1.
9. **R400miles.in** is the contiguity matrix *DIST_OD* based on the distance of 400 miles between an origin and a destination. A value of 1 is assigned to an element of the matrix if the distance between an origin and a destination is less than or equal to 400 miles, and a value of 0 otherwise.

5. REFERENCES

All the documents listed below, except the first and the tenth, are downloadable from the Agora Jules Dupuit website currently located at www.e-ajd.net.

-
- Blum, U. C. and M. Mende (1996). *The Impact of European Integration on Transport and the Environment*, SPES-Project for the European Union, Dresden, mimeo.
- Gaudry, M. (1989, 1990, 1993). *Asymmetric Shape and Variable Tail Thickness in Multinomial Probabilistic Responses to Significant Transport Service Level Changes*. Publication CRT-893, Centre de recherche sur les transports, Université de Montréal, 29 p., June 1989, revised July 1990, April 1993.
- Gaudry, M. (2004). The Robust Gravity Form in Transport and Trade Models. *Zeitschrift für Kanada-Studien* 24, 1, Band 44, 144-161 (Also Publication AJD-27, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal).
- Gaudry, M. (2010). *Improved Modelling of Competition among Airports through Flexible Form and Non Diagonal Demand Structures Explaining Flows registered within a New Traffic Accounting Matrix*. Ch. 13 in Forsyth, P., Gillen, D., Müller, J. and H.-M. Niemeier (eds), *Competition in European Airports*, pp. 197-238, Ashgate, forthcoming.
- Gaudry, M., Heinitz, F., Last, J. and B. Mandel (1998). *Methodological Developments within the Quasi-Direct Format Demand Structure : the Multicountry Application for Passengers MAP-1*. Publication CRT-98-46, Centre de recherche sur les transports, Université de Montréal, Working Paper N° 9815, Bureau d'économie théorique et appliquée (BETA), Université Louis Pasteur, 67 p., October.
- Gaudry, M. and A. Le Leyzour (1994). *Improving a Fragile Linear Logit Model Specified for High Speed Rail Demand Analysis in the Quebec-Windsor Corridor of Canada*. Publication CRT-988, Centre de recherche sur les transports, 37 p., 1994. Also Publication AJD-120, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, June 1994.
- Gaudry, M., Duclos, L.-P., Dufort, F. and T. Liem (1993, 2005). *TRIO Reference Manual, Version 2.0*. Publication AJD-103/CRT-903, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal. Revised June 2005.
- Gaudry, M., Mandel, B. and W. Rothengatter (1994, 2002). *Introducing Spatial Competition through an Autoregressive Contiguous Distributed (AR-C-D) Process in Intercity Generation-Distribution Models within a Quasi-Direct Format (QDF)*. Publication CRT-971, Centre de recherche sur les transports, 61 p., 1994. Revised as Publication AJD-14, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, June 2002.
- Laferrière, R. (1988). *Une Agrégation Nouvelle des Itinéraires de Transport Aérien (ANITA)*. Publication CRT-574, Centre de recherche sur les transports, Université de Montréal, 106 p., février.
- McCallum, J. (1995). National Borders Matter: Canada-U.S. Regional Trade Patterns, *American Economic Review* 85, 3, p. 615-623.

- Schlesselman, J. (1971). Power families: a note on the Box and Cox transformation. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B* 33, 307-31.
- Tran, C.L., Gaudry, M. and M. Dagenais (2008). LEVEL: *The L-1.6 estimation procedures for BC-GAUHESEQ (Box-Cox Generalized AUtoregressive HEteroskedastic Single EQuation) regression and multimoment analysis*. Publication AJD-105, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, 59 p., April.
- Tran, C.L. and M. Gaudry (2008a). LEVEL: *The L-2.1 estimation procedures for BC-DAUHESEQ (Box-Cox Directed AUtoregressive HEteroskedastic Single EQuation) regression*. Publication AJD-108, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, 26 p., April, revised September.
- Tran, C.L. and M. Gaudry (2009a). PROBABILITY: *The P-2 to P-6 estimation procedures for the Standard and Generalized BOX-COX LOGIT and DOGIT and for the Linear and Box-Tukey INVERSE POWER TRANSFORMATION-LOGIT models with disaggregate data*. Publication AJD-111, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, 72 p., April 2008, revised January.
- Tran, C.L. and M. Gaudry (2008b). SHARE: *The S-1 to S-5 estimation procedures for the Standard and Generalized BOX-COX LOGIT and DOGIT and for the Linear and Box-Tukey INVERSE POWER TRANSFORMATION-LOGIT models with aggregate data*. Publication AJD-114, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, 76 p., April, revised October.
- Tran, C.L. and M. Gaudry (2008c). QDF: *The Quasi-Direct Format calculation procedures to derive modal demand elasticities and diversion rates from products of coupled total demand and mode choice model results*. Publication AJD-117, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, 25 p., April, revised February 2012.
- Tran, C.L. and M. Gaudry (2009b). QDF: *The Quasi-Direct Format source and compiled programs, databases and examples*. Publication AJD-119, Agora Jules Dupuit, Université de Montréal, April 2008, revised March 2012.

6. Appendix 1. Other generation-distribution models constructed from supplied data bases

6.1 Trade flows, European Community, 1987 (from Gaudry, 2004)

EUROPEAN COMMUNITY 2

TRADE FLOW MODELS BETWEEN 10 EUROPEAN COUNTRIES AND 11 GERMAN PROVINCES,
AND AMONG THE LATTER (1987 SHIPMENTS IN TONS)

I.		TYPE =	LEVEL-2	LEVEL-2	LEVEL-2	LEVEL-2	LEVEL-2	LEVEL-2
ELASTICITY	E(y) (EP)	VARIANT =	LOG	LOG+	LOG+	BC1+	BC2+	BC2GH1+
(COND.	T-STATISTIC)	VERSION =	1	1	1	1	1	1
LEVEL VARIABLES								
GROSS DOMESTIC	mecu87_o		.709	.812	.834	.867	.972	.983
PRODUCT AT ORIGIN			(14.65)	(12.49)	(12.14)	(12.49)	(12.75)	(13.24)
		LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1
GROSS DOMESTIC	mecu87_d		.731	.829	.855	.903	1.016	1.038
PRODUCT AT DESTINATION			(15.15)	(12.92)	(12.49)	(13.10)	(13.37)	(13.56)
		LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1
DISTANCE	dist		-1.298	-1.342	-1.406	-1.734	-2.345	-2.474
			(-15.54)	(-12.39)	(-13.50)	(-17.61)	(-16.05)	(-17.44)
		LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1
INTERPROVINCIAL TRADE	gdummy		0.990	1.082	1.024	0.799	0.762	0.769
DUMMY	=====		(6.38)	(3.47)	(3.19)	(2.97)	(2.72)	(3.10)
HETEROSKEDASTICITY STRUCTURE								
DELTA COEFFICIENTS								
PRODUCT OF GDP AT	mecuprod							-.087
ORIGIN AND DESTINATION								(-4.33)
								LAM
II. PARAMETERS								
HETEROSKEDASTICITY STRUCTURE								
BOX-COX TRANSFORMATIONS: UNCOND: [T-STATISTIC=0] / [T-STATISTIC=1]								
LAMBDA (Z)	mecuprod							.000
								FIXED
BOX-COX TRANSFORMATIONS: UNCOND: [T-STATISTIC=0] / [T-STATISTIC=1]								
LAMBDA (Y)	goods						.079	.074
							[4.07]	[3.21]
							[-47.33]	[-40.17]
LAMBDA (Y) - GROUP	1 LAM 1		.000	.000	.000	.078		
			FIXED	FIXED	FIXED	[4.04]		
						[-47.85]		
LAMBDA (X) - GROUP	1 LAM 1		.000	.000	.000	.078	.270	.028
			FIXED	FIXED	FIXED	[4.04]	[4.40]	[4.86]
						[-47.85]	[-11.89]	[-12.47]
SPATIAL AUTOCORRELATION: COND: [T-STATISTIC=0] / [T-STATISTIC=1]								
O and D: DIST (300 km)								
RHO (DIST_OD)			.425	.543	.760	.870	.876	
			[4.77]	[5.26]	[11.35]	[23.19]	[27.28]	
PI (DIST_OD)			1.000	.435	.186	.142	.125	
			FIXED	[1.08]	[1.53]	[2.07]	[2.25]	
				[-1.40]	[-6.73]	[-12.51]	[-15.78]	

=====						
III.GENERAL STATISTICS						
=====						
LOG-LIKELIHOOD	-2356.63	-2341.23	-2339.99	-2329.95	-2327.14	-2318.49
=====						
SAMPLE : - NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	316	316	316	316	316	316
- FIRST OBSERVATION	1	1	1	1	1	1
- LAST OBSERVATION	316	316	316	316	316	316
=====						
NUMBER OF ESTIMATED PARAMETERS :						
- FIXED PART :						
. BETAS	5	5	5	5	5	5
. BOX-COX	0	0	0	1	2	2
. ASSOCIATED DUMMIES	0	0	0	0	0	0
- SPATIAL AUTOCORRELATION :						
. RHO	0	1	1	1	1	1
. PI	0	0	1	1	1	1
- HETEROSKEDASTICITY :						
. DELTAS	0	0	0	0	0	1
. BOX-COX	0	0	0	0	0	0
. ASSOCIATED DUMMIES	0	0	0	0	0	0
=====						

6.2 Intercity passenger flows, Canada 1976 (with two orders of autocorrelation, from Gaudry *et al.*, 1994, 2002)

=====						
PART I. Presented statistics						
Model type	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1
Name of the variant	LOG	LOG+AU	LOG+AU+PR	BC1+AU+PR	BC2+AU+PR	BC5+AU+PR
Version number of the variant	1	2	3	4	5	6
Dependent variable in the variant	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW
=====						
IND = INDEPENDENT VARIABLES						
=====						
POPULATION	POP					
Elasticity of E(Y)	1.389	1.414	1.430	1.457	1.499	1.498
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(25.45) (	25.61) (	29.06) (	31.40) (	37.05) (	30.01)
	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1
REVENUE	REVE					
Elasticity of E(Y)	0.832	1.320	0.940	1.350	0.209	0.308
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(1.20) (	1.56) (	1.28) (	1.95) (	0.31) (	0.58)
	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 2
FRENCH LANGUAGE	LANGFR					
Elasticity of E(Y)	0.066	0.239	0.287	0.312	0.251	0.195
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(1.20) (	2.39) (	3.76) (	4.24) (	4.22) (	5.94)
	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 3
UTILITY	UTILITY					
Elasticity of E(Y)	0.634	0.596	0.540	0.511	0.655	0.674
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(42.33) (	21.25) (	18.76) (	19.10) (	22.20) (	22.83)
	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 4
REGRESSION CONSTANT	CONSTANT					
Elasticity of E(Y)	-10.784	-16.193	-13.857	-14.640	-20.263	2.213
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(-1.96) (	-2.30) (	-2.31) (	-2.92) (	-2.98) (	4.43)
=====						
PART II. Parameters						
t-statistic unconditional (=0) [=1]						
Model type	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1
Name of the variant	LOG	LOG+AU	LOG+AU+PR	BC1+AU+PR	BC2+AU+PR	BC5+AU+PR
Version number of the variant	1	2	3	4	5	6
Dependent variable in the variant	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW
=====						
Box-Cox Transformations						

LAMBDA(Y)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.030	0.058	0.066
Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	(1.57) (	3.21) (	2.07)
				[-51.44] [	-51.72] [	-29.05]
LAMBDA(X) - Group 1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.030	-0.055	0.182
Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	(1.57) (	-2.54) (	2.49)
				[-51.44] [	-48.52] [	-11.22]
LAMBDA(X) - Group 2						6.552
						(0.14)
						[0.12]
LAMBDA(X) - Group 3						-0.251
						(-0.42)
						[-2.12]
LAMBDA(X) - Group 4						-0.067
						(-2.75)
						[-44.08]

Directed Autocorrelation

O: DIST < 320 km		DIST_O				
RHO		0.425	0.591	0.627	0.517	0.574
		(3.38)	(6.15)	(7.25)	(5.01)	(5.72)
PI		1.000	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000
		Fixed (0.00)	(0.23)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
		[-22.21]	[-16.22]	[-22.57]	[-7.19]	
D: DIST < 320 km		DIST_D				
RHO		0.316	0.213	0.217	0.238	0.250
		(1.88)	(1.31)	(1.64)	(1.40)	(1.84)
PI		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
		Fixed (0.64)	(0.69)	(0.67)	(0.88)	
		[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.00]	
=====						
PART III. General statistics						
Model type	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1
Name of the variant	LOG	LOG+AU	LOG+AU+PR	BC1+AU+PR	BC2+AU+PR	BC5+AU+PR
Version number of the variant	1	2	3	4	5	6
Dependent variable in the variant	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW	TOTFLOW
=====						
Log-likelihood	-1318.23	-1306.12	-1301.11	-1300.22	-1287.21	-1272.27
Pearson R2	0.885	0.920	0.946	0.958	0.970	0.995
Pearson R2 adjusted for D.F.	0.881	0.916	0.942	0.955	0.967	0.995
Pseudo-(L)-R2	0.944	0.977	0.983	0.982	0.975	0.983
Pseudo-(L)-R2 adjusted for D.F.	0.942	0.976	0.982	0.980	0.973	0.981
Average probability (Y=limit observation)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Sample - Number of observations	120	120	120	120	120	120
Number of estimated parameters						
- Beta	5	5	5	5	5	5
- Lambda(y) and Lambda(x)	0	0	0	1	2	5
- Heteroskedasticity: Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Lambda(z)	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Autocorrélation: Rho	0	2	2	2	2	2
- Pi	0	0	2	2	2	2
- Total	5	7	9	10	11	14
Mean of observed Y	0.15D+06	0.15D+06	0.15D+06	0.15D+06	0.15D+06	0.15D+06
Mean of estimated E(Y)	0.19D+06	0.17D+06	0.17D+06	0.16D+06	0.15D+06	0.15D+06
=====						

7. Appendix 2. Key full sample mode choice models for the Quebec-Windsor Corridor of Canada, Business and Other trip purposes (from Gaudry and Le Leyzour, 1994, and Gaudry, 2011)

The first 3 columns (Business trips) are virtually identical to those found in the above mentioned papers, notably to those found in Table 3 of the most recent (the sample differs by one observation). The last 3 columns (Other trips) are identical.

PART I. Presented statistics						
Model type	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT
Name of the variant	BENBUS1	BENBUS1	BENBUS1	BENNBUS	BENNBUS	BENNBUS
Version number of the variant	8	18	46	43	48	105
Dependent variable in the variant	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE
=====						
ALTERNATIVE 1 : RAIL						

N	NETWORK VARIABLES					

S) TOTAL COST, RAIL(RUN + ACCESS COS	RAI_CTT					
BETA coefficient	-0.0222	-0.8105	-2.9866	0.0185	-4.9475	-0.9471
Elast. of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	-0.9028	-2.1613	-1.2094	0.3536	-1.0042	-0.7869
Change of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	-0.0555	-0.1383	-0.0757	0.0223	-0.0612	-0.0599
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-3.66)	(-7.23)	(-2.85)	(2.14)	(-6.91)	(-4.18)
	L 1 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 2 (GE)
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, RAIL	RAI_TTT					
BETA coefficient	-0.0136	-0.0001	-0.0708	-0.0072	0.0354	0.0000
Elast. of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	-2.9894	-1.6558	-1.9302	-1.4093	0.4163	-0.1543
Change of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	-0.1825	-0.1052	-0.1237	-0.0857	0.0226	-0.0226
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-10.19)	(-5.91)	(-4.32)	(-6.65)	(1.44)	(-1.51)
	L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)
FREQUENCY, RAIL	RAI_FR					
BETA coefficient	0.0796	0.0138	0.0275	0.0574	0.0606	0.1056
Elast. of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	0.3434	0.1282	0.1907	0.2718	0.3091	0.3779
Change of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	0.0221	0.0080	0.0120	0.0156	0.0199	0.0278
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(12.02)	(11.67)	(11.88)	(8.35)	(10.03)	(11.25)
	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 5 (GE)
RUN TIME, CAR	CAR_RT					
BETA coefficient			0.0000			0.0002
Elast. of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate			1.4467			0.8169
Change of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate			0.0978			0.0838
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)			(4.59)			(8.38)
			L 5 (GE)			L 4 (GE)

S	SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES					

INCOME	INCOME					
BETA coefficient	0.0000	-0.1148	-0.0911	0.0000	-0.0179	-0.0028
Elast. of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	-0.8051	-0.7062	-0.7488	-0.3530	-0.3606	-0.1283
Change of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	-0.0437	-0.0412	-0.0441	-0.0156	-0.0175	-0.0041
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-2.61)	(-2.99)	(-3.00)	(-3.86)	(-5.09)	(-2.48)
	(SP)	L 2 (SP)	L 2 (SP)	L 1 (SP)	L 2 (SP)	L 1 (SP)

ET	ET CETERA					

REGRESSION CONSTANT	CONSTANT					
BETA coefficient	-3.4603	-1.1284	-1.2173	-3.2599	-1.8570	-3.4338
Elast. of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	-2.4517	-0.0664	0.3004	-2.5674	-1.5525	-2.6533
Change of P(RAIL) - w.aggregate	-0.1402	-0.0030	0.0289	-0.1295	-0.0931	-0.1779
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-10.17)	(-1.03)	(-1.18)	(-16.49)	(-5.35)	(-10.74)
	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)
=====						
ALTERNATIVE 2 : AIR						

N	NETWORK VARIABLES					

S) TOTAL COST, AIR (RUN + ACCESS COS	AIR_CTT					
BETA coefficient	-0.0222	-0.8105	-2.9866	0.0185	-4.9475	-0.9471
Elast. of P(AIR) - w.aggregate	-1.2290	-1.1239	-0.3245	1.4481	-0.4537	-0.7381
Change of P(AIR) - w.aggregate	-0.5360	-0.4626	-0.1282	0.1680	-0.0415	-0.0766
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-3.66)	(-7.23)	(-2.85)	(2.14)	(-6.91)	(-4.18)
	L 1 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 2 (GE)
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, AIR	AIR_TTT					
BETA coefficient	-0.0136	-0.0001	-0.0708	-0.0072	0.0354	0.0000
Elast. of P(AIR) - w.aggregate	-0.7519	-0.2698	-0.5196	-1.0051	0.3384	-0.0074
Change of P(AIR) - w.aggregate	-0.3209	-0.1184	-0.2163	-0.1087	0.0325	-0.0010
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-10.19)	(-5.91)	(-4.32)	(-6.65)	(1.44)	(-1.51)
	L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)
FREQUENCY, AIR	AIR_FR					
BETA coefficient	0.0796	0.0138	0.0275	0.0574	0.0606	0.1056
Elast. of P(AIR) - w.aggregate	0.7458	0.7854	0.7998	1.5333	2.1475	1.8924
Change of P(AIR) - w.aggregate	0.3955	0.4597	0.4514	0.2092	0.2598	0.2372
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(12.02)	(11.67)	(11.88)	(8.35)	(10.03)	(11.25)
	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 5 (GE)
RUN TIME, CAR	CAR_RT					
BETA coefficient			0.0000			0.0002
Elast. of P(AIR) - w.aggregate			1.0341			1.4831
Change of P(AIR) - w.aggregate			0.5291			0.1982
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)			(4.59)			(8.38)
			L 5 (GE)			L 4 (GE)

S	SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES						

	INCOME	INCOME					
	BETA coefficient		0.0000	0.2088	0.1664	0.0000	0.0227
	Elast. of P(AIR) - w.aggregate		0.5420	0.4401	0.4477	1.2382	0.8209
	Change of P(AIR) - w.aggregate		0.2292	0.1803	0.1845	0.1546	0.0856
	T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(4.99)	(5.00)	(5.05)	(4.01)	(2.72)
			(SP)	L 2 (SP)	L 2 (SP)	L 1 (SP)	L 2 (SP)

ET	ET CETERA						

	REGRESSION CONSTANT	CONSTANT					
	BETA coefficient		-4.9408	-8.0472	-9.8209	-8.4258	-6.7295
	Elast. of P(AIR) - w.aggregate		-1.5830	-2.6748	-3.2123	-6.6413	-5.5119
	Change of P(AIR) - w.aggregate		-0.6282	-1.0720	-1.2839	-0.7042	-0.5202
	T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-7.19)	(-5.86)	(-7.50)	(-11.24)	(-7.27)
			(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)

ALTERNATIVE 3 : BUS							

N	NETWORK VARIABLES						

S)TOTAL COST, BUS (RUN + ACCESS COS	BUS_CTT						
BETA coefficient		-0.0222	-0.8105	-2.9866	0.0185	-4.9475	-0.9471
Elast. of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		-0.4598	-1.7555	-1.3819	0.2777	-0.9118	-0.6952
Change of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		-0.0381	-0.1363	-0.1022	0.0481	-0.1712	-0.1294
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-3.66)	(-7.23)	(-2.85)	(2.14)	(-6.91)	(-4.18)
		L 1 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 2 (GE)
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, BUS	BUS_TTT						
BETA coefficient		-0.0136	-0.0001	-0.0708	-0.0072	0.0354	0.0000
Elast. of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		-3.1446	-1.7196	-1.8989	-1.3370	0.3679	-0.1030
Change of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		-0.2606	-0.1467	-0.1478	-0.2295	0.0721	-0.0222
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-10.19)	(-5.91)	(-4.32)	(-6.65)	(1.44)	(-1.51)
		L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)
FREQUENCY, BUS	BUS_FR						
BETA coefficient		0.0796	0.0138	0.0275	0.0574	0.0606	0.1056
Elast. of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		1.3705	1.1397	1.2877	0.6303	0.7784	0.8297
Change of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		0.1209	0.1000	0.1097	0.1249	0.1740	0.1703
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(12.02)	(11.67)	(11.88)	(8.35)	(10.03)	(11.25)
		L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 5 (GE)
RUN TIME, CAR	CAR_RT						
BETA coefficient				0.0000			0.0002
Elast. of P(BUS) - w.aggregate				1.5082			0.6145
Change of P(BUS) - w.aggregate				0.1348			0.1222
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)				(4.59)			(8.38)
				L 5 (GE)			L 4 (GE)

S	SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES						

	INCOME	INCOME					
	BETA coefficient		-0.0001	-0.3364	-0.2684	0.0000	-0.0439
	Elast. of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		-1.9311	-1.6553	-1.6767	-0.9334	-0.9075
	Change of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		-0.1032	-0.1076	-0.1062	-0.1170	-0.1486
	T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-5.80)	(-6.89)	(-6.93)	(-13.13)	(-15.52)
			(SP)	L 2 (SP)	L 2 (SP)	L 1 (SP)	L 2 (SP)

ET	ET CETERA						

	REGRESSION CONSTANT	CONSTANT					
	BETA coefficient		-3.9796	1.9263	1.8537	-1.6915	0.5048
	Elast. of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		-2.9303	2.4469	2.4637	-1.0841	0.5928
	Change of P(BUS) - w.aggregate		-0.2076	0.1817	0.1775	-0.1666	0.1314
	T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-7.01)	(1.47)	(1.46)	(-8.61)	(1.68)
			(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)

ALTERNATIVE 4 : CAR							

N	NETWORK VARIABLES						

COST, CAR (RUN COST)	CAR_C						
BETA coefficient		-0.0222	-0.8105	-2.9866	0.0185	-4.9475	-0.9471
Elast. of P(CAR) - w.aggregate		-0.0880	-0.1563	-0.0745	0.0171	-0.0865	-0.0542
Change of P(CAR) - w.aggregate		-0.0500	-0.1020	-0.0531	0.0133	-0.0691	-0.0425
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-3.66)	(-7.23)	(-2.85)	(2.14)	(-6.91)	(-4.18)
		L 1 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 2 (GE)
RUN TIME, CAR	CAR_RT						
BETA coefficient		-0.0136	-0.0001	-0.0708	-0.0072	0.0354	0.0000
Elast. of P(CAR) - w.aggregate		-0.1944	-0.1053	-0.1711	-0.0776	0.0237	-0.0674
Change of P(CAR) - w.aggregate		-0.1124	-0.0541	-0.0925	-0.0608	0.0183	-0.0484
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-10.19)	(-5.91)	(-4.32)	(-6.65)	(1.44)	(-1.51)
		L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)

=====						
PART II. Parameters						
T-statistic unconditional (=0) [=1]						
Model type	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT
Name of the variant	BENBUS1	BENBUS1	BENBUS1	BENNBUS	BENNBUS	BENNBUS
Version number of the variant	8	18	46	43	48	105
Dependent variable in the variant	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE
=====						
BOX-COX TRANSFORMATIONS						

LAMBDA(X) 1	1.0000	1.5309	1.3403	1.0000	1.0700	0.4419
	FIXED (	3.52) (	3.15)	FIXED (	0.44) (	0.00)
	[	1.22] [	0.80]	[	0.03] [	0.00]
LAMBDA(X) 2		0.1620	0.1850		0.3330	-0.0149
	(	0.51) (	0.58)	(	0.00) (	0.00)
	[	-2.63] [	-2.55]	[	0.00] [	-0.03]
LAMBDA(X) 3		1.8020	0.6116		0.4766	4.8121
	(	2.83) (	1.66)	(	0.02) (	0.48)
	[	1.26] [	-1.05]	[	-0.02] [	0.38]
LAMBDA(X) 4		0.2805	-0.2198		-0.4875	1.6089
	(	1.28) (	-0.38)	(	-0.01) (	0.02)
	[	-3.28] [	-2.13]	[	-0.03] [	0.01]
LAMBDA(X) 5			4.9437			0.8783
	(		4.09)		(	0.01)
	[		3.26]		[	0.00]
=====						
PART III. General statistics						
Model type	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT
Name of the variant	BENBUS1	BENBUS1	BENBUS1	BENNBUS	BENNBUS	BENNBUS
Version number of the variant	8	18	46	43	48	105
Dependent variable in the variant	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE
=====						
LOG-LIKELIHOOD:						
- FINAL VALUE (LF)	-1068.84	-1058.37	-1049.87	-2179.83	-2123.74	-2100.86
- INITIAL VALUE	-1923.77	-1923.77	-1923.77	-2722.43	-2721.59	-2722.43
- WITH CONSTANTS ONLY (LC)	-1923.77	-1923.77	-1923.77	-2722.43	-2721.59	-2722.43
- RATIO TEST [-2(LC-LF)]	1709.85	1730.79	1747.80	1085.21	1195.72	1243.14
- DEGREES OF FREEDOM	9	13	15	10	14	16
RHO-SQUARED	0.4444	0.4498	0.4543	0.1993	0.2197	0.2283
RHO-SQUARED BAR - AKAIKE	0.4382	0.4415	0.4449	0.1945	0.2134	0.2213
- HOROWITZ	0.4413	0.4457	0.4496	0.1969	0.2165	0.2248
- HENSHER AND JOHNSON	0.4438	0.4491	0.4534	0.1988	0.2190	0.2276
PERCENT RIGHT	75.69	76.48	76.51	57.46	57.28	58.00
SAMPLE - NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES	4	4	4	4	4	4
- NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	4401	4401	4401	8536	8536	8536
- AVAILABLE OBSERVATIONS:						
.ALTERNATIVE 1 : RAIL	4290	4290	4290	8229	8229	8229
.ALTERNATIVE 2 : AIR	3624	3624	3624	5902	5902	5902
.ALTERNATIVE 3 : BUS	3264	3264	3264	5668	5668	5668
.ALTERNATIVE 4 : CAR	4397	4397	4397	8452	8452	8452
TOTAL NUMBER OF FIXED OR ESTIMATED PARAMETERS:						
- BETA .Estimated	9	9	10	10	10	11
.CONSTANTS	3	3	3	3	3	3
- LAMBDA(X)						
.Fixed (always in G-DOGIT)	1	0	0	1	0	0
.Estimated	0	4	5	0	4	5
- EXTRA PARAMETERS						
.Fixed	0	0	0	0	0	0
.Estimated	0	0	0	0	0	0
- TOTAL						
.Fixed	1	0	0	1	0	0
.Estimated	12	16	18	13	17	19
=====						

8. Appendix 3. Simplified reduced sample Quebec-Windsor Corridor mode choice models (business trips)

8.1 Linear and Box-Cox Logit variants

PART I. Presented statistics					
Model type	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT
Name of the variant	bus_lin	bus_log	bus_bc1	bus_bc2	bus_bc4
Version number of the variant	4	5	1	2	3
Dependent variable in the variant	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE
=====					
ALTERNATIVE 1 : RAIL					

N	NETWORK VARIABLES				

TOTAL COST, RAIL(RUN + ACCESS COST)	RAI_CTT				
BETA coefficient		-0.0383	-3.5300	-0.3011	-0.2873
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)		-1.9436	-3.2840	-2.2302	-2.2407
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-3.34)	(-3.36)	(-2.84)	(-2.88)
		L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, RAIL	RAI_TTT				
BETA coefficient		-0.0137	-1.8319	-0.1776	-0.1667
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)		-3.8492	-1.7042	-3.1881	-3.2241
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-5.79)	(-1.74)	(-3.76)	(-3.83)
		L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 2 (GE)
FREQUENCY, RAIL	RAI_FR				
BETA coefficient		0.0968	1.5329	0.3833	0.3667
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)		0.4075	1.4261	0.7819	0.7622
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(7.60)	(7.55)	(7.46)	(7.42)
		L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)

S	SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES				

INCOME	INCOME				
BETA coefficient		0.0000	0.1752	0.0003	115.3837
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)		0.0141	0.1152	0.0549	0.1405
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(0.05)	(0.68)	(0.23)	(1.18)
		L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 2 (GE)

ET	ET CETERA				

REGRESSION CONSTANT	CONSTANT				
BETA coefficient		-2.4862	-6.3588	-3.0032	-199.0354
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)		-2.0999	-4.3537	-2.2322	-145.7892
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-5.81)	(-2.27)	(-4.36)	(-1.20)
		(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)
=====					
ALTERNATIVE 2 : AIR					

N	NETWORK VARIABLES				

TOTAL COST, AIR (RUN + ACCESS COST)	AIR_CTT				
BETA coefficient		-0.0383	-3.5300	-0.3011	-0.2873
Elast. of P(AIR) - at mean(X)		-5.0992	-2.6641	-3.3397	-3.3990
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-3.34)	(-3.36)	(-2.84)	(-2.88)
		L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, AIR	AIR_TTT				
BETA coefficient		-0.0137	-1.8319	-0.1776	-0.1667
Elast. of P(AIR) - at mean(X)		-1.8639	-1.3825	-1.9891	-1.9925
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(-5.79)	(-1.74)	(-3.76)	(-3.83)
		L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 2 (GE)
FREQUENCY, AIR	AIR_FR				
BETA coefficient		0.0968	1.5329	0.3833	0.3667
Elast. of P(AIR) - at mean(X)		2.0751	1.1569	1.6511	1.6446
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)		(7.60)	(7.55)	(7.46)	(7.42)
		L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 3 (GE)

```

-----
S          SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES
-----
INCOME                                INCOME
BETA coefficient                      0.0000    0.1752    0.0003    115.3837    51.9802
Elast. of P(AIR ) - at mean(X)      0.0141    0.1152    0.0549    0.1405    0.1397
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( 0.05) ( 0.68) ( 0.23) ( 1.18) ( 1.11)
L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 2 (GE) L 4 (GE)

-----
ET          ET CETERA
-----
REGRESSION CONSTANT                  CONSTANT
BETA coefficient                      -1.2628   -5.1082   -2.4883  -198.4701  -103.1104
Elast. of P(AIR ) - at mean(X)      -0.8765   -3.1031   -1.7173  -145.2239   -74.4143
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( -1.07) ( -1.65) ( -1.77) ( -1.20) ( -1.14)
(SP) (SP) (SP) (SP) (SP)

-----
ALTERNATIVE 3 : BUS
-----
N          NETWORK VARIABLES
-----
TOTAL COST, BUS (RUN + ACCESS COST)  BUS_CTT
BETA coefficient                      -0.0383   -3.5300   -0.3011   -0.2873   -1.2269
Elast. of P(BUS ) - at mean(X)      -0.9598   -3.4336   -1.5774   -1.5698   -2.7964
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( -3.34) ( -3.36) ( -2.84) ( -2.88) ( -5.12)
L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE)

TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, BUS              BUS_TTT
BETA coefficient                      -0.0137   -1.8319   -0.1776   -0.1667   -0.0005
Elast. of P(BUS ) - at mean(X)      -4.0953   -1.7819   -3.3563   -3.3963   -2.9707
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( -5.79) ( -1.74) ( -3.76) ( -3.83) ( -3.45)
L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 2 (GE)

FREQUENCY, BUS                      BUS_FR
BETA coefficient                      0.0968    1.5329    0.3833    0.3667    0.9766
Elast. of P(BUS ) - at mean(X)      1.1220    1.4911    1.3473    1.3307    1.4910
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( 7.60) ( 7.55) ( 7.46) ( 7.42) ( 7.59)
L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 3 (GE)

-----
S          SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES
-----
INCOME                                INCOME
BETA coefficient                      0.0000    0.1752    0.0003    115.3837    51.9802
Elast. of P(BUS ) - at mean(X)      0.0141    0.1152    0.0549    0.1405    0.1397
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( 0.05) ( 0.68) ( 0.23) ( 1.18) ( 1.11)
L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 2 (GE) L 4 (GE)

-----
ET          ET CETERA
-----
REGRESSION CONSTANT                  CONSTANT
BETA coefficient                      -4.8949  -11.3210  -6.2281  -202.2235  -109.3414
Elast. of P(BUS ) - at mean(X)      -4.5086   -9.3159   -5.4571  -148.9773   -80.6453
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( -7.37) ( -3.72) ( -5.86) ( -1.22) ( -1.21)
(SP) (SP) (SP) (SP) (SP)

-----
ALTERNATIVE 4 : CAR
-----
N          NETWORK VARIABLES
-----
COST, CAR (RUN COST)                CAR_C
BETA coefficient                      -0.0383   -3.5300   -0.3011   -0.2873   -1.2269
Elast. of P(CAR ) - at mean(X)      -0.4795   -1.2082   -0.6685   -0.6828   -0.9916
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( -3.34) ( -3.36) ( -2.84) ( -2.88) ( -5.12)
L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE)

RUN TIME, CAR                       CAR_RT
BETA coefficient                      -0.0137   -1.8319   -0.1776   -0.1667   -0.0005
Elast. of P(CAR ) - at mean(X)      -0.6113   -0.6270   -0.7606   -0.7774   -0.5008
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X) ( -5.79) ( -1.74) ( -3.76) ( -3.83) ( -3.45)
L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 2 (GE)

```

=====					
PART II. Parameters					
T-statistic unconditional (=0) [=1]					
Model type	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT
Name of the variant	bus_lin	bus_log	bus_bc1	bus_bc2	bus_bc4
Version number of the variant	4	5	1	2	3
Dependent variable in the variant	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE
=====					
BOX-COX TRANSFORMATIONS					

LAMBDA(X) 1	1.0000	0.0000	0.5182	0.5314	0.2616
	FIXED	FIXED	(2.31)	(0.26)	(0.14)
			[-2.15]	[-0.23]	[-0.38]
LAMBDA(X) 2				-0.5870	1.5194
				(0.00)	(0.03)
				[0.00]	[0.01]
LAMBDA(X) 3					0.1809
					(0.00)
					[-0.02]
LAMBDA(X) 4					-0.5133
					(0.00)
					[0.00]
=====					
PART III. General statistics					
Model type	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT	LOGIT
Name of the variant	bus_lin	bus_log	bus_bc1	bus_bc2	bus_bc4
Version number of the variant	4	5	1	2	3
Dependent variable in the variant	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE
=====					
LOG-LIKELIHOOD:					
- FINAL VALUE (LF)	-328.15	-329.11	-326.17	-325.38	-323.24
- INITIAL VALUE	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78
- WITH CONSTANTS ONLY (LC)	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78
- RATIO TEST [-2(LC-LF)]	391.26	389.34	395.23	396.79	401.08
- DEGREES OF FREEDOM	4	4	5	6	8
RHO-SQUARED	0.3735	0.3717	0.3773	0.3788	0.3829
RHO-SQUARED BAR - AKAIKE	0.3601	0.3583	0.3620	0.3616	0.3619
- HOROWITZ	0.3668	0.3650	0.3696	0.3702	0.3724
- HENSHER AND JOHNSON	0.3718	0.3700	0.3754	0.3766	0.3803
PERCENT RIGHT	75.50	73.50	74.60	75.20	75.50
SAMPLE - NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES	4	4	4	4	4
- NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
- AVAILABLE OBSERVATIONS:					
.ALTERNATIVE 1 : RAIL	991	991	991	991	991
.ALTERNATIVE 2 : AIR	846	846	846	846	846
.ALTERNATIVE 3 : BUS	797	797	797	797	797
.ALTERNATIVE 4 : CAR	999	999	999	999	999
TOTAL NUMBER OF ESTIMATED PARAMETERS:	7	7	8	9	11
- BETA .VARIABLES	4	4	4	4	4
.CONSTANTS	3	3	3	3	3
- LAMBDA(X)	0	0	1	2	4
- EXTRA PARAMETERS	0	0	0	0	0
=====					

8.2 Logit, Dogit and Inverse Power Transformation-Logit variants

PART I. Presented statistics					
Model type	LOGIT	S-DOGIT	G-DOGIT	LIN-IPTL	BT-IPTL
Name of the variant	bus_bc4	bus_bc3	bus_bc4	bus_bc4	bus_bc4
Version number of the variant	3	6	7	8	9
Dependent variable in the variant	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE
=====					
ALTERNATIVE 1 : RAIL					
=====					
N = NETWORK VARIABLES					
=====					
TOTAL COST, RAIL(RUN + ACCESS COST)	RAI_CTT				
BETA coefficient	-1.2269	-0.1368	-0.1432	-0.0286	-0.1285
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)	-3.2726	-1.5417	-3.1391	-2.1846	-3.2943
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-5.12)	(-1.69)	(-0.03)	(-1.78)	(-1.60)
	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, RAIL	RAI_TTT				
BETA coefficient	-0.0005	-0.0864	-0.0344	-0.3017	-0.1575
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)	-2.7144	-3.2082	-4.1594	-1.4168	-2.1962
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-3.45)	(-3.21)	(0.00)	(-0.87)	(-1.22)
	L 2 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 2 (GE)	L 2 (GE)	L 2 (GE)
FREQUENCY, RAIL	RAI_FR				
BETA coefficient	0.9766	0.6816	0.2339	0.1060	0.0621
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)	1.2055	0.7856	0.4257	0.5546	0.2794
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(7.59)	(3.73)	(0.06)	(3.19)	(1.49)
	L 3 (GE)	L 2 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)
=====					
S = SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES					
=====					
INCOME	INCOME				
BETA coefficient	51.9802	82.1814	0.0000	-0.0014	0.0000
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)	0.1397	0.1495	-0.1815	-0.2904	-0.3055
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(1.11)	(1.91)	(0.00)	(-0.85)	(-1.11)
	L 4 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 4 (GE)
=====					
ET = ET CETERA					
=====					
REGRESSION CONSTANT	CONSTANT				
BETA coefficient	-104.8983	-162.6390	-0.1633	-2.3527	-1.4566
Elast. of P(RAIL) - at mean(X)	-76.2022	-80.6748	0.1461	-1.9707	-0.7511
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-1.16)	(-1.95)	(-0.09)	(-3.25)	(-0.93)
	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)	(SP)
=====					
ALTERNATIVE 2 : AIR					
=====					
N = NETWORK VARIABLES					
=====					
TOTAL COST, AIR (RUN + ACCESS COST)	AIR_CTT				
BETA coefficient	-1.2269	-0.1368	-0.1432	-0.0286	-0.1285
Elast. of P(AIR) - at mean(X)	-3.6877	-3.7365	-13.7590	-3.7108	-5.2644
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-5.12)	(-1.69)	(-0.03)	(-1.78)	(-1.60)
	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 1 (GE)
TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, AIR	AIR_TTT				
BETA coefficient	-0.0005	-0.0864	-0.0344	-0.3017	-0.1575
Elast. of P(AIR) - at mean(X)	-0.9037	-2.3928	-3.3650	-0.6095	-0.8233
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(-3.45)	(-3.21)	(0.00)	(-0.87)	(-1.22)
	L 2 (GE)	L 1 (GE)	L 2 (GE)	L 2 (GE)	L 2 (GE)
FREQUENCY, AIR	AIR_FR				
BETA coefficient	0.9766	0.6816	0.2339	0.1060	0.0621
Elast. of P(AIR) - at mean(X)	1.4047	1.5982	3.6215	2.1993	2.1420
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(7.59)	(3.73)	(0.06)	(3.19)	(1.49)
	L 3 (GE)	L 2 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 3 (GE)
=====					
S = SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES					
=====					
INCOME	INCOME				
BETA coefficient	51.9802	82.1814	0.0000	-0.0014	0.0000
Elast. of P(AIR) - at mean(X)	0.1397	0.2282	-0.2117	-0.1395	-0.1658
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)	(1.11)	(1.91)	(0.00)	(-0.85)	(-1.11)
	L 4 (GE)	L 3 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 4 (GE)	L 4 (GE)

```

-----
ET      =   ET CETERA
-----
REGRESSION CONSTANT          CONSTANT
BETA coefficient              -103.1104  -162.2811   7.0737   -1.6096   1.8203
Elast. of P(AIR      ) - at mean(X)  -74.4143  -122.8363   5.2950   -0.5508   0.4918
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)  (  -1.14) (  -1.94) (   3.84) (  -0.59) (   0.29)
                                   (SP)      (SP)      (SP)      (SP)      (SP)
-----

```

ALTERNATIVE 3 : BUS

```

-----
N      =   NETWORK VARIABLES
-----
TOTAL COST, BUS (RUN + ACCESS COST)  BUS_CTT
BETA coefficient                      -1.2269   -0.1368   -0.1432   -0.0286   -0.1285
Elast. of P(BUS      ) - at mean(X)  -2.7964   -1.2825   -3.1424   -1.0463   -15.0643
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)  (  -5.12) (  -1.69) (  -0.03) (  -1.78) (  -1.60)
                                   L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE)

TOTAL TRAVEL TIME, BUS              BUS_TTT
BETA coefficient                      -0.0005   -0.0864   -0.0344   -0.3017   -0.1575
Elast. of P(BUS      ) - at mean(X)  -2.9707   -4.5582   -8.9710   -1.5487   -21.4458
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)  (  -3.45) (  -3.21) (   0.00) (  -0.87) (  -1.22)
                                   L 2 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 2 (GE) L 2 (GE) L 2 (GE)

FREQUENCY, BUS                      BUS_FR
BETA coefficient                      0.9766    0.6816    0.2339    0.1060    0.0621
Elast. of P(BUS      ) - at mean(X)  1.4910    1.5068    2.3759    1.9196   12.0573
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)  (   7.59) (   3.73) (   0.06) (   3.19) (   1.49)
                                   L 3 (GE) L 2 (GE) L 3 (GE) L 3 (GE) L 3 (GE)
-----

```

```

-----
S      =   SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES
-----
INCOME                                INCOME
BETA coefficient                      51.9802   82.1814    0.0000   -0.0014    0.0000
Elast. of P(BUS      ) - at mean(X)  0.1397    0.2332   -0.2117   -0.3016   -3.1372
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)  (   1.11) (   1.91) (   0.00) (  -0.85) (  -1.11)
                                   L 4 (GE) L 3 (GE) L 4 (GE) L 4 (GE) L 4 (GE)
-----

```

```

-----
ET      =   ET CETERA
-----
REGRESSION CONSTANT          CONSTANT
BETA coefficient              -109.3414  -165.4200   -6.1438   -6.0983   -1.2884
Elast. of P(BUS      ) - at mean(X)  -80.6453  -128.6407   -7.9043   -5.7923   -5.7931
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)  (  -1.21) (  -1.98) (  -2.79) (  -4.81) (  -0.17)
                                   (SP)      (SP)      (SP)      (SP)      (SP)
-----

```

ALTERNATIVE 4 : CAR

```

-----
N      =   NETWORK VARIABLES
-----
COST, CAR (RUN COST)              CAR_C
BETA coefficient                      -1.2269   -0.1368   -0.1432   -0.0286   -0.1285
Elast. of P(CAR      ) - at mean(X)  -0.9916   -0.4736   -1.2691   -0.5221   -0.6056
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)  (  -5.12) (  -1.69) (  -0.03) (  -1.78) (  -1.60)
                                   L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 1 (GE)

RUN TIME, CAR                      CAR_RT
BETA coefficient                      -0.0005   -0.0864   -0.0344   -0.3017   -0.1575
Elast. of P(CAR      ) - at mean(X)  -0.5008   -0.7248   -1.0825   -0.2662   -0.2932
T-statistic conditional on LAMBDA(X)  (  -3.45) (  -3.21) (   0.00) (  -0.87) (  -1.22)
                                   L 2 (GE) L 1 (GE) L 2 (GE) L 2 (GE) L 2 (GE)
-----

```

PART II. Parameters

```

T-statistic unconditional (=0) [=1]

Model type          LOGIT      S-DOGIT      G-DOGIT      LIN-IPTL      BT-IPTL
Name of the variant  bus_bc4      bus_bc3      bus_bc4      bus_bc4      bus_bc4
Version number of the variant  3            6            7            8            9
Dependent variable in the variant  CHOICE      CHOICE      CHOICE      CHOICE      CHOICE
-----

```

BOX-COX TRANSFORMATIONS

```

LAMBDA(X)  1          0.2616    0.6974    1.0000    1.1151    1.0083
(   0.14) (   1.46)    FIXED    (   0.91) (   1.89)
[  -0.38] [  -0.63]          [   0.09] [   0.02]
-----

```

LAMBDA (X) 2	1.5194	0.3299	1.0000	0.2883	0.5977
	(0.03)	(0.66)	FIXED	(0.08)	(0.22)
	[0.01]	[-1.34]		[-0.20]	[-0.15]
LAMBDA (X) 3	0.1809	-0.5145	1.0000	1.1776	1.5268
	(0.00)	(-0.50)	FIXED	(1.46)	(2.22)
	[-0.02]	[-1.47]		[0.22]	[0.77]
LAMBDA (X) 4	-0.5133		1.0000	0.5088	1.4154
	(0.00)		FIXED	(0.16)	(0.42)
	[0.00]			[-0.16]	[0.12]

EXTRA PARAMETERS					

STANDARD DOGIT					

THETA 1	0.0124				
	(0.78)				
THETA 2	0.0000				
	(0.00)				
THETA 3	0.0000				
	(0.00)				
THETA 4	0.0258				
	(0.24)				

GENERALIZED DOGIT					

THETA 1 1			0.0000		
			FIXED		
THETA 1 2			0.0548		
			(0.01)		
THETA 1 3			0.0325		
			(0.02)		
THETA 1 4			0.0084		
			(0.00)		
THETA 2 1			0.0204		
			(0.01)		
THETA 2 2			0.0000		
			FIXED		
THETA 2 3			0.0000		
			(0.00)		
THETA 2 4			0.0000		
			(0.00)		
THETA 3 1			0.0000		
			(0.00)		
THETA 3 2			0.0000		
			(0.00)		
THETA 3 3			0.0000		
			FIXED		
THETA 3 4			0.0000		
			(0.00)		
THETA 4 1			5.4455		
			(11.93)		
THETA 4 2			0.1547		
			(0.04)		
THETA 4 3			6.2693		
			(10.45)		
THETA 4 4			0.0000		
			FIXED		

LIN-IPTL

PHI	1	0.0002 (0.00) [0.00]
MU	1	1.0000 (7693.86) [-0.03]
PHI	2	1.0000 (0.00) [0.00]
MU	2	0.9999 (293.23) [-0.03]
PHI	3	1.0000 (0.00) [0.00]
MU	3	1.0000 (0.11D+05) [0.00]
PHI	4	0.0000 (0.00) [-0.01]
MU	4	1.0000 (0.12D+05) [0.00]

BT-IPTL

PHI	1	0.0306 (0.63) [-20.08]
MU	1	0.0000 (0.04) [-.13D+07]
PHI	2	0.0579 (0.63) [-10.19]
MU	2	0.0000 (0.03) [-.26D+08]
PHI	3	-0.1354 (-0.16) [-1.36]
MU	3	0.0000 (0.00) [-268.69]
PHI	4	0.0596 (0.59) [-9.25]
MU	4	0.0000 (0.00) [-.86D+13]

=====					
PART III. General statistics					
Model type	LOGIT	S-DOGIT	G-DOGIT	LIN-IPTL	BT-IPTL
Name of the variant	bus_bc4	bus_bc3	bus_bc4	bus_bc4	bus_bc4
Version number of the variant	3	6	7	8	9
Dependent variable in the variant	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE	CHOICE
=====					
LOG-LIKELIHOOD:					
- FINAL VALUE (LF)	-323.24	-324.43	-303.37	-316.69	-308.62
- INITIAL VALUE	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78
- WITH CONSTANTS ONLY (LC)	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78	-523.78
- RATIO TEST [-2(LC-LF)]	401.08	398.69	440.82	414.17	430.31
- DEGREES OF FREEDOM	8	11	20	16	16
RHO-SQUARED					
	0.3829	0.3806	0.4208	0.3954	0.4108
RHO-SQUARED BAR - AKAIKE					
	0.3619	0.3539	0.3769	0.3591	0.3745
- HOROWITZ					
	0.3724	0.3672	0.3988	0.3772	0.3926
- HENSHER AND JOHNSON					
	0.3803	0.3773	0.4157	0.3910	0.4065
PERCENT RIGHT					
	75.50	75.60	75.80	75.80	75.90
SAMPLE - NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES					
	4	4	4	4	4
- NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS					
	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
- AVAILABLE OBSERVATIONS:					
.ALTERNATIVE 1 : RAIL	991	991	991	991	991
.ALTERNATIVE 2 : AIR	846	846	846	846	846
.ALTERNATIVE 3 : BUS	797	797	797	797	797
.ALTERNATIVE 4 : CAR	999	999	999	999	999
TOTAL NUMBER OF ESTIMATED PARAMETERS:					
	11	14	23	19	19
- BETA .VARIABLES					
	4	4	4	4	4
.CONSTANTS					
	3	3	3	3	3
- LAMBDA (X)					
	4	3	4	4	4
- EXTRA PARAMETERS					
	0	4	12	8	8
=====					

9. Appendix 4. Simplified reduced sample Generation-Distribution models, Quebec-Windsor Corridor (Business trips)

9.1 Models estimated with L-1.6

PART I. Presented statistics					
Model type	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6
Name of the variant	LIN	LOG	BC1	BC2	BC4
Version number of the variant	6	7	8	9	10
Dependent variable in the variant	Tij	Tij	Tij	Tij	Tij

IND INDEPENDENT VARIABLES					
TRIPS FROM ORIG_i TO ALL DEST.	Ti				
BETA coefficient		0.24D+02	0.53D+00	0.61D+00	0.15D+01
Elasticity of Y (sample value)		0.170	0.533	0.517	0.481
Conditional t-statistic for BETA		(1.49)	(8.05)	(8.64)	(8.52)
		FL1	FL1	EL1	EL1
TRIPS FROM ALL ORIG. TO DEST_j	Tj				
BETA coefficient		0.45D+02	0.65D+00	0.76D+00	0.18D+01
Elasticity of Y (sample value)		0.321	0.651	0.641	0.588
Conditional t-statistic for BETA		(3.43)	(11.00)	(12.00)	(11.76)
		FL1	FL1	EL1	EL1
UTILITY from VARIANT bus_bc4	Util_BC4				
BETA coefficient		0.89D+10	0.58D+00	0.14D+01	0.16D+01
Elasticity of Y (sample value)		0.255	0.584	0.596	0.533
Conditional t-statistic for BETA		(13.94)	(36.04)	(35.57)	(35.90)
		FL1	FL1	EL1	EL1
REGRESSION CONSTANT	constant				
BETA coefficient		0.89D+10	0.71D+01	0.12D+02	0.38D+01
Conditional t-statistic for BETA		(13.98)	(6.67)	(7.97)	(1.30)

PART II. Parameters					
t-statistic unconditional (=0) [=1]					
Model type	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6
Name of the variant	LIN	LOG	BC1	BC2	BC4
Version number of the variant	6	7	8	9	10
Dependent variable in the variant	Tij	Tij	Tij	Tij	Tij

Box-Cox transformations (estimated)					
Lambda(y)	ELY		0.035	0.065	0.066
			(2.84)	(4.11)	(4.23)
			[-79.03]	[-59.28]	[-59.90]
Lambda(x) - group 1	EL1		0.035	-0.002	-0.419
			(2.84)	(-0.11)	(-1.42)
			[-79.03]	[-59.04]	[-4.82]
Lambda(x) - group 2	EL2				1.513
					(4.47)
					[1.52]
Lambda(x) - group 3	EL3				-0.016
					(-1.02)
					[-65.06]

Box-Cox transformations (fixed)			
Lambda(y)	FLY	1.000	0.000
Lambda(x) - group 1	FL1	1.000	0.000

=====					
PART III. General statistics					
Model type	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6	L-1.6
Name of the variant	LIN	LOG	BC1	BC2	BC4
Version number of the variant	6	7	8	9	10
Dependent variable in the variant	Tij	Tij	Tij	Tij	Tij
=====					
Log-likelihood	-18797.91	-17486.28	-17482.62	-17477.05	-17459.96
Pearson R2	0.144	0.243	0.248	0.276	0.296
Pearson R2 adjusted for D.F.	0.141	0.241	0.245	0.273	0.291
Pseudo-(L)-R2	0.165	0.578	0.574	0.575	0.589
Pseudo-(L)-R2 adjusted for D.F.	0.163	0.577	0.572	0.573	0.586
Average probability (Y=limit observation)	0.251	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Sample - Number of observations	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
Estimation - Number of observations	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
- First observation	1	1	1	1	1
- Last observation	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
Number of estimated parameters					
- Beta	4	4	4	4	4
- Lambda(y) and Lambda(x)	0	0	1	2	4
- Heteroskedasticity: Delta	0	0	0	0	0
Lambda(z)	0	0	0	0	0
- Autocorrelation: Rho	0	0	0	0	0
- Total	4	4	5	6	8
Mean of observed Y	0.26D+08	0.26D+08	0.26D+08	0.26D+08	0.26D+08
Standard error of observed Y	0.39D+08	0.39D+08	0.39D+08	0.39D+08	0.39D+08
Skewness of observed Y	0.34D+01	0.34D+01	0.34D+01	0.34D+01	0.34D+01
Mean of Y, estimated at the mean	0.31D+08	0.59D+08	0.53D+08	0.51D+08	0.46D+08
Standard error of Y, estimated at the mean	0.28D+08	0.94D+08	0.71D+08	0.60D+08	0.53D+08
Skewness of Y, estimated at the mean	0.80D+00	0.83D+01	0.55D+01	0.42D+01	0.41D+01
Mean of estimated E(Y)	0.31D+08	0.34D+08	0.31D+08	0.28D+08	0.28D+08
Standard error of estimated E(Y)	0.14D+08	0.46D+08	0.41D+08	0.32D+08	0.32D+08
Skewness of estimated E(Y)	0.45D+01	0.31D+01	0.30D+01	0.23D+01	0.23D+01
M.R.S. between Measures M1, M2 and M3					
M1 / M2	0.31D+01	0.63D+00	0.79D+00	0.92D+00	0.94D+00
M2 / M1	0.33D+00	0.16D+01	0.13D+01	0.11D+01	0.11D+01
M1 / M3	-0.31D+08	-0.67D+22	-0.13D+09	-0.10D+09	-0.93D+08
M3 / M1	-0.32D-07	-0.15D-21	-0.80D-08	-0.10D-07	-0.11D-07
M2 / M3	-0.10D+08	-0.11D+23	-0.16D+09	-0.11D+09	-0.99D+08
M3 / M2	-0.99D-07	-0.94D-22	-0.63D-08	-0.92D-08	-0.10D-07
Elasticity of substitution between M1, M2 and M3					
M1 / M2	0.29D+01	0.10D+01	0.11D+01	0.11D+01	0.11D+01
M2 / M1	0.35D+00	0.10D+01	0.95D+00	0.91D+00	0.91D+00
M1 / M3	-0.81D+00	-0.94D+15	-0.13D+02	-0.84D+01	-0.84D+01
M3 / M1	-0.12D+01	-0.11D-14	-0.77D-01	-0.12D+00	-0.12D+00
M2 / M3	-0.28D+00	-0.94D+15	-0.12D+02	-0.77D+01	-0.77D+01
M3 / M2	-0.35D+01	-0.11D-14	-0.81D-01	-0.13D+00	-0.13D+00
=====					

9.2 Models estimated with L-2.1

PART I. Presented statistics				
Model type	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1	
Name of the variant	BC4	BC4HG	BC4HGAUPR	
Version number of the variant	10	17	24	
Dependent variable in the variant	Tij	Tij	Tij	
=====				

IND = INDEPENDENT VARIABLES				

TRIPS FROM ORIG_i TO ALL DEST.	Ti			
BETA coefficient	0.18D+03	0.80D+02	0.81D+02	
Elasticity of Y (sample value)	0.363	0.398	0.398	
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(8.71)	(7.74)	(7.73)	
	LAM 1	LAM 1	LAM 1	
TRIPS FROM ALL ORIG. TO DEST_j	Tj			
BETA coefficient	0.27D-07	0.76D-08	0.83D-08	
Elasticity of Y (sample value)	0.821	0.806	0.807	
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(10.26)	(12.71)	(12.88)	
	LAM 2	LAM 2	LAM 2	
UTILITY from VARIANT bus_bc4	Util_BC4			
BETA coefficient	0.14D+01	0.15D+01	0.15D+01	
Elasticity of Y (sample value)	0.528	0.508	0.500	
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(37.59)	(40.84)	(45.44)	
	LAM 3	LAM 3	LAM 3	
REGRESSION CONSTANT	CONSTANT			
BETA coefficient	-0.39D+03	-0.19D+03	-0.20D+03	
Elasticity of Y (sample value)	-125.090	-52.443	-51.857	
Conditional t-statistic for BETA	(-7.88)	(-6.27)	(-6.28)	
Heteroskedasticity				

PRODUCT Ti*Tj	TiTj			
DELTA coefficient		-0.12D-19	-0.96D-21	
Elasticity of Y (sample value)		0.034	0.030	
Conditional t-statistic for DELTA		(-6.99)	(-6.91)	

PART II. Parameters

t-statistic unconditional (=0) [=1]

Model type	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1
Name of the variant	BC4	BC4HG	BC4HGAUPR
Version number of the variant	10	17	24
Dependent variable in the variant	Tij	Tij	Tij

Box-Cox Transformations

LAMBDA (Y)	0.066	0.076	0.078
	(4.23)	(4.32)	(4.20)
	[-59.90]	[-52.29]	[-49.92]
LAMBDA (X) - Group 1	-0.419	-0.330	-0.329
	(-1.42)	(-0.93)	(-0.93)
	[-4.82]	[-3.76]	[-3.77]
LAMBDA (X) - Group 2	1.513	1.631	1.625
	(4.47)	(5.77)	(5.85)
	[1.52]	[2.23]	[2.25]
LAMBDA (X) - Group 3	-0.016	-0.032	-0.035
	(-1.02)	(-2.35)	(-2.89)
	[-65.06]	[-76.49]	[-86.18]

Heteroskedasticity

PRODUCT Ti*Tj	TiTj		
LAMBDA (Z)		1.861	1.964
		(3.78)	(3.84)
		[1.75]	[1.88]

Directed Autocorrelation

CAR_RT < 100 min	DIST_OD		
RHO			-1.000
			(-0.17)
PI			1.000
			Fixed

=====			
PART III. General statistics			
Model type	L-2.1	L-2.1	L-2.1
Name of the variant	BC4	BC4HG	BC4HGAUPR
Version number of the variant	10	17	24
Dependent variable in the variant	Tij	Tij	Tij
=====			
Log-likelihood	-17459.96	-17433.91	-17433.38
Degrees of freedom	7	9	10
Pearson R2	0.296	0.313	0.318
Pearson R2 adjusted for Degrees of freedom	0.291	0.306	0.311
Pseudo-(L)-R2	0.589	0.884	0.955
Pseudo-(L)-R2 adjusted for Degrees of freedom	0.586	0.883	0.955
Average probability (Y=limit observation)	0.000	0.000	0.000
Sample - Number of observations	1000	1000	1000
Total number of fixed or estimated parameters:			
- Beta .Estimated	3	3	3
.Constant	1	1	1
- Lambda(y)			
.Fixed	0	0	0
.Estimated .Constrained	0	0	0
.Distinct	1	1	1
- Lambda(x)			
.Fixed	0	0	0
.Estimated	3	3	3
- Heteroskedasticity			
Delta			
.Fixed	0	0	0
.Estimated	0	1	1
Lambda(z)			
.Fixed	0	0	0
.Estimated	0	1	1
- Autocorrelation			
Rho .Estimated	0	0	1
Pi .Fixed	0	0	1
.Estimated	0	0	0
- Total			
.Fixed	0	0	1
.Estimated	8	10	11
Mean of observed Y	0.26D+08	0.26D+08	0.26D+08
Mean of estimated E(Y)	0.28D+08	0.28D+08	0.27D+08
=====			

10. Appendix 5. Characteristics of TRIO algorithms implemented in TRIO Version 2 for Windows and self-standing for Version 6

10.1 Maximum dimensions

In this table, values for the first column are drawn from Appendix E of Gaudry *et al.*, 1993, which contains more information on the maximum dimensions of TRIO, Version 2.0.

Algorithms for each Version of TRIO	Three implemented in Version 2.0 for Windows	Five self-standing for future Version 6				
		LEVEL L-1.6	LEVEL L-2.1	SHARE S1/S5	PROB. P2/P6	QDF
DATABASE						
Number of variables	unlimited	100	100	300	300	Depend on the coupled models: see the four algorithms on the left.
Number of variants	100	999	999	999	999	
Number of groups	100	100	100	100	100	
ESTIMATION per variant						
Number of observations	unlimited	10000	1000	unlimited	unlimited	Maximum dimensions depend on the coupled models: see the four algorithms on the left.
Number of regression coefficients						
LEVEL 1	(L-1.4) 200 ⁵	100	n.a	n.a.	n.a.	
LEVEL L-2.1	n.a.	n.a.	100	n.a.	n.a.	
SHARE S1/S5	60 ⁶	n.a.	n.a.	100	n.a.	
PROBABILITY	(P-2) 60 ⁷	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	80	
Number of Box-Cox parameters estimated or fixed	included in 60 below	10	10	10	10	
Number of estimated parameters other than regression coefficients	60	90	90	90	90	
Number of periods for L-1 forecast	100 ⁸	60	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Number of variables for heteroskedasticity	5	10	10	n.a.	n.a.	
Number of autocorrelation orders	24	24	2	n.a.	n.a.	
Lag for autocorrelation function	168	96	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Lag for partial autocorrelation function	84	36	n.a	n.a.	n.a.	
Number of alternatives in SHARE or PROBABILITY	20 ⁹	n.a.	n.a.	10	20	

⁵ The number is 201 if the regression constant is counted.

⁶ Excluding the regression constants of alternatives.

⁷ P-2 algorithm because P-3 to P-6 are not implemented in Version 2.0. Excludes the regression constants of alternatives.

⁸ Pertains to L-1.4.

⁹ Except for the GENERALIZED DOGIT in SHARE, where it is 5.

10.2 Number of variants displayed in a TABLEX

PRINTING FORMAT	Three algorithms implemented in TRIO V.2 Tablex tables produced for algorithms L-1.4, P-2 and S-1/S-5		
	Number of variants displayed on first page/on other pages ¹⁰		
	80 characters	128 characters	160 characters
Normal	3/6	7/9	9/12
Compressed	4/8	10/14	13/17
	Five algorithms for Version 6 Tablex tables produced by algorithms L-1.6, L-2.1, P-2/P-6, S-1/S-5 and QDF		
	Number of variants displayed on each page		
Normal	10 (max. 160 characters per line)		

10.3 Page width, character size and lines per printed page

How character size and interline space on a printed page change with page width

Three algorithms implemented in TRIO Version 2.0				
Page width: Number of characters	Maximum number of lines per printed page		Font type is "courier"	
	US letter	A4	Character size	Interline space
80	65	70	6	10 pts
128	70	75	6	10 pts
160	116	123	5	6 pts
Five algorithms for Version 6				
The page width has a maximum of 160 characters depending on the number of variants displayed. The number of lines per printed page will depend on the character size and interline space. The font type is "courier new". In WORD, the user chooses the proper character size so that a whole line can fit to the page width.				

10.4 Format for numerical values in TABLEX

Exponential and fixed point formats used, with right-justified printed values

Three algorithms implemented in TRIO Version 2.0			
PRINTING FORMAT	Format used for		
	betas, derivatives	elasticities	t-statistics
Normal	E12.5	F12.3	(F10.2)
Compressed	E8.2	F8.3	(F6.2)
Five algorithms for Version 6			
	Format used for		
	betas, derivatives	elasticities	t-statistics
Normal	D9.2	F9.3	(F6.2)

¹⁰ If all variant scan be printed on the same line, the definitions of variables are printed on the first and subsequent pages ; if not (depending on the fomate chosen), the definitions will be printed only on the first page.